EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME I

Pike's Landing Fairbanks, Alaska November 8, 2017 11:00 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sue Entsminger, Chair Andy Bassich Lester Erhart William Glanz Virgil Umphenour Donald Woodruff

Regional Council Coordinator, Katya Wessels

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

| | Page 2 |
|----------------------------|--|
| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
| 3 | (Fairbanks, Alaska - 11/8/2017) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | (On record) |
| 7 8 9 | MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I'll call the meeting to order. If we could all stand for an invocation. |
| 10 11 | Will you do it for me, Lester. |
| 12 13 | MR. ERHART: Yes. |
| 14 15 | MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. |
| 16 17 | (Invocation) |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thanks, Lester. My secretary Andrew can't be here. He has another conflicting meeting today, but he plans to be here tomorrow. |
| 23 24 | Would you like to do the roll call. |
| 25 26 | MS. WESSELS: Sure. Roll call. |
| 27 28 | Susan Entsminger. |
| 29 30 | MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Here. |
| 31 | MS. WESSELS: Andy Bassich. |
| 33 34 | MR. BASSICH: Here. |
| 35 36 | MS. WESSELS: Lester Erhart. |
| 37 38 | MR. ERHART: Here. |
| 39 40 | MS. WESSELS: Bill Glanz. |
| 41 42 | MR. GLANZ: Here. |
| 43 | MS. WESSELS: Donald Woodruff. |
| 45 46 | MR. WOODRUFF: Here. |
| 47 48 49 50 | MS. WESSELS: Virgil Umphenour. |

```
Page 3
                                     Here.
 1
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
 2
 3
                     MS. WESSELS: Andrew Firmin.
 4
 5
                     (No response)
 6
 7
                     MS. WESSELS: Andrew is not here and
 8
     just as Sue said he'll be here tomorrow. He has other
 9
     conflicting excused business.
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you,
11
     Katya. Welcome you all to the meeting. We're going to
12
13
     start with some introductions. So Katya and then go
     around the room and then we'll do everyone that's
14
15
     online.
16
                     MS. WESSELS: Good morning, everyone
17
18
     and welcome. My name is Katya Wessels and I'm the
     Eastern Interior RAC coordinator.
19
20
21
                     Thank you.
22
2.3
                     MS. MAAS: Lisa Maas, wildlife
24
     biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.
25
                     MR. LIND: Good morning.
26
                                               My name is
27
     Orville Lind.
                    I'm with the Office of Subsistence
     Management, Native Liaison.
28
29
30
                     MS. GRONOUIST: Good morning.
                                                    I'm Ruth
31
     Gronquist and I am a wildlife biologist with the
     Eastern Interior Field Office of BLM and we're located
32
33
     here in Fairbanks.
34
35
                     MR. MATHEWS:
                                   I'm Vince Mathews.
36
     little late, but that's okay.
                                    I work for Yukon Flats,
     Kanuti and Arctic Refuges as their subsistence
37
     coordinator.
38
39
                     MS. PARKER MCNEILL: I'm Doreen Parker
40
41
     McNeill.
               I'm management biologist supervisor with the
42
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
43
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: I'm Megan Klosterman.
44
45
     I'm a wildlife biologist with OSM.
46
47
                     MR. HAWKALUK: Good morning.
48
     Nathan Hawkaluk, the Acting Refuge Manager for the
     Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge.
49
50
```

```
1
                     MR. LORENZINI: I'm Tim Lorenzini, the
 2
 3
     environmental educator for Tetlin National Wildlife
 4
     Refuge.
 5
 6
                     MR. FLAGEN: My name is Ross Flagen,
 7
     the Deputy Manager for Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge.
 8
9
                     MR. TWITCHELL: Hollis Twitchell,
     Assistant Manager, Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.
10
11
                                  Good morning. I'm Brooke
12
                     MS. MCDAVID:
13
     McDavid.
               I'm with the Division of Subsistence with
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game here in Fairbanks.
14
15
16
                     MS. OKADA: Good morning.
                                                I'm Marcy
     Okada. I'm the subsistence coordinator for Yukon-
17
18
     Charley Rivers National Preserve.
19
20
                     MR. KELLY: Good morning.
                                                I'm James
     Kelly, Natural Resource Director for Council of
21
     Athabaskan Tribal Government.
22
23
24
                     MR. PETER: Walter Peter III and I'm a
     student from Alaska (indiscernible).
25
26
27
                     MS. SOLOMON: Miranda Solomon from Fort
     Yukon.
28
29
30
                     MR. SUMMERS:
                                   Good morning. My name is
31
     Clarence Summers. I work for the National Park Service
     as a Subsistence Manager and I also serve on the
32
33
     Interagency Staff Committee.
34
35
                     MR. MASCHMANN:
                                     I'm Gerald Maschmann
     with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in the
36
37
     Fisheries Branch here in Fairbanks.
38
39
                     MR. BERG: Nate Berg, wildlife
     biologist with Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge.
40
41
42
                     MR. BAYLESS: Good morning, Madame
     Chair. Shawn Bayless, Refuge Manager for Tetlin
43
     Refuge.
44
45
                                   Shirley Smelcer for
46
                     MS. SMELCER:
47
     CRITR, Ahtna Intertribal.
48
                     MR. CAIN: Bruce Cain with Ahtna
49
50
```

Page 5 Intertribal. 2 3 MS. KENNER: I'm Pippa Kenner with the 4 Office of Subsistence Management. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anyone online want to introduce yourselves. 7 8 9 MS. OWEN: Good morning. This is Pat Owen. I'm a wildlife biologist at Denali National 10 Park. 11 12 13 MR. ROBBINS: Frank Robbins, Alaska 14 Department of Fish and Game, area biologist, 15 Glennallen. 16 This is Dan Sharp with 17 MR. SHARP: 18 Bureau of Land Management in Anchorage. 19 20 MR. BURCH: This is Mark Burch with the 21 Department of Fish and Game. 22 MS. KLEIN: This is Jill Klein with 23 24 Alaska Department of Fish and Game also. 25 MR. MCKENNA: This is Brian McKenna, 26 27 Tanana Chiefs Conference. 28 29 MR. PETER: Isaac Peter, Gwichyaa Zhee Gwich'in Tribal Government, Fort Yukon. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Next. 32 Anyone 33 else online. 34 35 MS. BRINKMAN: This is Charlotte 36 Brinkman. I'm just the Tok local participant. 37 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anyone else 38 online. 39 40 41 (No comments) 42 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 43 I saw three new faces walk in the door. Do you want to 44 45 introduce yourselves. 46 47 MS. CONITZ: Jan Conitz, Fish and 48 Wildlife Service here in Fairbanks, Subsistence. 49 50

MS. PETRIVELLI: Pat Petrivelli, the Bureau of Indian Affairs Subsistence Anthropologist out of Anchorage.

MS. MONCRIEFF: Catherine Moncrieff with the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you. Welcome, everyone. I am going to let you know that we apologize that the meeting got changed from Tok to Fairbanks. We were having issues of having a quorum, so we needed to make a decision so we could have a quorum here. It's time to review and adopt the agenda. I have a couple announcements first.

Andrew Firmin won't be here today and he would like to take the proposals in his region -- I'm going to have to pull up the ones he's interested in. It's basically in his region 25. He said he'd be happy if we would take those up tomorrow.

Do we have any problem with that?

MR. BASSICH: Nope.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Let me tell you which ones they are. And then in the meantime — let's just look at them real quick. 52, 53A and B, and 56, okay, will go tomorrow. Then I had a request. These crossover proposals in 11 and 13, one person from Ahtna cannot be here tomorrow and would like to be here for those. Would we have any trouble taking those first?

That would mean the crossover proposals 17, 18 and 19 will come after 16/50 and we will do 19 first. At our SRC meeting we got wrapped around the axle pretty bad taking up 17 first. There's more information in 19 that helps you understand the proposal.

 Okay. I think that's all I have for changes and requests. Did I miss one? Oh, yeah, I needed to add the wildlife special action. There's a Wildlife Special Action Request 17-05 and we will take it after the proposals. Okay.

 $\,$ And then we had on the agenda the Board of Fisheries proposals and Board of Game. Do you guys

```
have any numbers or do you just want to look at it
     today and then when it's on the agenda just bring them
 2
 3
     up then, the numbers that you're interested in?
 4
 5
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   It's not on the agenda.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, we didn't
     put it on the agenda. My apologies. So I would say
 8
 9
     that would have to come right after all the other
     proposals are done we'll look at Board of Game unless
10
     you guys have another place you'd like it.
11
12
13
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Sue.
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Andy.
16
                     MR. BASSICH: It might be useful if
17
18
     some of these are going to pertain to the Fort Yukon
     area or the Upper River to take these up when Andrew is
19
20
     here.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                               Right.
2.3
24
                     MR. BASSICH: He's pretty active in the
25
     fisheries up there, so maybe we could put those on the
     agenda for tomorrow.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
28
     pretty flexible, guys. If we forget something, I'm not
29
30
     opposed to bringing it up as we well know. This can
31
     happen because we're Bush rats, I guess, and we might
     not have it down perfectly when we do these agenda
32
33
     items, but we thank God for our coordinator to help us
     out here.
34
35
36
                     Is there any other ones?
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Make a motion we adopt
41
     the agenda.
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I have a
43
     motion.
              Is there a second.
44
45
                     MR. GLANZ: I'll second it.
46
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
```

49 50 discussion.

```
Page 8
                     (No comments)
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All in favor.
 4
 5
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
 8
     opposed.
 9
                     (No opposing votes)
10
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, passes.
13
     Next. Review and adoption of the minutes.
14
                     Virgil.
15
16
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Yeah, I've read all the
17
18
     minutes. There's a few typos in there. I have one
     correction and that is on Page 8 where I was referring
19
20
     to a study done by the Park Service that Hollis
21
     Twitchell had given us and it was pertaining to the
     Toklat River fall chum salmon and the wolves that were
22
     radio-collared that after the Park Service got the
23
24
     mortality signal they recovered the bones and then run
     them through the isotope analysis and it says here in
25
     our minutes the study showed that on
26
     average 20 percent of wolves diet consisted of salmon.
27
     That's not what it was. It was on average 24 percent
28
     of the annual diet of the wolves was salmon and one
29
30
     wolf had over 40 percent of its annual diet of salmon.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
                                                       So
33
     that is your correction?
34
                                     That's the correction.
35
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
38
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Other than that I
39
40
     didn't see any other things that I noticed that should
     be changed other than the fact that we have a few
41
42
     typos.
43
                     So I move to accept the minutes.
44
45
                     MR. GLANZ: I'll second that.
46
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: With the
     corrections?
49
50
```

```
Page 9
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: With the corrections.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. GLANZ: I'll second.
 4
 5
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Unless someone else has
 6
     another question.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Have you all
 9
     looked at it, do you have any other corrections.
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
14
     discussion.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All in favor.
19
20
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                                Anyone
23
     opposed.
24
                     (No opposing votes)
25
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Motion
     passes. Now Council Member reports.
28
29
30
                     Start with you, Andy.
31
32
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. I guess the main
33
     thing on my mind as always is usually the fisheries
     topics. I, like everyone on the Yukon River was really
34
35
     pleased to see the king salmon come in and be able to
     prosecute fisheries in a way we hadn't really expected.
36
     So a lot of subsistence harvest, good commercial fall
37
     chum -- summer chum harvest.
38
39
40
                     So I think managers need to be
     commended for being able to adapt in season quickly and
41
42
     make sure that fish were out there. So I just wanted
     to put on the record that I thought managers, both
43
     Federal and State, did a tremendous job on the
44
     fisheries.
45
46
                     It doesn't mean we're out of the woods.
47
48
     Historically we're still low, so we still need to
     continue to do conservation and education to people.
49
50
```

My personal opinion is we need to continue conservation until we get at least one full life cycle and/or we come back up to historic levels of king salmon.

The fall chum run in Eagle was exceptional this year as it was everywhere. Most people up there did extremely well on their fall chum fishing, which is a really critical resource to the people in Eagle.

I guess my biggest concerns right now are probably the caribou and the moose in our area. I'm not sure of the exact numbers, but I know not many moose were taken from the Eagle area. We're still having a lot of issues with a lot of competition in our area. The caribou never really showed up in our area during the earlier fall hunting season, so not many caribou were harvested by Eagle residents either.

I wasn't able to attend the Fortymile Coalition meeting due to river conditions. I did listen in on it and I guess we'll be getting more reports on that during the meeting, but it sounded like -- I did try and listen in. It sounded like it was a really productive meeting and I guess there will be a follow-up meeting in January on that.

Probably my second biggest concern really is climate change and the way it's impacting animal migrations and also the hunting seasons. I'm not sure there's much we can do about that except for I think we're going to begin to see more and more proposals that are trying to extend seasons later into the year, which is really important for people who live in remote areas and don't have refrigeration and other means to take care of their meat. I think we're going to have to do some adaptations to some of these long-standing hunts for the benefit of people that live in remote areas.

I think the other issue -- well, that's good enough for now.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Lester.

MR. ERHART: Yeah, it was good to see the king salmon come back from the Yukon. I didn't

know I missed them that much. But that was a great thing. We had a good fall chum run too.

That's about it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Bill.

MR. GLANZ: I hate to beat a dead horse, but we still have a problem with the caribou hunters in our Central area. We had 575 caribou taken in two days in our neighborhood. The troopers even told us at the coalition meeting the other day that we were so afraid to get out of the trucks. We didn't get out of the trucks unless there's a life or death situation. That's how bad it was. It was crazy. There was fog. People were down in the ditch dressing caribou while somebody was shooting over the top of them by Galena.

When I was in the Service, we used to have a free fire zone. Anything that moved you shot it. That's what it reminded me of. Anything that moved got shot.

Anyway, the caribou went up to 75,000 on the herd numbers roughly. We started with a few thousand in 1991. So they're really making a comeback. The moose are doing pretty good with all the big burns we had in '04, 6.4 million acres. There's lots of browse for them.

Also the caribou are losing weight, the new ones. They've been going down for the last five years. So we're going to increase the harvest on them, which we had to do by us and the Canadian coalition. We set these numbers. When it got over 70,000, we were going to take 4 percent of the herd. Whereas we got 75,000, what the guesstimate is from all the censuses we have of the animals. So it's really positive in there.

 I don't know what we're going to do about it. There's no sport hunting there. Now they're going to be at 90-110,000 taken out in this winter hunt maybe, but I'm guessing. Just to keep the herd down because the caribou weight has been going down six years straight, which means they aren't getting into the other areas. They're still stuck in the same place they've been running around in the last 40 years that I

can remember.

They'll start going when they get hungry enough. They'll start going across into the White. They used to run from Fort Yukon all the way to Fairbanks, Dawson, White Horse and they're starting to come back into those areas, so everything is pretty successful for the caribou.

I wish I could say the same for the moose, but we got a few.

Anyway, talk to you later.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Don.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you. Welcome everyone. My main update would be the photo census that Bill was talking about. They've got a digital photo census this time. This is about the Fortymile Herd, sorry. This is the first time they've gotten a digital photo census since 2010. In 2010, the herd was around 50,000. Now it's between 71,000 to 75,000 max.

Bill was talking about the calf weights. In 2013-14 the calf weights were 125 pounds. In 2017 they were 110 pounds. So there's some red lights flashing about habitat over-grazing.

Move on to the wolf control of the calving area. In the past probably 10 years the goal was a 75 percent reduction of the wolves on the calving grounds. They've maintained that pretty much. The mortality on the calves is 34 percent grizzly bear and 33 percent wolves and they have 90 calves collared with GPS collars. The calf survival rate is about 60 percent.

So in January we're going to have another Fortymile Harvest Coalition meeting to set up the new harvest management plan for 2018-2023. Some of the things we're going to try to promote is herd growth, increase the allowable harvest, provide a reasonable opportunity for harvest for subsistence and perhaps stabilize the herd.

The Canadians have a problem with that because they want to see the caribou increase until they're in their historic range. So that will be quite

an interesting discussion. One of the limiting factors, of course, is the habitat.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Virgil.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. I want to speak about the king salmon issue a little bit. We had way more king salmon counted at the sonar at Pilot Station than we've had in the last 10 or 12 years. However, I only know of king salmon being weighed by two different people who actually had an accurate scale. One was Stan Zuray weighed larger fish that people brought to him to weigh in the rapids and the largest king salmon he weighted weighed 28 pounds. And then Dr. Bud Fate he weighed some at their fish camp and that is about 35 miles downstream from the bridge on the Yukon and his heaviest king salmon that he saw was just a little less than 30 pounds.

 So genetically -- and then another thing I wanted to point out is the ASL, the age of the king salmon, we have a lot more five-year-olds, not near as many six-year-olds as we used to have, so it's going to take a long time for the genetics to recover from the large mesh gillnets that have been used for years and the drift gillnetting that started in the early '80s with large mesh gillnets targeting the large fish and only the smaller fish actually reproducing, passing on their genes.

And then another thing is that on the United States side of the border we had actually low escapement numbers in the places where we actually count the king salmon, but into Canada it was higher numbers, about 70,000 I believe, but anyway it doesn't add up. If we really had that many king salmon cross over, why didn't the river like up the Koyukuk where Tanana Chiefs runs the weir and up the Gisasa where Fish and Wildlife Service runs a weir and up the Andreafsky River where Fish and Wildlife Service runs a weir, why didn't those systems have more than the average number of king salmon than they've had for the last 15 years.

That's all I have, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you.

For my report, as your Chair sometimes a couple things might fall through the cracks. For our annual report there was a mistake that we're going to have to look at and fix. We were talking about a Park Service proposal with the bears, the May season for wolves and coyotes. I'm sure Katya has a hard time following us sometimes. It said we were opposed to the one for collecting horns and antlers. They're two different ones. So in that report we're going to have to fix that. I have it flagged here and we'll make sure we deal with that when the time comes.

Andy, you forgot the hunter ed meeting that you were so passionate about.

MR. BASSICH: I know we're going to talk about it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. So Andy and I attended a hunter education and hunter ethic meeting in Fairbanks. It was a two-day meeting. So we'll talk about that later.

I attended the SRC meeting in Glennallen. Before the SRC meeting we had a caribou meeting for all the caribou that go into the Park, which is the Chisana, Mentasta and Nelchinas, and it was the same day as the first day of the Fortymile meeting in Tok. So I wasn't able to go to the Tok meeting.

It's somewhat refreshing to me to see the managers getting together and talking about certain things to make management a lot better. So that was a really encouraging meeting for me with the Park Service because the Fish and Wildlife Service from Tetlin was also there.

At the end of the SRC meeting we got a report from Becky Schwanke, who used to be the area biologist in Glennallen, about the wild sheep people working on a disease that's transmitted nose to nose with domestics and wild sheep. They actually had people -- I think they say there's like two to three hundred domestics in Alaska and 30,000 or more Dall sheep, wild sheep.

There has become a concern that these nose-to-nose things would happen in the Lower 48. When

it happens, the whole herd dies off and there's no cure for it. So it's become a concern with the wild sheep people. But Becky had reported to us at the SRC meeting that 2 to 5 percent of the domestics in Alaska they actually came forth and let them test their animals to see if they had it. So 2 to 4 or 5 percent they figure of the domestics in Alaska has it.

So they're working on it and they were very pleased to see it was a low number. They actually have to sneeze on the animals and be nose to nose for this to transmit. So in the Lower 48 they have like 30,000 domestics running around it's just a skewed number compared to here and they have complete herds that died off. So it became a concern and it was just good to hear that it was a low percentage here.

That is all I have to report.

Next would be our coordinator.

MS. WESSELS: For the record my name is Katya Wessels and I'm Eastern Interior Coordinator. So I just wanted to touch upon a few items. One is the call for Council membership applications and nominations. It is now open to anyone who is interested and qualified to serve on the Regional Advisory Council or if you know anyone who you would like to nominate to serve on the Council, we have applications available.

This is a very important subject to this Council because currently we have only seven seated members. We have three open seats. Those seats should be filled out by the end of this year since people applied last year. The whole application process takes a year.

So when people apply the beginning of the year, the applications get taken in, then the applicants get interviewed, then a special panel convenes that reviews the application and a report is later compiled and sent to the Secretary of the Interior and Secretary of Agriculture. The end of the year the people are nominated for the open seats.

We don't know what's going to happen next year, but this year, as you can see, we needed to move our meeting from Tok to Fairbanks because we were

in danger of not getting a quorum in Tok. So it's very important to have all the seats filled. And it's also important for people in the communities to be able to participate in this public process and serve on the Council so they can relay the concerns of the people from their communities.

On my part I try to do the best to recruit people to apply at different meetings and communities, but I hope the Council Members, because you know people in your communities and your area, you're very respected members of your communities, you know how Council works, you know what the work entails. If you know anyone, please ask them to apply or maybe nominate someone if you know.

That is why also the other subject is youth participation, why youth participation is so important. When the youth comes to the meeting or younger people they see how the Councils work, they might get interested in the work and might become potentially in the future new Council members. That is why it's great that James Kelly and the youth that he brought are here so they can see the work of the Council and what the Council discusses, what subjects are of importance.

I just also wanted to mention some sad news. Probably a lot of you already know that, but I just thought it was important to mention that two Council Members, one from the YK Delta RAC, Greg Roczicka, passed away. He was on the Council for 14 years. Another Council Member from Northwest Arctic RAC, Raymond Stoney, also passed away and he served on the Council for 24 years.

So let's have a moment of silence in their memory.

(Moment of silence)

 MS. WESSELS: Thank you. Also based on the last meeting I just wanted to remind everyone about the general rules of behavior. This is a public meeting and it's being recorded, so we need to remember to follow Robert's Rules of Order and refrain from using any kind of colorful language that might offend someone in the audience that is here or on the phone. Also please remember to put your cell phones on vibrate

and if you need to answer your phone calls, please step out of the room.

Thank you. That's all.

MR. BASSICH: Sue.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I'm really glad you brought up the membership on our Council. We've been talking about this for years trying to get younger people on. One of the thoughts I had I'd like to explore with OSM is to see if a seat could be created on the Council with a representative from UAF, schools where they're training younger students in either fisheries or wildlife biology. So I'm wondering if there wouldn't be a possibility of basically creating a seat that UAF could appoint a person who's involved in and interested in fish and wildlife to sit as a Council Member.

So it's just a thought I had because it's really difficult to get young people on it. Their lives are just beginning, they move on and so does their seat. So it's maybe a way to get some consistency and also engage youth or people that are upcoming. So I just wanted to throw that out as something to explore the possibility of.

We're allowed, what, 13?

MS. WESSELS: I think you will need to change your charter to increase the membership to 13, but you can vote on increasing your membership. Thank you for your proposal, Andy. I'm going to run it by the authorities at OSM and see what we can do and I will let the Council know.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, or any system to get young people in. The suggestion was UAF, but anything that anybody comes up with that might work.

 MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I think the important thing is if you have an established organization, whether it be through the university or some other TCC or some other organization that has an established program training youth in various areas, if

the seat was dedicated to that entity to then appoint, and I'm sure it has to go through OSM and everything too, but there might be some way to work around that to get the consistency. That's the important thing. Even if it's not the same person, it's a seat that's filled by youth or people that are engaged in fisheries and wildlife in Alaska or at least showing interest in it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Uh-huh. It would be good to check that out and see if we can make it happen. Okay. Anything else.

Bill.

 MR. GLANZ: I've had a lot of the young people get involved with us in our AC, but the minute we have meetings and it's going to go more than one day, they won't go. Just like this meeting here. If you're a young man with a family working, you come here you lose four days of pay. I mean that's what really stymies the recruitment in my areas. I can't get anybody in Circle to run. I can't get anybody in our area to run. Well, I did get one guy in Circle to run. We'll see what happens on that deal. But I mean it's just hard to recruit.

That's all, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, I think another problem we run into is there's so many meetings now. What did you tell me earlier?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ GLANZ}\colon$}$ One of my old bosses at the BLM, I didn't know what he did, so I said what do you do for the BLM. He says I meet.

(Laughter)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. It does kind of -- the travel in Alaska too it makes it even more difficult for people to get around and go to all the meetings.

Anyone else.

(No comments)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Next on the agenda is service awards. Did you have a plan

for this?

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we have a plan. Orville Lind is going to present service awards. Orville is our leadership team lead for this meeting.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Welcome,

Orville.

MR. LIND: Quyana. Thank you, Madame Chair. Council Members. It's a pleasure to be here. I just wanted to give a quick background of my government employment. I was hired with the Fish and Wildlife Service back in 1991 as a Refuge Information Technician. I was an RIT for about four years and then headed up to King Salmon, Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Refuge as a ranger and became a research vessel captain for about three and a half years.

 December 20th will mark my three years as a Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. During that time of service I spent since 1993 on a nominations panel for the Bristol Bay Region. It is a very important role that RAC members play for the resources that we depend on. It is important to have very outspoken subsistence users, commercial users, to find some balance out there, especially when we're dealing with commercial users, sport users, subsistence users. A lot of changes out there. Climate change, migration changes. So my hat is off to each and every one of you.

We want to acknowledge a couple members here. Madame Chair, if I understood earlier, Mr. Firmin will be here tomorrow?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.

 MR. LIND: Okay. I would like to postpone his award until tomorrow. Thank you. I would like to start off with Mr. Lester Erhart. He has 10 years. He was born and raised in Tanana and has been a hunter, trapper and subsistence and commercial fisherman most of his life. Mr. Erhart is considered one of the most knowledgeable members of the community and knows the region like the back of his hand. He is a respected leader and communicator who has shared his knowledge and understanding in many ways. In addition to serving on this Council he has served as the second

chief of Denakkanaaga, Incorporated -- forgive me if I've said that wrong -- on the city of Tanana and Tanana Native Councils and Denali National Park Service Resource Commission in the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association.

Folks, if you'd join me in recognizing Mr. Erhart's 10 years of service on this Council and his tireless efforts on behalf of the subsistence users in this region.

We present you with this plaque, sir.

(Applause)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Speech.

(Laughter)

MR. LIND: You know, as we look at the time put in as volunteers on these panels it takes a lot and we really appreciate your commitment.

The next person we have to recognize is Mr. Andy Bassich. Andy has lived in the region and maintained a subsistence lifestyle for several decades. Living apart from the main community of Eagle, Mr. Bassich depends on subsistence resources for his lifestyle on the Yukon River. His travels in search of subsistence resources take him different parts of the region, from the Yukon Charley National Preserve to the Bureau of Land Management, Taylor Highway, Wild and Scenic Corridor in winter months to hunt caribou.

His wide variety of roles in several councils over the period of the last 15 years extended his travels across the region and allowed him to interact with a variety of other subsistence users and understand their issues. Mr. Bassich's work on these councils allows him to learn from many others throughout the region and an advocate for the subsistence users from his area.

Besides this Council he has served for 20 years as a chair of Eagle Advisory Committee and as a vice chair he also serves on the Yukon River Panel, the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission and the Fortymile Herd Harvest Coalition. He also spearheads the Council's effort to address growing user conflicts

in the Eastern Interior Region.

Again, it's my pleasure to recognize Mr. Bassich's efforts, his 15 years of service on the Council and a subherb and articulate supporter of subsistence users in this region.

Would you please join me in presenting

(Applause)

MR. BASSICH: I'm going to say

14 something.

this award.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.

There's a speech coming.

 MR. BASSICH: You know that I don't ever keep my mouth shut for very long. I guess the first thing I want to say is -- I've said this also with the Yukon Panel, which I've been involved in a long time -- one of the things that I think about these advisory committees and these organizations is that after about five or six years you begin to see the same faces over and over again and it's almost like you're going to a family reunion when you come to these meetings. I see many of the same faces that I saw when I first started this and that's always a nice thing to come here.

The second thing I want to acknowledge is just the knowledge that I gain from the people around the table here. Virgil and Sue and Lester, Bill, Don. Everyone here has 30-plus years of living with the resources in various ways. So I think one of the really interesting things about this is gaining and hearing the different perspectives from everyone on the Council here and then being able to hopefully -- this Council is sometimes a little bit diverse with each other but we always come up with good plans of actions and I think we represent all the different user groups while here. So I really value what I hear from the experiences of the other Council Members.

I did want to add one more thing. One of the things that's really tough about being on any of these is that it takes a long time to get things done.

Sometimes when you get young people coming in they think they're going to come in and they're going to change the world in one or two years and I think that's the hardest lesson for anybody that gets involved in any of these fish or wildlife issues in the state of Alaska and that is you have to be very patient.

I oftentimes leave these meetings and I go home and sometimes I scratch my head, like why the heck am I still doing this, and then I sit down and I reflect about what it was like 10 or 15 years ago and what we've accomplished through management, through changes in regulations and that kind of reinvigorates me to realize that we do make changes. It's just slow and you've got to be patient.

 Thank you. Appreciate it. I enjoy coming to the Eastern RAC meeting a lot and I really enjoy the Federal program. No knock on the State, but I really enjoy the Federal program because it's a program that I feel is designed to really protect people that live the way that I live in a remote place that rely very closely to the resource. Without the Federal program, I'm not sure where we'd be with that right now. So I do appreciate this effort by OSM and the Federal government to protect true subsistence use of wildlife and fish in the state of Alaska because we're a dying breed.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thanks, Andy.

MR. LIND: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you, Council Members. That ends my presentation.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Orville. Okay. I just want to remind people that have come in that didn't introduce yourselves earlier please sign in on the sign-in sheet.

Next on the agenda, public and tribal comments on non-agenda items. Do we have anyone online or in the room that has any public comments on non-agenda items. Go ahead.

MS. WESSELS: This is Katya Wessels. I have a public comment that was emailed to me. It's a non-agenda item. So that person, her name is Teresa

 Page 23

Balboni, she said that she was going to come to the Eastern Interior RAC in Tok, but she's not able to come to Fairbanks. So I intend to read her comment that she emailed to me if you allow that.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Sure.

MS. WESSELS: So just remember these are not my words, but that's what she said to me. Thank you all so much for the current State only 100-foot off the road closure. The closure ought to be for both type hunts. The Federal subsistence as well as the State. Shooting a moose from a moving vehicle is never fair chase. It's for hunting. That is slaughter plain and simple. Stop it by making the regulation for both Federal subsistence and State hunting.

Here is why. Space is so confined that animals have no other path to move or migrate safely but on the road. This Canyon Road narrowly follows the O'Brien Creek to the Fortymile River and the animal will travel the easier path by waling on the wide road, completely susceptible to the waiting vehicles, drivers move in and the passenger seat person is rifle ready to blow its brains out.

Usually there is the backseat hunters also. That's one moose or caribou to three hunters. I have actually seen pickups that stop and outflow the men in camo with rifles and with arms from the doors and the camper shell both.

Another tactic used by a local I witnessed firsthand was the killing of game birds by his speeding vehicle. Not too safe. More moose are killed right on the old State camp area Mile 113. It is wide open there. Normally this area is a safe haven for the moose all winter, spring and summer long. They take this route to avoid predation from wolves. Known wolves are less likely to come close to human habitation. That is a friend safe zone.

Place some antler regulation on the moose hunt instead of any bull moose. That is why everyone comes up here from as far away as Southeast to hunt moose during the State open moose season.

Make game cameras illegal. How can using these consider fair chase? If someone baits

bears and has a camera up and are too damn lazy to check the traps on their own without the game camera. Really? We're humans. Support these.

Request more bothersome and steadfast law enforcement for this corridor during the entire hunting season. If ADF&G is raising the quota on caribou next year, there needs to be money to have AST and BLM rangers here. These officers ought to move wholly enforce the land ethic during the times when this country is under it's most heavily populated season.

Note where campers are camped. Let the people know LE has seen them. Talk to them. Ask where they're disposing of human waste and their trash. Ask them to remove all the flagging and other identifications they're placing on the vegetation indicating their whereabouts to their friends when they're no longer needed.

 Ask where they're wood cutting as if it's unnoticeable. Ask them not to ring trees for the next season's fire pit. Ask them to not make new trails on virgin tundra with their ATVs.

There was one other email she sent, so let's see.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The same

person?

MS. WESSELS: Yes. Sorry it's so long. As a resident on private property adjoining BLM land here in Fortymile county I must speak up on some issues and ask you all to do your job to preserve the special qualities of the land here. There are too many demands put on this land during the 50 days of hunting season.

 We didn't save Alaska so that trails could be cut through virgin tundra by fresh users of ATVs hoping to have their own bid on wilderness experience, who inadvertently expose the permafrost causing melting and an eventual stream of water falls onto the highway at Mile 117. The next hunter, curious where this trail goes, cuts off the road and makes the trail more inviting for the next ATV user out here hunting on the road and so it goes. Another off-the-

road trail through tundra.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

Unless you get here before the State hunt opens one will have a hard time finding any empty pullout or gravel pit not already overtaken by RVs or other vehicles. All the trailheads or off-the-road trails such as Mile 103 there must be about 50 vehicles when the caribou are here descending the country on four-wheelers.

11/8/2017

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

I'm speaking as far south only from the Y to Mile 96, Jack Wade and Chicken are not areas I will speak about here. We all love this country for its wilderness and at the rate of increasing hunters each fall this wilderness will most definitely deteriorate. Unless people have to pay for their violations we will never see positive change for the land. We will need more law enforcement to keep our land value wild-looking. Before we raise any quota on caribou, please make sure you will be able to have the Federal and State law enforcement rangers here. Make it go hand in hand. Raise guotas, raise coverage.

22 23 24

Thank you.

25 26

Teresa Balboni.

27 28

That's it.

29 30

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you. She must live on the Taylor.

31 32

Andy.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

MR. BASSICH: Just to kind of let people know. They have a piece of property right where the Fortymile Bridge is along the Taylor Highway. were gold miners originally. He became a DOT worker, retired from that, but they've been living there long before I ever came into the region. They're seeing the increasing issues revolving around the caribou migration there. So they're very passionate as you can tell about trying to get some ethical hunting going on. It's dangerous around their place.

44 45 46

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, yeah. Ι

47 48

For people that aren't MR. BASSICH:

49 50 understand.

```
familiar with that part of the highway it's basically
     down -- the road system is down in a fairly narrow
 2
     valley for many miles in that stretch, so you can't get
 3
     off the highway really to hunt or do anything and
 4
     that's why there's such an issue with road hunting in
 5
 6
     that area. There was some regulation put in to restrict
     hunting from the road last year. That was in response
 7
     to some of their concerns, I think.
 8
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Right.
10
     like my husband told me, when caribou and hunters get
11
     on the road together it's a nightmare. I've witnessed
12
13
     a lot of it myself. Yes, I understand.
14
15
                     Bruce, I have your card, so I think
     we're going to get to it, okay. I have your deal here.
16
     Okay. Moving on to old business. Hunter education
17
18
     update.
19
20
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   Can we have a five-minute
21
     break so we can hook the computer with the
     presentation.
22
2.3
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You betcha.
25
     We'll take a five-minute break here.
26
27
                     (Off record)
28
29
                     (On record)
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Everybody
32
     take your seats.
33
                                   Everybody take your
34
                     MS. WESSELS:
35
     seats.
36
37
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   Madame Chair.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Yes, go
     ahead.
40
41
42
                     MS. LINNELL: This is Karen Linnell
     with the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission.
43
                                                       I just
     wanted to let you know I was online.
44
```

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

45 46

47

48

49

50

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

Welcome, Karen. Thanks for joining us.

MS. WESSELS:

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right.

Thank you, everyone,

again. For the record, my name is Katya Wessels, Eastern Interior RAC coordinator with OSM. I'm going to give a short update on the hunter ethics education program that we're developing for the Eastern Interior.

So what is the issue at stake. That's understanding and tolerance for different cultural hunting values as a means to reduce waste and work towards better hunter ethics in the field. The Office of Subsistence Management was tasked by the Eastern Interior to develop an education outreach strategy for a pilot program with goals to reduce user conflict between user groups, promote understanding and tolerance for different cultural and hunting values and encounter respect in the field.

In your books you can find their report that was presented to the Board. I just want to very quickly give you the background why Eastern Interior is so passionate about hunter ethics education. Starting in 2009 the Council became increasingly concerned regarding user conflict and wanton waste and voiced their desire that there's a need to have a hunter ethics education program. The Council wanted to move forward in a positive inclusive way to include all the users. The Council also wanted OSM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife to work collaboratively with the State of Alaska to develop this education strategy.

During the last meeting that happened in winter of 2017 in February there was a lengthy discussion about ethics and education. Then there was a little break-out meeting between OSM, our representative from the State of Alaska and the Council representatives. We talked about what we can do, what avenues we can take. Because the Council felt so serious about this subject the Council voted to adopt hunter ethics education as a regular agenda item. That's why we have it on our agenda.

 So after the February meeting of the Council OSM developed and presented a draft action plan, which you see in your meeting books, and a timeline for development of the outreach strategy and pilot projects and these were presented to the Board. The Board listened. We discussed all the background. We said all the times this topic was in the Council annual report, how many times it was discussed during the meeting and what the Council would like to do.

The Board basically approved the plan and timeline and directed OSM to proceed with a plan. So the general Board directive. Those are the words from one of the annual report replies, the Board fully supports this effort and looks forward to a successful program. So we have the backing of the Board.

Then what did we need in order to proceed. Of course we needed funding. An opportunity came. There was a call for grant applications from U.S. Fish and Wildlife internal program which is called Connecting People With Nature. That program focuses on engaging people of any age in outdoor recreations. Examples are hunting, fishing, wildlife/fish viewing. So it was kind of down our alley. It also was focusing on providing learning opportunities for people.

 So in the spring of this year the theme for the call for the proposals was breaking new ground with State and tribal partnerships and that's what we want for this project. We want to have partnerships with State and tribal organizations. So OSM submitted a proposal that was titled Building Partnerships to Understanding and Trust, Bridging the Cultural Gap by Promoting Responsible and Ethical Hunting Practices.

We submitted the proposal at the end of the spring in May. That's when they were due. We didn't know for the longest time if we will get funded or not. So then in August we got a notice that our proposal got funded. But there was a caveat. We had to spend the money before the end of fiscal year.

We scrambled to put a meeting together before the end of fiscal year. So the meeting of hunter ethics education brainstorming workshop took place in Fairbanks at the Morris Thompson Cultural Center on September 28th and 29th. It had a great turnout from the representatives of the stakeholders. The Eastern Interior RAC members, two members were present; Sue Entsminger and Andy Bassich. OSM had two representatives. There were several representatives from BLM, NPS, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Air Force, State of Alaska, TCC and UAF.

 People were really eager to talk about this issue because it's very, very important to many people who work in this region and they know quite a bit about what had happened. This is just one of the

photos from the meeting. You see the people who were present during that workshop.

So what were the workshop objectives. The objective was to initiate an open dialogue and exchange of ideas between the Federal land management agencies, State of Alaska, Council Members and user groups. So the discussions were very lively and very informal.

The second objective was identifying existing user conflict problems. Then collectively contribute to the development of ethics, education and outreach strategy. Jointly develop ideas for a pilot project that can be implemented in 2019 and will test this strategy. Of course, you know, all the further steps are funding dependent and we will need to seek further approval of the Board. As you see, the conversations were very lively and people were happy to participate.

 So during the discussions the following issues and emergent trends were determined. The importance of respect, mutual understanding, modes of access to hunting locations may influence behavior. Domino effect of shifting access. I'm not going to read the rest of them. You can read them on the screen yourself.

But we are in the process right now of preparing a report from this workshop. The Council Members have a draft copy of the report. It's in your supplemental materials. It's a pretty lengthy report, it's 50 pages, but I really encourage you to look at it. If you have any ideas or suggestions that we can include in that report before we finalize it, I would like you to do that.

 Also, anyone who was present at the workshop who did not have a change to provide me their comments, they can do so after this meeting and we will include them. This is just another photo from the workshop and there were more issues and emerging trends identified that you can see here. All of them are outlined in the report and all the main points made by the workshop participants are included.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ then we also had breakout discussion on these topics, the factors contributing to hunter

conflict. The types of audiences for hunter ethic education, what best media and strategies we can employ, best educational practices that can be applied to the development of the ethics education strategy and the key information gaps that exist.

So then we broke into three groups and the three groups develop three project concepts. I don't know if anyone from the group that developed Project 1 concept is here. Were you on this one? Do you want to talk about it, maybe just a few words?

MS. KENNER: I would have to review.

 MS. WESSELS: All right. Well, it's a voluntary proposition. The first project concept is kind of a global. It actually aims at the whole state, though we're right now working with the Eastern Interior Region and trying to develop the strategy for Eastern Interior Region and a project for Eastern Interior Region. We're hoping if this strategy will be successful in this region, it can be extended to the whole state of Alaska. Therefore this particular group who developed this project concept we're already looking at the whole state.

Yes, Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Maybe the most important thing from what I remember of this was -- you can go back to that slide.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, I will. I just by accident pressed out.

MR. BASSICH: There we go. The very last thing where it says shared values. A lot of this particular project was based on identifying what all hunters have in common, building on all the concepts, the methodologies, why people get out and hunt and building -- trying to work strongly to use that as the core bond to bring all these different user groups together.

 That was the main focus of this project and it was more of a broad reaching throughout the entire state, whereas I think you'll see some of the other ones where -- and this is kind of a really -- it was determined this would take a lot of money to do

this, to spread this over the entire state. So this was one of the biggest of the projects that was kind of developed in a sub-group committee format.

MS. WESSELS: In the report we say about this concept. This is a statewide hunter education campaign implemented via our collective action public/private/community partnership to unify Alaskan hunters around shared values.

There was a second project concept and it involved a local community liaison program. It's a target hunter outreach program. I would like to ask Nathan to talk about that a little bit because he was one of the key people on that group.

MR. HAWKALUK: Thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. I'm Nathan Hawkaluk. I'm the Acting Refuge Manager at the Yukon Flats National Wildlife Refuge.

Much of the information that we talked about is up there. As suggested, it's a pilot project. If it works, I guess the model could be applied elsewhere across the region and even across the state if it seems like a viable alternative. The idea is to bring together stakeholders mostly around the Fort Yukon area as that is kind of a bottleneck for hunters moving into the eastern part of the state to hunt mostly up on the Arctic Refuge, but some perhaps on the Yukon Flats.

Focused on the airport area as most air taxi operators funnel through there. Again, it's to develop a community message and focus on those hunters moving through and kind of just opening a dialogue between the two groups.

That's it kind of in a nutshell. We've started to work with the Council of Athabaskan Tribal Governments. James Kelly was here representing them. We've talked conceptually as to how we might move forward with this pilot project. We're kind of working on a draft scope of work to move forward. Some funding would be nice to include to get this off the ground, but it's such a low cost right now that we can kind of move forward without some additional funding and we're having those discussions now.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, just to build on that a little bit too I think from my perspective one of the things that was really important about this particular project is that it involved community members actually meeting and talking with hunters coming into the area, so you get that direct contact between those that are locals and those that are coming in from other areas in the state and that's a big part of this task, is how do you communicate between rural and urban people.

They think differently, they have different values and the only way to really get that across a lot of times it's most effective if it's just one on one. To me that was one of the most important things about this project as it's local community stewardship based and one-on-one contact with people, which is a really effective way to begin getting messaging out.

MR. HAWKALUK: Thank you. Thanks for that addition. One thing I'd like to add also is that we recognize the importance of the air taxi operators to the success of this project, so I've reached out to one that operates out of Fort Yukon and he conceptually was on board with assisting with the project as it's proposed.

 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Nathan. So that was the concept for the second potential pilot project. The third project concept it was titled, Don't Be That Hunter. It was a campaign to establish proper hunting ethics within a target group. I would like to ask Andy Bassich to talk a little bit more about that because he was an instrumental person in the development of this.

MR. BASSICH: Okay. So one of the things that was identified overall in the group is that oftentimes some of these things might be a real issue to somebody but not very real to another person. So your perception when you go out into the woods, is it a problem or is it not a problem, was identified as being real. In both cases it's real.

 There's a lot of talk especially in the Fairbanks area here where you have two military bases and new military personnel coming up and wanting to have their Alaskan experience of going out and hunting

and not really having much experience or maybe not a lot of training on what it means to go out and hunt in Alaska. Not having probably any contact with some of their more rural communities or the different cultures that are out in those communities.

So it was identified that there needs to be an outreach effort aimed at military personnel coming into the state in particular because we're in the Eastern Interior here, the Air Force Base and the Army Base.

So this project here is basically dependent upon working with the commander of the base, getting permission to come in, having him set up a time and a place where an outreach program that would incorporate all the different agencies. So BLM would have a representative there, State of Alaska, so on and so forth, National Park Service.

Everyone would have a representative there to talk about their issue just to kind of give an overall view of what's going on in the state if you come onto their lands to hunt and fish. What are the rules, what are the regulations, how to conduct yourself.

The idea behind this is it could probably be implemented fairly inexpensively. It's not going to cost a lot. It was also identified to try and get some funding from outside sources. Outside the state of Alaska.

We all know State and Federal funds are drying up, so why not begin to reach out to some of these entities that supply hunting gear, four-wheelers, snowmachines, boats. All these places that are making money from Alaska hunters coming to buy gear. They should be kicking in a little bit to help support the effort to educate and address the issue of hunter conflict.

So a big part of this is just getting the message out. We found out at this meeting that the State of Alaska actually has quite a bit of material for education and outreach already developed, so we don't have to invent the wheel here. We just need to begin to coordinate all the different efforts are there and then begin to build on the messaging.

One thing great about going to the military bases is it's a captive audience. If the commander says you show up at this time and you must go to this training, it's a done deal. So it might be a really great way to test some of our strategies, see how things work. It can be very focused and fairly cost effective and probably be initiated in a relatively short timeframe just like the previous objective or program. It could be implemented fairly quickly with a little bit of organizing.

So I guess to summarize it basically was recognized that the military personnel that hunt in the state potentially are a problem. We don't know if that's true or not, but in the view of some people it is, so it needs to be addressed.

We also recognize that 99 percent of the people that go out in the field don't want to do something bad. Oftentimes they just don't know better. They just weren't taught. We have a large population of people, young people that were never taught by grandfathers, fathers on how do you hunt. We have a whole group of people now in the state of Alaska and throughout the entire United States that they buy a gun, they want to go hunt and nobody has ever taught them anything.

So the idea is let's get them some background information. Let's give them some basic training and respecting other people, respecting the lands that you're on, respecting the cultures that you're in and just try and do a good job when you get an animal down to get the most out of it. Very basic things that are non-controversial, but I think everyone wants to know. Oftentimes people don't know but they're afraid to ask because they don't want to look like they don't know.

 So by developing some of these programs, getting that information out to people, I think even people that have been hunting for many years can learn new techniques from other people.

Thank you.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you very much, Andy. So just as Andy said there's a lot of information out there that has already been developed.

Unfortunately sometimes people don't know where it is and it's not very clear where to find it or they have other technical difficulties.

Like I just was at the AFN and I found out about one very interesting program. It's put together by the Alaska Native Medical Center.

Apparently they have a program that have Native menu for the inpatients and they accept donations from anyone who is willing to donate any type of Native foods. They pay for the shipping and they will store the food in their freezers.

I don't know many details about it, but this is just one of the new interesting piece of information that I found. I talked to a few people about it and they never heard about it. We need to find avenues to distribute this kind of information because I think it will be very helpful.

 So what do we do next. I mean we had our workshop and we're working on the report. How are we planning on proceeding. So between this meeting and upon the Council's blessing, we will finalize the report and we will work on developing the outreach strategy and refining the pilot project concept before the next meeting in February.

If funding allows, we're hoping to have another brainstorming meeting right before the Eastern Interior Council meeting in February or whichever date you determine it will be held. In the meantime we'll also reach out to other potential partners and stakeholders. Here on the slide you see some that we outlined. So we will look for the additional funding of costs.

 After the next meeting, upon the strategy approval, we will finalize it after this meeting and then we will present it to the board maybe at the meeting in April, but I don't know if it will be ready by then or not. If it's not ready for their next meeting, then it will be the next one after that. Then it will be time to select which pilot project we're going to test. We're hoping that in 2019 we will be able to test the pilot project.

That's all I would like to report to you today. If you have any questions, comments,

```
Page 36
     suggestions, I would like to welcome that at this time.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are you going
 4
     to keep this on?
 5
 6
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   I can.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I would
9
     prefer not being blinded.
10
                     MS. WESSELS: I can turn it off.
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
                                                       Then
14
     we can go into that.
15
16
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    I have one question.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Donald.
19
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you.
20
21
     wondering how you're going to reach out to the
     nonresident hunters from the states, Lower 48?
22
                                                      Thank
23
     you.
24
                                  Yeah, I think this is in
25
                     MR. BASSICH:
     a conceptual form, but it would seem to me that most of
26
     the non-State qualified hunters would be working -- a
27
     lot of them through hunting guides or air taxis to get
28
     them from one place to another, so that's one place.
29
     You saw a long list of partnerships, so addressing the
30
     air taxis and the guiding operations is going to be
31
     pretty crucial for them to be involved.
32
33
34
                     As far as people that drive up to hunt,
35
     I think that's an issue, but I think that's something
     just through media campaigns, trying to get the
36
     information out there, that's about all you can really
37
          When they contact Fish and Game, they can get
38
     information from Fish and Game on....
39
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Right.
42
43
                     MR. BASSICH: .....when they get their
     hunt permits and that sort of thing.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But there's
46
     also national organizations like SCI and Wild Sheep and
47
     all these other organizations that they could reach out
48
     to and they have a strong membership. I think just
49
```

being with the State and working with the State there might be a requirement for people to have a hunter ed more than there is today.

MR. WOODRUFF: I notice in one of the Board of Game proposals they're asking to do away with the youth hunter education and that's just so many steps backwards. It just seems odd.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I have to agree with you, yeah.

Katya.

 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Katya Wessels. I just wanted to clarify. We, as the Office of Subsistence Management, we cannot establish any mandatory hunter ethics education program. We're just planning to work through collaboration with other agencies and entities. Whatever we develop will be strictly voluntary.

 If State of Alaska decides on their own to change any of their requirements, it will be completely up to them. We're just trying to keep people informed, provide information and whatever pilot project we're choosing it's going to be a volunteer participation base.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Vince, I see you waving your hand quite a bit.

MR. MATHEWS: Well, real quickly. The Yukon Flats Refuge with the Back Country hunters and fishers have reached out and we were guests at one of their newcomer briefings at Fort Wainwright and that group is pursuing paying for a booth at the newcomer meeting. So that's something, whoever is the chair of the subgroup.

 The other reason is I'm getting a lot of messages that people are not able to call in, so I think it would be a good time to find out who is online. They're getting messages that all lines are busy or something to that effect. So maybe good to see who's online. I've been encouraging them to keep calling back, but I'm not sure they're getting through.

```
Page 38
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Who's going
     to handle that? Anyone online right now?
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. OWEN:
                                This is Pat Owen at Denali
 5
                     I'm still on.
     National Park.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are you
 8
     having problems?
 9
                     MS. OWEN: Not at all, but I did not
10
     hang up when we took the break before.
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay.
                                                      Anyone
14
     else online?
15
16
                     MR. ROBBINS:
                                   Yeah, Frank Robbins with
     Fish and Game.
17
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did you have
20
     any trouble?
21
                     MR. ROBBINS: No, not at all.
22
23
24
                     MS. LINNELL: Karen Linnell.
25
                     MR. MATHEWS: Okay. It's Arctic
26
27
     Village contacting me about they're not able to get
     through, so I'm hoping they're online now. Otherwise
28
     I'll call them back and see -- I'll call them directly
29
30
     to find out what the problem is.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Arctic
     Village, are you on?
33
34
35
                     MR. MATHEWS:
                                   Okay.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okav.
     need to take care of that during lunch.
38
                                              Any more
     discussion.
39
40
                     Virgil.
41
42
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. The Fairbanks AC
43
     is going to meet tonight and we will get -- we get this
44
     every year about this time of year from Fort
45
     Wainwright. They have a coordinator out there for all
46
     the hunter education and the hunting. Very cooperative
47
48
     as far as trying to not interfere with the hunting
     seasons and their training activities. Anyway, we're
49
50
```

going to get a briefing on that at the Fairbanks AC tonight.

I noticed that they weren't involved in this meeting that took place or it didn't say they were. It said Eielson was, but not Fort Wainwright. Anyway, I just wanted to point that out. Like Andy or someone said a while ago, they make their own rules and regulations on their lands.

 But as far as for years the Air Force, especially with these visiting pilots, because they have this red flag training they call it about three times a year or so and they bring pilots from all over the world, all allied nations, to us to do training because this is the largest training area that the military has for both troops on the ground and for flying. For practicing their bomb runs and all that kind of stuff.

 They've really got me concerned and exasperated at times. My son and I both have videoed aircraft jets buzzing sheep and flying underneath us even when I know what the rules are. They're violating their own rules. It's because they're a bunch of young guys full of testosterone and they're out there having fun and they're practicing what they've been trained to do.

So they don't realize that when they fly over sheep real close and especially in the spring time after they've lambed and the ewes take the lambs up in the cliffs to get away from the wolves, that that jet fighter flying by them a couple hundred feet away maybe, they're just going to super-startle them and no telling how many fall off a cliff and no study has ever been done on that.

 I've been out spring bear hunting in May when the sheep are calving, the caribou are calving, the moose are calving, they're all calving. I've had those planes fly over me. They come up from behind you and like an 810 especially, but they don't have those here anymore, but we've got F-15s, S-16s. We're going to have the F-35s, F-22s. I mean these things are super noisy when they fly right over your head a couple hundred feet above you. If they come from the back, you don't even hear them until they're right on top of you.

But anyway, that's something -- I don't know if it got mentioned, but that's something I've really complained about and the Park Service did have a mitigation committee for Yukon-Charley River at one time, but it was over Peregrine Falcons, not the sheep. I know the Deputy Commissioner for Fish and Game at the time because I was on the Board of Fisheries going to the meeting. I couldn't go to it. They had one in Fairbanks with the Park Service and I don't know whether BLM was there, but a bunch of military people. They played my videos my son and I took, so then they couldn't deny what they were doing.

But these young guys need to be briefed what the consequences are. I don't know if that got addressed at this thing or not, but that's really a big concern of mine about it.

But the Army is real cooperative. They seem to be more cooperative than the Air Force on having good relations with civilian community, but they will be at the Fairbanks AC tonight.

MR. WOODRUFF: Where's it at?

 MR. UMPHENOUR: It's going to be here. I believe it's going to be here. I think. In fact, I better check. I don't even know where my meeting is for sure.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We'll get a report whenever we know. I understand. That happened to me in the Delta Controlled Use Area one time and it just -- you fall out of your skin when you hear them guys come over.

Okay. On this subject -- I see the hand. Is it about this?

MR. CAIN: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Come

 MR. CAIN: Thank you for recognizing me. I'm Bruce with the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. I think this is a wonderful initiative. I have one suggestion for something that we tried down in the Southcentral Region and it never really has gotten anywhere. It's about the road hunting safety. We just

 on up.

heard a big issue about that. We have the same issue along the Denali Highway and in the Sourdough area where the caribou cross.

When this happened, I think two years ago, when the Federal season was open after the State season and the caribou were crossing we had the same problem when it was just the Federally qualified subsistence users. I was telling everybody I said, hey, this is us and it's going to make us look bad.

We got together with the Ahtna people and we said this shooting at each other at the caribou crossing it's not going to be us. We know how to handle ourselves, but there was quite a few people coming down from the military base at Delta that are qualified, but it was also us.

So Dennis Teitzel at the time I said we need some kind of road hunting safety brochure that goes in with those Federal permits. So you might add that to your -- I didn't see that on there. It's the same issue you've got up on the Fortymile. Yours is probably worse than ours, but it's the same issue.

 I don't know how to deal with it, but I mean if you sat down and thought about it I think you could figure out there's probably a way -- if you're going to have road hunting and hunt the caribou when they cross the road, there's probably a way to do it organized or somehow, but there's basic rules. You know, you've got a group here, a group here and caribou crossing in the middle, everybody's swinging their guns.

Anyway, that's all I have. We would certainly be interested in the progress of this and would like to participate when it goes to a broader audience. It's really important. I think, you know, it's just like when we were kids, we used to bring our shotguns into the school and put them in our lockers. That's how ethical we were. We knew to open action and unload the gun and carry it with -- and, you know, our teachers would go hunting with us in the morning before school.

I mean it's just a different world now. People need to -- you know, you didn't have to tell us. My dad was a World War II combat veteran. I mean if I

didn't do it right, he knew what to do. But nowadays it's just different. So it's good that you've got a program going there.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Don.

MR. WOODRUFF: In response to your comments, the Fortymile Coalition had the troopers come to our meeting and they identified some very positive ways to control the hunt a little bit and one was requirement for hunters to wear orange and a shotgun only hunt on the road corridor and stuff like that. So we're going to be addressing those issues when we develop the Fortymile plan.

MR. CAIN: Thank you.

2.3

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's all good information. Thank you, Bruce. As a Council now -- I guess I should ask. Is there anyone else who would like to speak to this at all that's in the audience or online.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Because we all know what the problems are. I guess you could go all day about problems. We need solutions. It's really nice to have started a program like this. We had one State guy at that meeting, the hunter ed guy in the Fairbanks office. It's incredible how much the State has already done a lot of hunter ed pamphlets that are out there and they're really beneficial. I think having them onboard too is awesome, so that means a lot to me.

I don't know that we need to, but Katya said we might as well endorse this going forward. Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I'd like to make a motion that Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council support further funding and support from OSM to continue to develop this joint hunter ethics and education program.

MR. GLANZ: I'll second that gladly.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Motion is made to support the program continuing. Anymore discussion.

MR. BASSICH: I'll just reference all the comments that were made earlier and the unified voice from all agencies. I didn't hear anybody dissenting that they didn't want to hear or see any of this, so I think there's a broad support and funding needs to be made and efforts need to be made to develop the pilot program.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Let the record reflect the comments that were added that we didn't take up and adding the military base. Okay.

MR. GLANZ: Call the question.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The question has been called for. All in favor of the motion to support the continued effort for hunter education.

IN UNISON: Aye.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anyone

opposed.

(No opposing votes)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Motion passes. All right. New business. Now this would be a question. Do you guys want to do lunch now or do you want to start on the proposals and take up the first one and go a little bit later since we started at 11:00.

MR. BASSICH: Let's go later.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Moving into wildlife proposals. The first proposal on the books is WP18-16/50, extend moose winter season in Unit 11. This is a crossover proposal and then it goes into those ones that we talked about earlier. We've got a new biologist. Go ahead.

Andy.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BASSICH: I'd just like -- I really appreciate the analysis and everything. One thing that

would really help me as a Council Member when we put these booklets together would be to have tabs put in that would help me to locate.....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You mean like

I did?

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, like what you've

9 done.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I did it

myself.

 MR. BASSICH: That would be extremely helpful in negotiating this bible here that we have. Just a recommendation to those at OSM that put this together. It's great information, but I think I spend a half an hour every time I go to read it just trying to find or get back to where I was.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: They need to provide these little guys with us when we don't have it. It really works.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Andy. We'll take your recommendation under consideration for the next meeting.

 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Thank you, Madame Chair and Members of the Council. For the record, my name is Megan Klosterman and I'm a wildlife biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

Right now I'm going to go over Proposal WP18-16/50, which can be found on Page 55 of your board book. These proposals were submitted by Keith Rowland of McCarthy and the Eastern Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council, requests a one month extension of the winter moose season in the southern portion of Unit 11, also referred to as the FM1107 permit hunt area or the winter hunt area, from November 20th through December 20th to November 20th through January 20.

The proponents state that the winter moose season has been in effect since 2014 access has been difficult due to warm winters. Ice has been

forming later on the rivers and even by December 20th, the last day of the current season, there is often insufficient snow cover for safe travel by snowmachine.

No moose surveys have been conducted in this winter hunt area in Unit 11. One was planned for 2016, but there was not enough snow cover. There are three main survey areas in Unit 11 with the Crystalline Hills analysis area being the closest one to the winter hunt area. You can see this on Map 2, which is Page 65. Moose populations within these survey areas remain stable to slightly increasing through 2013. This winter moose season has been in place since 2014 and during this time only one moose has been harvested.

If this proposal is adopted, the winter harvest would likely remain low and would continue to be controlled through the use of registration permits. The extension of the winter moose season in Unit 11 would also allow Federally qualified subsistence users more opportunity to harvest a bull moose in the winter hunt area.

Because of this the OSM preliminary conclusion is to support Proposal WP18-16/50.

Thank you and I'm available for any

 questions.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Council Members, any questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It was asked to me by Megan actually. This is in a crossover proposal for Unit 11 and we -- 12 does not have C&T at all on that hunt is what you were telling me earlier?

 MS. KLOSTERMAN: In that specific area of Unit 11, so where that winter hunt takes place, residents of Unit 12 do not have C&T for that specific area.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And I explained to her that being on the Subsistence Resource Commission of the Park we took up that proposal and we even put one in, I think. So that's why this stuff happens. It gets confusing even for the user at times.

```
Page 46
                     Donald.
 1
 2
                     MR. WOODRUFF: I'd like to move to
 3
 4
     adopt WP18-16/50.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think we're
     early. We need to go through all this process first.
 7
 8
 9
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    Okay.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
                                                       All
     right. Anybody have any questions.
12
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No questions.
     Now I have to go to a report on Board consultations
17
18
     with tribes and ANCSA corps?
19
20
                     Orville.
21
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Madame Chair.
22
     For the record, Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM.
23
24
     On September 14 consultation was conducted. There were
25
     no comments or questions on this proposal.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Thank you,
28
     Orville. Agency comments. ADF&G.
29
30
                     MR. ROBBINS:
                                   Madame Chair.
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.
32
                                                      Frank.
33
                                   This is Frank Robbins in
34
                     MR. ROBBINS:
35
     Glennallen. I have a short comment.
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
37
                                               Okay, Frank.
38
                     Go ahead.
39
40
41
                     MR. ROBBINS: For the record, my name
42
     is Frank Robbins. I'm the area biologist in
                  There is no current moose population
43
     Glennallen.
     estimates for the FM1107 hunt area. However, only one
44
45
     bull has been harvested on an FM1107 permit since 2014.
     Decreased daylight and cold temperatures in January
46
     will make hunting difficult, resulting in only minimal
47
     increase in harvest. Because bulls will have dropped
48
     antlers prior to the proposed January 20th season
49
50
```

```
Page 47
     closure, it is possible that there will be some
     accidental cow harvest.
 2
 3
 4
                     The Department is neutral on this
 5
     proposal because it does not create a biological
 6
     concern for the moose population. There has been very
     low participation in this hunt, mainly from residents
 7
     in the McCarthy area. While snow and frozen rivers
 8
 9
     will aid access in the hunt area, cold temperatures and
     short days will likely limit harvest.
10
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Is there any
15
     other ADF&G comments.
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Federal
20
     agencies.
21
                     (No comments)
22
2.3
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Tribal?
25
     Does Ahtna have some? These are agency comments and I
     have tribal. I'm confusing myself here. Orville, does
26
     that -- this is different.
27
28
29
                     MR. LIND: Yes.
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
31
     might come back to this because I think -- isn't this
32
33
     -- this is the time for any people's comments, right?
34
35
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Yeah.
36
37
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Public testimony, number
     6.
38
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Bruce, didn't
41
     you give us a card and you wanted to be here for this?
42
43
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, he wanted to.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.
     this is the time that you would comment.
46
47
48
                     MR. CAIN:
                                Thank you, Madame Chair.
     Bruce Cain with Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission.
49
50
```

Our comment on Proposal 18-16/50 was that -- and I believe this is just for the moose hunt in the McCarthy 2 3 area, right? So we really didn't see a problem 4 extending it or leaving it the way it is. It's kind of like what Frank was saying. There's not going to 5 6 really be a lot of participation up in that -- it's a pretty remote area. You've got to fly in or live up 7 there in McCarthy. Some of the trappers there I think 8 9 are really about the only ones that are doing that right now. 10 11 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But legally 12 they can't fly in because it's in what we call the hard 13 park, so they have to go by surface transportation. 14 15 16 MR. CAIN: Fly in to the McCarthy area. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, I'm 19 sorry. Okay. 20 21 MR. CAIN: Anyway, our comments are more concerned about the 17, 18 and 19 that we're going 22 to be addressing later. So thank you. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 25 So are you neutral on this one? I have something here from 26 27 CRITR. 28 29 MR. CAIN: We put the take no action 30 based on the recommendations for 18, 19, but that may 31 be a mis.... 32 33 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We have in our book a letter and it says 18-16 extend winter moose 34

season Unit 11. It says do not support.

MR. CAIN: Yeah.

38 39

35

36 37

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: See comments on 18 through 17.

40 41 42

MR. CAIN: We recommended take no

43 44 45

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. So that has changed from this report.

46 47 48

MR. CAIN: I quess.

49 50 action.

```
Page 49
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No action.
 2
     Okay.
 3
 4
                     MR. CAIN: No action, don't support,
 5
     however you want to view that.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Oh, take --
 8
     okay. Are we clear? Any questions?
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are there any
13
     other tribal comments.
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
18
     online.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                                Okav.
     Advisory group comments. Other Regional Councils, Fish
23
24
     and Game Advisory Committee comments or Subsistence
                           Since it's all on Park land it
     Resource Commissions.
25
     comes back to the Subsistence Resource Commissions
26
27
     comments.
28
29
                     Barbara.
30
31
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Madame
     Chair. I've got a comment from the Wrangell-St. Elias
32
33
     Subsistence Resource Commission, which as you know is a
     Federal advisory committee that represents subsistence
34
     users of Federal lands within Wrangell-St. Elias
35
     National Park and Preserve.
36
37
                     The SRC met a couple weeks ago and so
38
     their comment on this proposal is that the Wrangell-St.
39
     Elias SRC supports WP18-16/50. Extending the season
40
     will give hunters a better opportunity to safely cross
41
42
     the Chitina River to access the hunt area. The SRC was
     actually the proponent of the proposal that created
43
     this hunt four years ago.
44
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Any
47
     questions.
48
                     (No comments)
49
50
```

```
Page 50
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I believe,
     for your information, Karen is from the Ahtna
 2
     Intertribal and she voted for this, right?
 3
     Karen Linnell is also on the SRC and she voted for it.
 4
     So was Gloria and they voted for it.
 5
                                            Thank you.
 6
 7
                     Summary of written comments.
 8
9
                     MS. WESSELS: For the record, Katya
     Wessels. The Office of Subsistence Management received
10
     one written public comment on this proposal and the
11
     comment received was from Ahtna Intertribal Resource
12
13
     Commission.
14
15
                     The comment was we do not support
16
     Proposal WP18-50.
17
18
                     That's it.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay, I need
21
     clarification.
                     Karen, are you still on?
22
2.3
                     (No response)
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did you have
     something to add, Megan?
26
27
28
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   Yes.
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, Karen is
31
     still on.
32
33
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   Sorry. I was trying to
     take my phone off of mute. Yes, ma'am. We originally
34
35
     opposed it because we were going for a -- because of
     our other proposal regarding Unit 11. I think it's 18-
36
     17 or 18-18. So that's why we opposed it because we
37
     thought we could fit everything into that one proposal.
38
39
40
                     So at this point we are, as Bruce said,
41
     neutral.
42
43
                     Thank you.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you for
     the clarification.
46
47
48
                     Megan.
49
```

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Yes, Madame Chair. I just wanted to also mention that the Southcentral RAC met earlier this week and they did support this proposal.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you. Any public testimony. If you are online, you've got to take your phone off of mute. You can be talking and not realize you're not talking to anyone. Star 6.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Now,

14 Donald.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WOODRUFF: I make a motion we adopt WP1-16/50. Thank you.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Second.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's been moved and seconded. Comments. Go ahead, Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I'm just going to go down our bullet points that Vince so aptly drove through us while he was our coordinator to make this all official.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Good job.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BASSICH: See, Vince, it may have taken 10 years, but you finally got us to do it the right way maybe.

(Laughter)

MR. BASSICH: So is there a conservation concern. We've heard testimony from managers that there is no conservation concern. The recommendation to support by substantial evidence such as biological traditional ecological knowledge. It looks like this is a proposal that will help people to harvest in the area.

 Will the recommendation be beneficial or detrimental to subsistence needs. It's obvious in this summary and report from OSM that this will be beneficial to those people living locally to be able to allow them to have an extended season when weather

```
Page 52
     conditions are better to access this resource and take
     advantage of it. I didn't hear any opposition saying
 2
 3
     that it would restrict other users' efforts.
 4
 5
                     Madame Chair.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
 8
     discussion. Donald.
9
                     MR. WOODRUFF: I think that we should
10
     speak to this proposal about reasonable access or
11
     opportunity for hunters. We're tasked to make sure
12
13
     that hunters have reasonable access and this obviously
     deals with climate change and access and reasonable
14
     opportunity for harvest, so I think that speaks loudly
15
16
     to me.
17
18
                     Thank you.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
21
     discussion.
22
                     MR. GLANZ: I'll call for the question.
23
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The question
     is called for.
                     All in favor of the motion.
26
27
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
28
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
31
     opposed.
32
33
                     (No opposing votes)
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Motion
36
     passes.
              Okay, do you guys want to break now or take
     one more?
37
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: Let's do one.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It might be a
42
     hard one.
43
                                   Is it a hard one?
                     MR. BASSICH:
44
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's a hard
47
     one.
48
                                   All right.
49
                     MR. BASSICH:
50
```

 Page 53

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think we better break for lunch now, guys. What do you think, come back by 2:30 -- okay, we'll come back by 2:30.

(Off record)

(On record)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Andy stepped outside, so I guess he'll be right back. Moving right along. Everybody is here but Andy and Lester. I think Lester needs to be away for a while, but he'll be back.

The next proposal is WP18-19. Megan will introduce the proposal.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Hi, again. For the record, my name is Megan Klosterman. WP18-19 can be found on Page 321 of your board book. The proponent, Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resource Commission requests that AITRC be allowed to distribute Federal registration permits to Ahtna tribal members for the Federal caribou season in Units 13A, 13B, and 13 remainder. The proposal also requests that the Ahtna Advisory Committee be added to the list of agencies and organizations consulted by the Bureau of Land Management Glennallen Field Office Manager when announcing the sex of the caribou to be taken in Units 13A and 13B.

The proponent states that per the Memorandum of Agreement between the United States Department of Interior and the AITRC, Federal wildlife proposals are to be written to accommodate Ahtna customary and traditional ways of harvesting large wild game. The proponent also states that AITRC will distribute Federal permits in a customary and traditional manner to Ahtna tribal members, advising them where and when to hunt.

The delegation of authority cannot be granted to non-Federal agencies as requested in this proposal. An alternative, based on the language found in the November 29, 2016 Memorandum of Agreement between the Department of Interior and the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission was considered. The Memorandum describes initiating rulemaking to allow the Federal Subsistence Board to issue one or more

community harvest permits to the resource commission for a community harvest system authorizing the harvest of moose, caribou, and possibly other wildlife species.

It is further described in the MOA that the resource commission could then manage harvests by participating Federally qualified subsistence users who reside in the participating villages within a framework established by the Federal Subsistence Board.

Instead of individual permits, AITRC would provide the Department and Board with a list of all participants who will be hunting under the community harvest permits. The resource commission will also provide Federally qualified subsistence users participating in the community harvest system with a harvest tag or some other form of identification showing their eligibility.

This alternative would avoid the legal uncertainty associated with this proposal and thus could be implemented within the existing legal framework of the Federal Subsistence Management Program.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to defer Proposal WP18-19 until further guidance is received from the Solicitors Office and Department of Interior.

That's all I have.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Council Members, any questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No questions. A report on the Board Consultations with tribes and ANCSA corporations.

MR. LIND: Madame Chair, Council Members. There was no discussion on the subject through consultation.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Orville. Agency comments. ADF&G.

MR. ROBBINS: Madame Chair.

```
Page 55
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Yes, qo
 2
     ahead.
 3
 4
                     MR. ROBBINS:
                                   I have a short comment.
 5
     For the record again, this is Frank Robbins, State area
 6
     biologist in Glennallen. The Department is neutral on
     how Federal permits are administered, but cautions
 7
     against making administrative changes that could affect
 8
 9
     harvest monitoring and population management in what is
     already a very complex management situation.
10
11
                     Additional measures may be needed to
12
13
     ensure that complete record and timely reports are
14
     available for in-season and post-season management and
     for other decision-making processes.
15
16
17
                     Thank you.
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Thanks.
                                                         Any
20
     questions.
21
                     (No comments)
22
2.3
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
25
     Hearing none. Moving to any Federal agency comments.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Tribal.
29
                                                         For
30
     those who signed up we have Bruce Cain.
31
                                   Madame Chair.
32
                     MS. LINNELL:
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes, Karen,
35
     go ahead.
36
                     MS. LINNELL: Yes, this is Karen
37
               I will speak on behalf of the Ahtna
38
     Intertribal Resource Commission. As I couldn't be
39
     there in person I had Bruce put in a card for us.
40
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
43
     ahead, Karen.
44
                     MS. LINNELL: I just wanted to give a
45
     brief update on where we're at with the Memorandum of
46
     Agreement. We've been working toward implementing
47
```

48

49 50 that. Since the signing of the agreement at the end of

November and with the proposals due in January, we

didn't have time to finesse it as much as we would have liked. We just wanted to get something in as a placeholder and those are the proposals you're going to review right now.

At your last RAC meeting last winter you folks had approved a committee charter for the Ahtna subsistence local advisory committee that was approved by both the Eastern Interior and Southcentral RACs and by the Federal Subsistence Board. Since then it's been on hold in the Anchorage office because with the change in administration all of the FACA committees were under review, including the Federal Subsistence Board and the RACs.

Those had gone through review now and that's why your meeting date was pushed back a little bit. Since then we have received some funding through the Indian Affairs Department to form an elders council and to receive training on both the State and Federal management systems and to document our own tribal management system and to conduct a carnivore study. The carnivore study will fill an information gap that will benefit both State and Federal management.

We also were invited to participate in a caribou management working group by the Wrangell-St. Elias Park Superintendent. The group consists of the National Park Service, BLM, the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC, some of those members, and AITRC. From that working group we came up with five committees or working groups to gather information. One on the Nelchina, one on the Mentasta, one on the Chisana Caribou Herds, one on collaring and collaring methodologies, how to improve that, and one on access.

This was a great opportunity for partnership across the landscape.

We also completed a conservation innovation grant that included taking all the public mapping information available with many agencies, including BLM, National Park Service, Natural Resource Conservation Service, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Department of Natural Resources and Forestry, and put it into one database on one platform because they were on very different software. It's all in one place now.

With that we were able to conduct a vegetation analysis of the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory. We developed a caribou and moose habitat models. With that we wrote up a 10-year habitat management plan on Ahtna lands. Throughout that whole program I think the most important piece that we did was develop our partnerships. We actually received some training on moose browse surveys with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and BLM in the Glennallen office there.

And then to speak to the proposals themselves, again they were placeholders as we were in a time constraint to get something in. We believe that moving forward with this to keep the ball rolling to get things moving is an important part of that cooperative management that was undertaken by the Secretary when we signed the MOA.

 What I would like to see is that we modify the proposal that's before you to establish a community harvest system for moose and caribou in Units 11 and 13 to be managed by AITRC and open to Federally qualified rural residents of the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory. This is language that comes out of the MOA.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Any

 questions.

Andy.

 MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that. I've got a question. If this were to be implemented, would there be anything to preclude an Ahtna tribal member from going to one of the other agencies to get a permit to hunt?

MS. LINNELL: No, sir. This is not a mandatory participation. Any of our neighbors that live within the Ahtna Traditional Use Territory that want to participate in this community harvest may do so and any tribal members that don't want to may go directly to the Bureau of Land Management or National Park Service for their permits as they currently do.

 I also want to speak in answer to the State of Alaska's comment regarding permit reporting, requirements and having data in a timely fashion. We currently manage a community harvest hunt under the

State management system. We're very thorough in our reporting and in meeting those reporting requirements that they have.

Our hunt coordinator does the best that she can to collect data immediately and our participants have been very good at calling in and reporting in a timely fashion.

MR. BASSICH: A follow-up question. I'm trying to get it wrapped around my head why you're putting this in. I guess I want to hear confirmation from you, maybe I'm off, what Ahtna is trying to do is provide for the ability to continue to carry out their traditional ways of hunting. So both means and times of hunting and maybe methodologies. Is that what the purpose of this is so that you have maybe a little bit more ability to continue traditional practices on this particular hunt or is there something more or am I missing something?

MS. LINNELL: Well, it's to establish a cooperative management and then, yes, to continue with our traditional hunt methods. In the MOA one of the things that it says is that it will be implemented immediately following -- at the earliest possible -- going through the process, the earliest possible opportunity, which is this wildlife proposal season. If we wait, it will be two more years out.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ that's why we put the proposal in when we did.

I'm sorry.

 $\qquad \qquad \text{Southcentral RAC actually had the MOA} \\ \text{in their proposal folders or book.}$

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We have it

MS. LINNELL: Okay. I'm looking for

43 it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We have it on Page 331 in our books.

MS. LINNELL: Okay. There it is. Thank you.

 also.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Karen, I had a question. At our subsistence resource commission meeting we discussed this both on and off the record and we agreed to Ahtna being able to issue permits both to tribal members and other qualified users.

MS. LINNELL: Yes, ma'am.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think that's all we agreed to, I thought. Are you seeing it differently?

MS. LINNELL: No. That's what that was what was told to us or that's what we agreed to at the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC at the meeting in Homer yesterday and the day before with the Southcentral RAC. We had OSM Staff there as well and we went through their arguments or their comments to the ability to issue permits and with the current CFR, I think it's 100.10, there is no ability for them to delegate outside of their agencies.

But there is the ability for the Federal Subsistence Board to issue a community hunt permit which will then be able to within that community hunt permit have the permittee designate and issue, for lack of another word, permits to those participants.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. I think what was happening at the SRC meeting that I want to confirm with you, I think the SRC just said, hey, we disagree with OSM. You guys should be able to do the same permit. So when people are in the field it's exactly the same permit and that is my understanding we agreed on. Can you help me out?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ LINNELL: That was what was agreed to at the SRC, yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. Any other questions.

(No comments)

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Moving on. Thank you, Karen.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you.

```
Page 60
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anyone else
     in teleconference land would like to speak on tribal.
 2
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
 7
     is advisory group comments. Other Regional Advisory
     Councils, then Fish and Game and SRC. Southcentral.
 8
 9
     Are you going to speak to that one? Okay.
10
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: Thank you, Madame
11
     Chair. The Southcentral RAC earlier this week, as
12
     Karen already mentioned, supported this with
13
     modification to put into place a community harvest
14
     system for moose and caribou in Units 11 and 13 to be
15
     managed by the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission
16
     and open to Federally qualified rural residents of the
17
18
     Ahtna Traditional Use Territory and use current Federal
     regulations for seasons and bag limits.
19
20
21
                     They also voted to make a
     recommendation to the Board seeking Secretarial
22
     modification to issue Federal permits to all types of
23
24
     Federally qualified users.
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
26
                                               Okay.
                                                       Thank
27
     you. Any questions.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay.
31
     Is there any Fish and Game Advisory Committees that
32
33
     took this up?
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Next is the
37
     SRC.
           Barbara is going to give more information.
38
39
40
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Madame
     Chair. For the record it's Barbara Cellarius with
41
42
     Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and I'm going to read
     comments from both the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence
43
     Resource Commission and the Denali National Park
44
45
     Subsistence Resource Commission.
46
                     I'll start with Wrangell-St. Elias and
47
48
     Sue has already alluded to this. The Wrangell-St.
     Elias SRC supports WP18-19 with modification, that it
49
```

also applies to moose hunts in Units 11 and 13 and that the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission have the authority to issue permits to all Federally qualified subsistence users not just tribal members.

The SRC supports the idea of AITRC issuing Federal subsistence permits, however recommends they be able to issue the permits to all qualified hunters noting that it is important to work together without dividing communities.

The Denali SRC also met recently. The Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission supports a modified version of WP18-19. Specifically, the SRC recommends amending the proposal to support the potential for AITRC to issue all permits. This proposal was submitted by AITRC.

 The Denali SRC supports Denali National Park collaborating with AITRC to issue Federal subsistence moose and caribou permits for GMU 13 in Cantwell. Partnering with AITRC provides an opportunity for the Park to share information and develop relationships with AITRC and local subsistence users.

Those are the two SRC comments I have.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any

 questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Next is summary of written public comments.

 MS. WESSELS: For the record, Katya Wessels. Office of Subsistence Management received one written public comment in support of the Wildlife Proposal WP18-19. The comment was received from Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission and it reads that we support WP18-19 to allow Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission to distribute Unit 13 Nelchina Caribou hunting permits to Ahtna tribal members who are Federally qualified customary and traditional use hunters.

Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission has management capability to distribute Unit 13

Nelchina Caribou permits to tribal members. Ahtna, Inc. staff who are on loan to the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission has coordinated the Copper Basin community subsistence hunt since the year 2009. Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission has experienced staff to distribute Nelchina Caribou permits and ensure tribal hunters return caribou permits.

That's the end of it.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you. Do I have any public testimony.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Is there anybody online that wants to speak to Proposal 19.

(No comments)

2.4

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right. I'm ready for a motion. Council, what's our

recommendation.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Move to adopt Proposal

28 18-19.

MR. WOODRUFF: Second.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Discussion. I do want to just give you a little bit more information. There was quite a bit of discussion on this. At our SRC meeting we took up 17 first. That's why we took up 19 first because it just got too confusing with both 17 and 18 in front of 19. It has more of the stuff that you need to wrap your head around.

 We went to lunch and we sat and talked and everybody said we can agree to Ahtna being able to issue the same permit that OSM does. We really didn't care that OSM said that they couldn't do it. We believe it's not a problem. They should be able to do it. So figure it out is kind of where we came from.

 $\,$ A lot of people in the region feel a little uncomfortable going down to that new guy at the

BLM office. The Park Service has been pretty much the same people for a while, but they feel more comfortable going in and getting their permit from people that know them. We felt that we could really support something like that.

Now what I'm hearing is that Southcentral were told that they can't do that, so then they would get the -- they have to have a community permit. That sounds like a different permit to me than the one they would get from the Federal government. So now you complicate things even more for the user.

If this helps you guys understand what we decided. Okay.

Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: I think as long as the permits are issued to all Federally qualified subsistence hunters, then I don't see any issue with them having that delegated authority.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Right. That's what we agreed to. It should be both. So even if a person like a tribal member decided they didn't want to go in to Ahtna and get their permit, they could still go down the road. So there was just another —to me, I look at it this way. I'm a vendor for fish and game licensing and it's just another vendor that gives out the permit. So I guess I have difficulty understanding why something like this can't be done.

Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I would agree with that. Going by our bulleted discussion points here there doesn't seem to be any kind of a conservation concern with this proposal. It's just allowing for hunters to have another opportunity to get their permit from a different entity.

 I understand that because where I live if I had to go to Tok or Fairbanks to go get a permit to go hunt moose or caribou, it would be a big inconvenience. So the ability to have a vendor there in Eagle to take care of all these different permitting and also get the information that you need on bag limits and hunting times and seasons is really

important to have that in your local community.

I also support the fact that Ahtna has been pretty much ahead of the ball game on trying to work to have community hunts to manage their game in their area for long-term sustainability and I really applaud that effort to do that. So I'm definitely going to be in support of this.

Also that's why I was asking the questions about hunting traditionally. I think one of the struggles that we're dealing with now is that we've had a lot of generations and different types of fisheries or different type of hunting activities that have lost some of these traditional methods and means.

It sounds to me like they're trying to encourage and teach and make sure that some of their traditional values and methods are going to be sustained and I think that's incredibly important because once you lose that it costs a ton of money if you can even achieve it. Most of the time it's very difficult to achieve.

So I think this is another effort to keep it kind of -- I'm going to say in-house a little bit more and I think that should be supported. I believe this will be beneficial to the Federally qualified subsistence users in that region for the reasons I stated above. And it doesn't sound like it's going to restrict any other people. It's just basically opening up a new venue for getting permitting.

That said, Madame Chair, I'm going to be in support of this proposal.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. I just want to clarify something. The proposal, if you read it, requests for a community hunt, so it would be a different permit than the regular permit that they would get. If I understand it correctly here, Southcentral supported with modification and then they said to establish a community harvest system for moose and caribou and 11 and 13 to be managed by AITRC to open to Federally qualified rural subsistence users of the Ahtna Region.

I guess, you know, taking baby steps in

things, what the SRC adopted is different. They adopted where it's the same Federal permit. This would be a different permit. For me, personally, I'd go with the SRC because I hate to see people trying to figure out what permit they need to have when they're out hunting. So allowing Ahtna to be just an arm of the government would -- I have no problem with that and the SRC agreed to that and that's what we agreed to at the meeting.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Thank you, Madame Chair. I want to try to clarify and I hope I'm saying this correctly, but I think this proposal is looking for AITRC to manage the hunt basically within their historic territory, which would be a different permit than what we have in our regulations. I think they're wanting delegation of authority to change bag limits and seasons, which then would have to be a different registration permit of some sort.

 Currently, under current regulations, OSM cannot do that and that's why our preliminary conclusion was to defer until we can get further guidance from the solicitor's office and DOI to see how we would go about anything like that.

So what's in the regulation books currently would still be in the books and I think this proposal is looking for additional permits and hunts on top of that managed by AITRC if I'm not mistaken.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I believe that sums it up quite well.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{UMPHENOUR}\colon$$ I need to ask a question.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Virgil would like to ask a question.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. We're getting a confusing thing going. There's a Federal moose hunt in the Koyukuk National Wildlife Refuge and the people that get the permits can only hunt on Refuge land. They cannot hunt on Native corporation land. So my question is would they be able to hunt caribou on Ahtna land with these permits?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Who can

answer that question?

MS. LINNELL: Madame Chair, I can.

4 5

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay

MS. LINNELL: This is Karen Linnell. No, this is only on Federal land and within the confines of current seasons and bag limits. It doesn't give us autonomy to -- we can get stricter, but we cannot get more lax in season and bag limits. That's not been passed by yourselves and the Federal Subsistence Board.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That's an important piece of information. Can you give an example, Karen, like we did at the SRC.

MS. LINNELL: So if the -- like right now the season is August 1 to September 20 for moose. Many of our elders say that August 1 is too early because it's too warm nowadays. When it was originally started it wasn't so bad. So a lot of us don't go out on August 1st. We wait because our elders say it's too soon. So we can get a little more restrictive and say wait until the leaves start to change color and then you can go out. Within the hunt you can say they're starting to go into rut, so we'll stop the season early. That's the kinds of things we can do within the permit.

Does that clarify for you?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That's really a lot of good information. Did you have more questions, Virgil?

 MR. UMPHENOUR: Right. Well, I want to weigh in here a little bit. The OSM preliminary conclusion is that the Board does not have the authority to do this, period. They want to defer it because the Board has no authority.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: If I can help you understand, that means for them to issue the same permits.

MR. UMPHENOUR: To issue the permits.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. To issue permits like they would get from the Federal government. They don't have the authority to issue the same permit. That's what they're saying. But they do have permission to -- do you want to explain it again.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Umm....

MS. LINNELL: Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I was going to have Megan do it, Karen, because she said it really well. On a community hunt they can't issue permits.

 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Again, for the record, this is Megan Klosterman. So what the Southcentral RAC supported is something that we could possibly do, which is to issue a community harvest system or permit to AITRC. So AITRC would get the permit and then AITRC then from there could hand out not permits per se, but they would basically be able to tell people within the community that they could go hunt and it would give them some sort of identification to show that they're hunting under the community permit. If that makes sense.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Right. The community would get allocated X number of permits or X number of animals.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: It would be X number of animals possibly, but there would just be one permit for the community and then the community would delegate who goes out and hunts for those animals.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Fine. I did that when I was on the Board of Fish before this ever happened back in the early '90s for Copper Center for a fishwheel.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy.

MR. BASSICH: So the recommendations for a modification from Southcentral, is that considered an amendment to this particular proposal?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.

MR. BASSICH: So we could support this

```
Page 68
     with the amendment stated by Southcentral and basically
     be in support of the same language that Southcentral
 2
 3
     put into it. How does that.....
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We could do
 6
     that, yes.
 7
 8
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   So how does that really
9
     change it.
                 I guess I'm still a little bit lost.
     does that actually change what happens? It's just one
10
     permit going to the Ahtna Tribe and that's it instead
11
     of it being a permit that goes out to all Federally
12
     qualified people. So we're basically saying we're
13
     supporting a community-based hunt if we were to support
14
15
     what Southcentral has.
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
17
                                                (Nodding)
18
19
                     MR. BASSICH: Okay. I think I have it
20
     a little more clear.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                               But any
     qualified user could get it, not just Ahtna, correct?
23
24
25
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: Any qualified user
     within the Ahtna Historic....
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
28
                                               Region.
29
30
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: .....Territory could
31
     join into....
32
33
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Okay.
                                          Thank you.
                                                       That
     clarifies.
34
35
                                      .....that community
36
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
37
     hunt.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But you'd
40
     probably have to have a C&T too.
41
42
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: Yes, yes. Of course,
43
     of course.
44
45
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Okay. Well, saying that,
46
     I would support that amendment to this so we would be
     in alignment or agreement with Southcentral and take
47
     their additional....
48
49
```

Page 69

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. So before we do that. Barbara, what did you have to add?

MS. CELLARIUS: I was just going to say that the SRC supported the proposal that was originally submitted which talked about issuing the existing Federal subsistence permits.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That's right. And I wanted to....

MS. CELLARIUS: That's what the SRC supported.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Right.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$ CELLARIUS: And then Southcentral did something difference.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Exactly.

MS. CELLARIUS: But I just wanted to clarify that the proposal that was originally submitted by AITRC they would have been issuing the existing Federal permits, but at this point OSM says that's not possible.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: See, from the standpoint of us in the region we just felt like it's a lot easier for the user to have the same permit and not create more havoc. What the SRC did was we said that, yes, we agree that Ahtna could issue permits the same kind and to other Federally qualified users and that they could go to either a Federal entity to get the permit or they could come to Ahtna.

Whatever makes people comfortable. There's non-tribal members that would probably go get it from Ahtna too. So people are getting permits for land use and other things from Ahtna and they're very accustomed to doing that.

For me, personally, I'm kind of hung up on what the SRC did because if somebody tells me that I can't do something and I feel there's a way to do it, I feel like that's the way we should send the message. Make it easy for the user.

MS. LINNELL: Madame Chair, if I might.

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

This is Karen Linnell.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes, Karen.

Go ahead.

MS. LINNELL: In regards to simplifying things, instead of AITRC issuing two permits to folks, it will be one through the community hunt and that is simplifying things.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But I guess what gets a little confusing is what do they need to hunt or can they go back and get another permit from the Federal government, so they would end up -- would you eliminate that they'd only have one permit and not be able to get another permit?

MS. LINNELL: Through our discussions at the Southcentral RAC meeting, it will be an either/or. Either they participate in this community hunt process or they go to the National Park Service and BLM to get their permits. They cannot do both.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Right now what we have is a motion to support as written, which gives Ahtna Intertribal Commission be allowed to distribute Federal registration permits to Ahtna tribal members for Federal caribou hunts in 13A, 13B and 13 remainder. The proposal also requests that Ahtna Advisory Committee be added to the list of agencies and organizations consulted by the BLM Glennallen Field Office when announcing the sex of caribou to be taken in Units 13A and B.

So that proposal would be to allow them to write the permit, which you're saying they can't.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: That's what I was

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Thank

 MR. BASSICH: So if the analysis is telling us that under the current regulations this can't take place, but under the amended version of this as presented by Southcentral it would allow them to create their community hunt and as we've heard maybe have a choice between one or the other. That to me

 told, yes.

you. Andy.

seems like a good option.

There's no reason for this RAC to support something that cannot be done by OSM as stated through their analysis. What's the point of supporting something that they say they can't do legally if their hands are tied. In an effort to further the ability for people in their region to have a little bit more control over the game in their area, the hunts that take place and the methods and all that I would be in favor of amending our support to align with Southcentral's motion and support. I see that as good for the hunters.

I see that as good for Ahtna because it gives them the ability to have these community hunts. Quite frankly, my own personal feeling is I think we're going to have to go a little bit more towards some of these community hunts more in the future because of some of the issues that we talk about all the time with just so many people.

2.4

So I would be in support of supporting the language that Southcentral has. How we get to that point for our motion I'll leave up to the Chair.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's going to take an amendment.

Other discussion.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay, I know what will happen at the Board meeting. The solicitor, the attorney for the Board, is going to say you don't have authority to do the proposal as written, so the Board is not going to do it. That's what will happen. That's what happens with the Board of Game, Board of Fish, all Boards. Unless they have a lawyer on the Board and he challenges the other lawyer, I've seen that happen at the Board of Fish meetings before.

(Laughter)

MR. UMPHENOUR: No, I'm serious.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But it's

g funny.

Page 72 MR. UMPHENOUR: Yeah. And the Board actually vote against what their own lawyer said. 2 3 4 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I like that 5 part. 6 7 MR. UMPHENOUR: Right. But I seriously doubt if the Federal Subsistence Board is going to do 8 9 that, so it can't pass as written. It has to be modified so that the lawyer will agree they have the 10 authority to do it. 11 12 13 I don't know whether what you guys in 14 the SRC came up with is really that much different, but 15 anyway.... 16 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, it is 17 18 different. It's just different in that it's the same permit and they're an extended arm from the government. 19 20 They just get to issue the permit then. 21 MR. UMPHENOUR: 22 Right. 2.3 24 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, I get 25 that they.... 26 27 MR. UMPHENOUR: But it says -- Sue, it says that they don't have the authority to do it. It 28 says under the justification at the very end on Page 29 30 327.... 31 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I know that. 32 33 MR. UMPHENOUR:only Federal 34 35 employees can issue permits. It says the only permit they could issue would be delegated somehow to the 36 community and give the community an allocation of X 37 number of caribou and then the community designates who 38 the hunters are and they go out and shoot X number of 39 Am I wrong or am I understanding this wrong 40 caribou. or right? 41 42 43 MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Pippa Kenner with the Office of Subsistence 44 Management. 45 46 47

I think you're right and I was just going to try to help by saying that what was in the proposal because the Office of Subsistence Management

48

has come back and is still working out with the solicitor what the Board can and cannot authorize as far as delegation of issuing permits within Federal subsistence management regulations the mechanism that we use is called a community hunt reporting system, a community hunt structure.

The Board is allowed to authorize community hunt systems and that's why the Southcentral and AITRC is suggesting the community hunt structure because that's what's in the Federal regulations that the Federal Subsistence Board is allowed to authorize.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Did you get that, Sue?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. The lawyers are still arguing.

MR. UMPHENOUR: I'm not arguing. I'm just saying there's no sense in voting on something that they don't have the authority to do and the solicitor is going to tell them they don't. You have to vote.....

MS. KENNER: And that's what I

believe....

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ UMPHENOUR:on something that they do have the authority.

MS. KENNER:Member Bassich was saying. He was saying we should look at this community hunt structure like Southcentral did and AITRC because they have learned that's the vehicle that is used to get to community distribution of permits.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But didn't you say that they're still looking at this to what they can and can't do?

MS. KENNER: I don't have the OSM justification in front of me. Megan, could you read that last sentence.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: The last sentence of the justification states until further guidance is received from the Solicitors Office and Department of Interior the recommended course of action is to defer action on this proposal.

```
Page 74
                     MS. KENNER: Because the Federal
     program has a community hunt system in regulation.
 2
     That is the vehicle for doing what the proponent
 3
     requests. It isn't exactly what the proponent
 4
     requests, but it's what can be allowed in regulation in
 5
 6
     the Federal program currently.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
9
                     MR. BASSICH: I'd like to ask a
10
     procedural question here. Since we have the motion to
11
     support this as presented, would it be easier for us to
12
13
     just vote on this and not support it and create a new
     motion or is it better for us to just make a
14
15
     modification to this with.....
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
17
                                               The
18
     modification....
19
20
                     MR. BASSICH: .....wording that would
21
     coincide with what Southcentral is supporting and then
     move on that.
22
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, you
25
     know how I am. I like to make things simple. A simple
     way to do it is for the second to pull.....
26
27
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: I move to amend the
28
29
     proposal.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That's one
32
     way.
33
34
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
                                     Okay.
                                            That's the way
35
     you do it.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I know, but I
38
     like the simple way.
39
40
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: I move to amend the
41
     proposal to do it the way they can actually do it.
42
     Make a community harvest.
43
44
                     (Laughter)
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I wanted to
46
47
     do it simple and not confusing, Virgil.
48
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: That's simple.
49
50
```

11/8/2017 EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING

Page 75 MR. BASSICH: So, Madame Chair, if I could maybe help Virgil a little bit. So the amendment 2 to the motion would be that the language used by 3 Southcentral and supported by Southcentral would be 4 what we would be supporting. 5 6 7 Madame Chair. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. want me to read it, what they said? Support with 10 modification, and that would be the amendment, to 11 establish a community harvest system for moose and 12 13 caribou in GMU 11 and 13 to be managed by AITRC and open to Federally qualified rural residents of Ahtna 14 15 Traditional Use Territory. 16 17 This was sent to me by Karen, so that's 18 how I can read it. 19 20 MR. BASSICH: I would second that. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did you make the motion? 2.3 24 25 MR. UMPHENOUR: I made the motion. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Virgil made the motion and Andy seconded it. Are you 28 thoroughly confused at our motion? 29 30 31 MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. I'll speak to my 32 motion. 33

> MS. WESSELS: No, I'm not confused.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, good.

Anyone else.

38 39

MS. WESSELS: At first....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.

42 good. 43

> So I can just go over it. MS. WESSELS: At first we had a motion to adopt by Virgil and seconded by Don and then now we amended that original motion to support the language that was used by Southcentral RAC and a motion was made by Virgil and seconded by Andy.

49 50

34

35 36

37

40 41

44

45

46

47

```
Page 76
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
 2
 3
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   So I guess now the vote
 4
     is on the amended motion.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: And discussion and
9
     justification.
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
11
                                                Yeah.
     Virgil is going to speak to the motion, the amended
12
     motion.
13
14
                     Virgil.
15
16
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. This is the only
17
18
     legal way it can be done. Doing something that they
     can't do, the Board doesn't have the authority.
19
20
     waste of time. So it has to be amended so that it's
21
     legal to do.
22
                     Madame Chair.
23
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Andy.
26
27
                     MR. BASSICH: And I just want to
     reference the comments made earlier when we were going
28
     to support this in its original language because I
29
30
     think it still applies to the amended version for the
31
     record.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I still want
     it to be in the record that I don't see any problem
34
     with Ahtna issuing the exact same permit as the
35
     government. You know, to me, I don't -- I'm sorry, but
36
     I don't care what OSM and the lawyers say. There's
37
     sometimes you do have to work towards something that
38
     works for the people. So I want that in the record.
39
40
41
                     That's my opinion.
42
43
                     Okay.
44
45
                     Andy.
46
                                   Thank you. I agree with
47
                     MR. BASSICH:
48
     you. I think what's happening here is we're trying to
     give our support at this time for something that is
49
50
```

```
Page 77
     feasible, but I would certainly encourage Ahtna and the
     Federal Subsistence Board to work together to try and
 2
 3
     come up with a methodology to be able to do that in the
 4
     future.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Thank you.
 7
 8
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
                                       Sue.
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
10
                                                Megan.
11
12
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
                                       Thank you, Madame
     Chair. I did want to point out that the Southcentral
13
     RAC also voted to make a recommendation to the Board
14
     seeking Secretarial modification to issue Federal
15
     permits to all types of Federally qualified subsistence
16
     users. So you could also do something like that in
17
18
     addition to your stance on this proposal.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think we
21
     messed up.
22
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: It would be a different
2.3
24
     motion anyway.
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
26
27
     Speaking to the motion on the floor is for modifying
     the proposal for a community harvest system on moose
28
     and caribou.
29
30
31
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Call for question.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                The question
     is called for.
                     All in favor of the amended motion say
34
35
     aye.
36
                     IN UNISON:
37
                                 Aye.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
40
     opposed.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
44
                                                Okay.
                                                       Moving
45
     to the main motion now. It's to favor with the
     modification. Do we need anymore discussion.
46
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
Page 78
                                                       All in
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
 2
     favor of the motion as amended say aye.
 3
                     IN UNISON:
 4
                                 Aye.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Anyone
 7
     opposed.
 8
9
                     (No opposing votes)
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, it
11
     passes. Did we want to do anymore on that? What did
12
13
     you say they did exactly?
14
15
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
                                      They voted to make a
     recommendation to the Board seeking Secretarial
16
     modification to issue Federal permits to all types of
17
18
     Federally qualified subsistence users.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: For AITRC.
21
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: That is correct.
22
2.3
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I wouldn't
     mind seeing that done here. Do I have any help or did
25
     we just wear you completely out?
26
27
28
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   No, no, no.
                                                 Just
     daydreaming.
29
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So that would
     do what I'm trying to say, right?
32
33
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: Yes, that would be
34
35
     asking for the Board, yes, to seek Secretarial
     modification, so the Department of Interior Secretary
36
37
     to change the regulations to allow that.
38
39
                     MR. BASSICH: Is there a number to that
40
     proposal?
41
42
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
                                      It's not an actual
                It's just a side recommendation.
43
     proposal.
44
45
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   Madame Chair.
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Yes.
48
                     MS. LINNELL: I have the language that
49
50
```

```
Page 79
     they used yesterday. I wrote it down what they spoke.
 2
     I can read it to you.
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
 5
 6
                     MS. LINNELL: They moved to recommend
 7
     that the Council submit a letter to the Federal
     Subsistence Board seeking Secretarial modification of
 8
 9
     50 CFR 100.10(d)(6) to allow AITRC to issue Federal
     subsistence permits to all Federally qualified
10
     subsistence users.
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                It's right
14
            I found your letter you sent me.
     here.
15
16
                     Go ahead, Andy.
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: I'd like to make a motion
19
     using the language as presented to us by Karen for
20
     consideration by the Eastern RAC.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                It's a letter
22
     to the Federal Subsistence Board seeking Secretarial
23
24
     modification of 50 CFR 100.10(d)(6) to allow AITRC to
     issue Federal subsistence permits to all Federally
25
     qualified subsistence users.
26
27
                      I have a motion on the floor.
28
29
30
                      Is there a second.
31
                     MR. GLANZ: I second it.
32
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
                                                       Do we
35
     need any other discussion?
36
                     MR. GLANZ:
                                  I don't.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All in favor.
39
40
41
                     IN UNISON:
                                  Aye.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
44
     opposed.
45
46
                      (No opposing votes)
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Motion
              Thank you very much everybody for getting us
49
     passes.
```

Sorry.

Page 80

through that. Sometimes we're sleepy after lunch.

first.

Next is 17 and 18. No, I'm sorry, 17 I'm sleepy too.

Go ahead.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: So I am going to go over the analysis for WP18-17. I am not going to cover the permitting part because we already covered that with 19.

Proposal WP18 17 can be found on Page 274 of your board book. This proposal was also submitted by the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission and requests that the moose season on Federal public lands in that portion of Unit 11 draining into the east bank of the Copper River upstream from and including the Slana River drainage and Unit 11 remainder be changed from August 20th through September 20th to August 20th through March 31st, which is an extension of six months. You can see a map of these moose hunt areas on Page 283 of your meeting materials.

The proponent states that this proposal would provide more opportunity for Ahtna Tribal members to harvest a moose during the fall and winter months according to customary and traditional practices.

 There are three main moose survey areas in Unit 11. These areas include the Upper Copper River analysis area, Mt. Drum analysis area and the Crystalline Hills analysis area. The moose populations within these survey areas have remained stable to slightly increasing through 2013.

 In 2013, moose densities across the main survey areas were low ranging from 0.7 moose per square mile to 1.1 moose per square mile. Hunting pressure has typically been low in Unit 11 in part because moose densities are greater and access is easier in adjacent Unit 13.

 From 2012 to 2016 the average annual harvest in Unit 11 was 59 moose. If the proposal was adopted, it would lengthen the moose season on Federal public lands by approximately six months. This would provide Federally qualified subsistence users more

opportunity to harvest moose according to their customary and traditional practices.

Moose populations in Unit 11 have remained relatively stable to slightly increasing but occur in low densities and are subject to population fluctuations due to severe winters, predation and hunting pressure.

Increasing the moose season by six months has the potential to significantly increase the bull harvest on Federal public lands and may have population level effects. Harvesting bull moose during the rut when bulls are more vulnerable or when bulls and cows are aggregating during the early winter could also disrupt breeding and lead to further excessive harvest.

 Therefore, providing a break during the rut and early winter for bulls is typically recommended to prevent herd disruption during breeding and to protect bulls when they're most vulnerable.

One alternative that was considered was to extend the bull moose harvest season by creating a one-month winter season on Federal public lands from November 1st through December 1st. This alternative was not chosen because of the low density of the moose populations in Unit 11 combined with susceptibility to fluctuations due to severe winters, predation and hunting pressure.

So the OSM preliminary conclusion is to oppose Proposal WP18-17.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I guess I could save us a lot of time and energy here. Council Members, what would you like to do because they took no action based on 19.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Excuse me, Madame Chair. They actually voted to oppose the season change and they took no action on the permitting portion of the proposal if you're talking about Southcentral.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, yeah.

MR. BASSICH: Madame Chair. I kind of feel like maybe we ought to just defer more to the region down there and let them work out their issues. I understand why we got involved in the last one a little bit, but I really -- this Council has always been a little bit cautious about commenting on other areas' proposals.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Right. Well, see, what happens is I'm on the SRC and this is pretty heavy in our region. So I can accept that. I mean we could just take no action. The next one also because it's kind of similar.

MR. BASSICH: Correct. That will give us more time to talk about some of our other issues too. I just feel a little uncomfortable weighing in on those areas that don't really impact us as importantly.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, I end up going to the Federal Board meeting, so I get to -- this is a crossover thing, then I get to talk to it. For seasons and bag limits, I think I'm okay that we don't take it up. Hearing that, do you want to just do the same with 18?

MR. BASSICH: Correct. Yeah, I recommend that we just take no action on those two proposals, Madame Chair.

MR. GLANZ: I agree with Andy on that

 also.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. My home region, but I'm okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BASSICH: That will allow us two more break times.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: There you go. So we can get more done on break, right. Okay. Let's look at this. So that takes care of those.

MR. WOODRUFF: Did we vote to take.....

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Do you want to vote to take no action? I would need a motion.

```
Page 83
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Actually I'm opposed to
     both of those myself.
 2
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
 5
     Council, it's up to you to tell me what you want to do.
 6
 7
                     MR. GLANZ: I believe we deferred it,
 8
     Madame Chair.
9
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: We didn't vote on
10
                Actually I oppose them. The Department
11
     deferring.
     opposes them for conservation reasons. I oppose them
12
13
     too for conservation reasons because those are
     recovering moose populations in that whole entire area.
14
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are you
     saying you want to take them up?
17
18
19
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: No, they are.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No, listen.
     Are you saying you want to take this proposal up?
22
2.3
24
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: I don't know as I
25
     really want to necessarily take it up, but I don't want
     to just plain defer it. I think -- you know, I oppose
26
27
     both of them for conservation reasons. That's why I
     oppose them.
28
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Virgil, I
31
     need a motion to do that.
32
33
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Well, it's on the
     record that I oppose it anyway.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So let the
36
37
     record reflect that Virgil opposes them and he's okay
     taking no action.
38
39
40
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Whatever you want to
     do. I don't really care, but I think I would like to
41
     -- I want to put it officially on the record that I
42
43
     oppose them.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay.
                                                      Well,
     I need.....
46
47
48
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: So I guess you need a
     motion.
49
50
```

Page 84 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: To take 2 action I need a motion. 3 4 MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. Move -- the 5 trouble is I have to go through all of this analysis 6 and stuff when we're really pressed for time. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, why 9 don't you make the motion in the simple way that you spoke it earlier. 10 11 12 MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. The only 13 procedural.... 14 15 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Conservation. 16 17 MR. UMPHENOUR:really way to do 18 this, I move to oppose both Proposals 18-17 and 18-18. 19 20 MR. GLANZ: I'll second. I thought we 21 were supposed to make them all in the positive. 22 2.3 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, we are. 24 Sorry. 25 MR. UMPHENOUR: We're doing this a 26 27 little bit different. I'll just speak to my motion. If you agree with me, fine. If you don't, just say no. 28 I've got a second, so I'll speak to my motion. 29 30 31 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did I get a second? 32 33 34 MR. GLANZ: Yes, you got a second. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 37 MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. I'll speak to my 38 motion. The reason I made the motion the Department's 39 comments are that this could cause serious conservation 40 issues or words to that effect. It makes the moose 41 42 season six months long. It says for antlered bulls. Hell, there's no antlered bulls. Maybe a very, very 43 few after the first of the year. They want to run the 44 45 season until March. 46 I know in Fairbanks -- well, in Unit 47 48 20A we had big issues when we had these antlerless moose. They were supposed to be shooting bulls, but 49

they were shooting lots of pregnant cows. I don't believe in shooting pregnant cows in a moose population that's got conservation issues to begin with. The moose are having a tough enough time during that time of year anyway, making it with the wolves trying to kill them. I know that in most of our areas that the calves -- hell, half of them get killed by wolves in the wintertime in Unit 28 they do I know for a fact.

Anyway, I'm opposed to it for conservation reasons. The Department is opposed to it for conservation reasons. I'm done.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We're running into a problem here with procedure. It's true, we're supposed to have a positive motion. But you blanketed those, right?

MR. UMPHENOUR: For both of them. Yes, I lumped both proposals in together. I didn't make a positive motion because I don't want to go through all the whole dang process.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I understand what he's saying. We should be okay with that, Staff. I mean are we going to fall on our sword.

 $\operatorname{\mathsf{MS}}.$ WESSELS: You need to go through the process.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{UMPHENOUR}\colon$\operatorname{Okay}.$ I move to adopt Proposal 18-17.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Madame}}$ Chair entsminger: You want to go through the process?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ UMPHENOUR: I don't really want to go through.....

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I have a motion on the floor right now.

MR. UMPHENOUR: The motion that's on the floor someone can call the question on it. I can't do it. I made the motion.

Page 86 MR. GLANZ: I'll call the question on 2 it to get it moving. 3 4 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okav. The 5 question has been called for. 6 7 MS. LINNELL: Madame Chair. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 10 MS. LINNELL: This is Karen. 11 Just to help things along I might help you with that wording. 12 13 Move to take no action on 18-17 permits due to actions taken on WP18-19 and oppose the extension of the season 14 based on Fish and Wildlife comments regarding 15 conservation. 16 17 18 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That would do 19 it. Did you pull your second on what he just did. 20 21 MR. GLANZ: Yes, I can. 22 23 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, pull 24 it. Now we've got a new motion on the floor. Do you want her to restate it? Hold on. I think it's right 25 here. Take no action on permits due to action taken on 26 27 WP18-19 and oppose extension of season, would be the Take no action on WP18-18 on permits due to 28 action taken on set of seasons on August 1st to 30th 29 and December 1st to 31st based on.... 30 31 MR. UMPHENOUR: That will do it. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 34 Do you want 35 to read that? Make the motion. 36 MR. UMPHENOUR: I withdraw my previous 37 motion with the consent of my second. 38 39 40 MR. GLANZ: Yes, I'll go along with 41 that. 42 MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. 43 I move to take no action on Proposal 18-17 on permits due to action 44 45 taken on WP18-19 and oppose extension of the season based on Fish and Wildlife Service comments on 46

47

48

49 50 conservation. Take no action on WP18-18 on permits due

to action taken on 18-19 and set a season of August 1 to September 20 and December 1 to 31 based on Fish and

```
Page 87
     Wildlife comments and biological and conservation
 2
     concerns.
 3
 4
                     MR. GLANZ: Once again I'll second
 5
     that.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
                                                       Do we
 8
     need anymore discussion.
 9
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: I don't think we need
10
11
     any.
12
13
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN:
                                      Madame Chair.
14
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think
15
     there's a confusion because of 15 and -- this season of
16
     December 1st and 31st, we voted to extend it, right, on
17
18
     16.
19
20
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: That's a different
21
     hunt, Madame Chair.
22
23
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
24
     I'm just making sure.
25
26
                     Peppa.
27
                     MS. KENNER: Hi, this is Pippa with
28
     OSM. You combined the action that you want to take on
29
30
     WP18-17 and 18. So if you could remove -- because we
31
     haven't gone through 18 yet.
32
33
                     MS. WESSELS: We're discussing now
     Proposal WP18-17, so we can't make a motion on the same
34
35
     proposal -- on two proposals at the same time.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Whv?
38
39
                     MS. KENNER: Because we haven't gone
40
     through the process.
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We didn't go
     through the process on 17. We're just taking this
43
     based on our action on 19.
44
45
                     MS. KENNER: I think you misunderstand.
46
     What I'm trying to say is that when Virgil read the
47
48
     motion, he accidentally included comments for another
     proposal WP18-18 that you haven't arrived at yet.
49
50
```

Page 88 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You mean we 2 need another motion? 3 4 MS. KENNER: Excuse me. I've been 5 informed -- I'm sorry. I've been informed it wasn't an 6 accident and I'm off base and I'm sorry. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okav. 9 you're off base. 10 MR. WOODRUFF: 11 Question. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The question has been called for. I hope we all understand what 14 15 we're voting on. 16 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Madame Chair. Do we 17 18 still need to get agency comments though and advisory group comments and public comments on these? We didn't 19 20 hear from anybody else. Would we still need to hear public testimony before you vote. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So I quess in 23 24 my opinion when you're taking no action other than..... 25 MS. KLOSTERMAN: He's opposing part of 26 27 it though. He's taking no action on half and opposing the other half. 28 29 30 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So Staff 31 needs to answer that, I can't. 32 33 MS. MAAS: Yes. Yes, you do. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 36 37 MR. BASSICH: So much for our two free break times. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I know. 40 41 42 (Laughter) 43 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 44 So something 45 simple that I wanted to do turned into something more difficult. That means I have to go through this whole 46 47 process. 48 That's right. Unless we 49 MR. BASSICH:

```
Page 89
     were to go to our original motion which was to.....
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Take no
 4
     action.
 5
 6
                     MR. BASSICH: .....take no action,
 7
     which we never voted on.
 8
9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: What do you
     want to do, guys? I want it simple.
10
11
12
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: We can go through that
13
     fast with that.
14
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Do you want
15
16
     to do that?
17
18
                     MR. GLANZ: I think it will be all
19
     right.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I guess my
     thought was just to say you oppose that longer season,
22
     Virgil, and be done with it.
23
24
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Yeah, but we have to go
25
     through that if we're going to do that, I guess.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Does
28
29
     everybody want to go through two more proposals like
30
     that?
31
                     MR. BASSICH: I don't.
32
33
34
                     MR. GLANZ: I'm just going by what
35
     she's saying.
36
                                   Madame Chair.
37
                     MR. BASSICH:
38
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: There's a
39
     motion on the floor, unfortunately.
40
41
42
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I realize that.
     feel like -- I understand what you're trying to do,
43
     Virgil. I think it's important that we be able to
44
45
     voice our opinions on conservation, but if it's not
     being supported by OSM -- did Southcentral support
46
47
     that?
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: He just read
50
```

what they did.

what they did.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Yeah, he just read

MR. BASSICH: Okay. So they're basically not supporting it either the way I see it, then....

MS. KLOSTERMAN: Well, excuse me. I don't mean to interrupt, but Southcentral did vote to add a winter antlered bull season of December 1st through December 31st.

MR. BASSICH: And I would have no problem with that one month season for the winter. I guess my point is that the original proposal is probably more than likely not going to go through because it's been identified that there are conservation concerns. So for us to spend all this time just to put our two cents in saying that we believe there's also a conservation concern is not a good use of our time at this point in time.

That's my personal opinion. If it was something that we were on the fence, but I think there's been enough dialogue there that we all understand that we don't support it because of the conservation concerns.

Madame Chair. Thanks.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. I'm going to withdraw my motion with the permission of my second. I think we've built a good enough record anyway.

 MR. GLANZ: I'll withdraw my second

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So let the record reflect that there was some discussion on this if I'm asked at the Federal Board, whoever is there for

the Council, they can speak to that.

MR. BASSICH: Madame Chair, we still have a motion on the floor that I made to defer -- or to take no action. It was seconded, but we never voted on it.

 also.

```
Page 91
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: I call the question on
 2
     that.
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
 5
     understanding was we weren't going to do that, that the
 6
     second was pulled.
 7
 8
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
                                     I called the question
 9
     on this motion.
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
11
                                                Take no
     action based on....
12
13
14
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Take no action.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right.
     don't know where the second came from because I thought
17
18
     you had pulled it.
19
20
                     MR. GLANZ:
                                 Second.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                               Because we
     can't take a motion on top of a motion, which we just
23
24
     did if that's the case. That's not good.
25
                     REPORTER: Madame Chair, can you ask
26
27
     the people on the phone to mute themselves, please.
28
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.
29
30
     Somebody on the teleconference is making a lot of
31
     noise. Could they please mute their phone. It's a lot
     of clicking going on. Thank you. Start 6 you have to
32
33
     push.
34
35
                     It sounded like your Chair messed up
     and took two motions on the floor but I didn't realize
36
     I did that. I thought that motion was pulled.
37
     have a motion on the floor and the question has been
38
     called for to take no action based on the action on 19.
39
     All in favor.
40
41
42
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Anyone
45
     opposed.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay, we're
50
```

 Page 92

good. We have changed the agenda so much I have to see where we're at. I think the next thing is 51, modify bear bait restrictions to align with the State regulations.

MR. UMPHENOUR: What page?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The page. I should call that out for you guys. I'm so sorry. 77.

MS. MAAS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Lisa Maas and I'm a wildlife biologist in the Office of Subsistence Management. I'll be presenting a summary of the analysis for WP18-51, which can be found on Page 77 of your meeting book.

WP18-51 was submitted by the Eastern Interior Council and they request that Federal statewide bear baiting restrictions be aligned with State regulations, specifically the use of biodegradable materials.

The proponent states that current Federal bear baiting restrictions are more restrictive than the State's and do not provide for a Federal subsistence priority. Aligning State and Federal bear baiting restrictions would reduce regulatory complexity and user confusion and allow baiting with items such as dog food, baked goods, et cetera, that have traditionally been used as bear bait by Federally qualified subsistence users and are currently allowed under State regulations.

Federal regulations for bear baiting were adopted from State regulations in 1990 and have not been modified since. In 2015, the National Park Service published a final rule prohibiting the take of black and brown bears over bait on National Preserves under State regulations. In 2017, the Park Service published a final rule limiting the types of bait that may be used for taking bears under Federal regulations to native fish or wildlife remains with some exceptions in Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve.

Both black bears and brown bears have been traditionally and contemporarily harvested and utilized across much of Alaska using various methods. However, the occurrence of bear baiting is limited

within published literature and it is unknown whether this method was rarely practiced or just seldom documented. In some cases the bait ingredients are unknown.

One alternative considered was to define the term scent lure as no definition currently exists under Federal or State regulations. If not defined, any material or chemical could be used at registered bait stations including non-biodegradable ones. A proposed definition is scent lure means any biodegradable material to which biodegradable scent is applied or infused.

If this proposal is adopted, Federally qualified subsistence users could use any biodegradable material as well as scent lures at registered bear baiting stations on lands administered by the Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management and the Forest Service. As bear bait is limited to native fish and wildlife remains on National Park Service lands adoption of this proposal would not affect Park Service lands with some exceptions in Wrangell-St. Elias.

 Adoption of this proposal would reduce regulatory complexity and user confusion by aligning the State and Federal regulations. As the requested changes are already permitted under State regulations, no appreciable differences in bear populations, harvest, subsistence uses or habituation to human foods are expected from adopting this proposal.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support WP18-51 with modification to establish a definition for scent lure and to clarify the regulatory language substituting the word wildlife for the terms game, fur animals, and small game as these terms were not defined under Federal regulations, but are included in the Federal definition of wildlife.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

I will be happy to take questions.

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{{\tt MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:}} \quad \mbox{{\tt Council}} \\ \mbox{{\tt Members, any questions.}}$

MR. GLANZ: I have one. I thought Trump threw all that stuff out.

```
Page 94
                     MS. MAAS: Through the Chair.
     for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
 2
 3
     overturned....
 4
 5
                     MR. GLANZ: Oh, Federal, I'm sorry.
 6
 7
                     MS. MAAS: Yeah, they overturned the
     Fish and Wildlife Service rule but not the Park Service
 8
 9
     rule.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Any other
12
     questions.
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
     report on Board consultation with tribes and
17
18
     corporations.
19
20
                     MR. LIND:
                                Madame Chair.
                                               Council
21
     Members.
               There was no discussion on that topic during
     the consultation on September 14th.
22
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay.
                                                      Agency
25
     comments. Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
26
27
                     MS. PARKER MCNEILL:
                                          Thank you, Madame
     Chair. For the record, my name is Doreen Parker
28
     McNeill with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
29
30
     The Department has no conservation concerns with this
31
     proposal. It would align State and Federal
32
     regulations. A little additional take of bears is
33
     expected. Therefore, we expect no conservation
34
     concerns.
35
36
                     The Department supports this proposal
     because it reduces regulatory complexity and user
37
     confusion by allowing Federally qualified users to bait
38
     bears with additional attractants that are currently
39
     allowed under State regulations.
40
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
43
     questions.
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay. Thanks,
48
     Doreen. Any Federal agency comments.
49
50
```

```
Page 95
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any tribal.
 4
 5
                     MS. LINNELL: Madame Chair.
                                                   This is
 6
     Karen Linnell.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Go ahead,
 9
     Karen.
10
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   The scent lures can be
11
     maple syrup or molasses and I agree the simplification
12
     and including the use of artificial lures is to align
13
     with the State's regulation is a good one.
14
15
16
                     Thank you.
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Thank you,
19
     Karen. Anyone else. Tribal.
20
21
                     (No comments)
22
2.3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Other
24
     Regional Advisory Councils.
25
                     MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. Katya
26
27
     Wessels for the record. So we got the vote from the
     other RACs on that proposal. Because it's a statewide
28
     proposal that's why I'm reporting on that. According
29
30
     to my records seven of the RACs supported as modified
31
     by OSM and Kodiak-Aleutian RAC took no action. Bear
     baiting is not currently allowed in their region.
32
33
                     I do not have information on how
34
35
     Southcentral voted.
36
37
                     Lisa, do you have that?
38
                     MS. MAAS: I do not have that
39
     information, but if you said seven Councils supported
40
     it, then that would include Southcentral because the
41
42
     only Council that has not met yet is North Slope and
     this one.
43
44
45
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   I'm sorry, it was six
     Councils supported. So we don't know how Southcentral
46
     voted on that proposal because they just began meeting
47
48
     the day before us.
49
```

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But someone

2 knows.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. I just heard from Southcentral and they supported as modified by OSM.

MS. WESSELS: So seven supported as modified by OSM and one Council took no action.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. So Southcentral did support. Next is any Fish and Game Advisory Committee comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And SRCs.

MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Madame Chair. For the record, Barbara Cellarius with Wrangell-St. Elias. The Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission supports WP18-51 as modified by the Office of Subsistence Management. Aligning State and Federal definitions of bait will simplify the regulations and make them easier for users to understand.

The Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission does not support WP18-51 as written. The Denali SRC adopted WP18-51 to align State and Federal regulations with the State and amended the proposal to only include natural bait.

The SRC is concerned about bears getting accustomed to people food. If bears get used to non-natural bait, they are going to be more aggressive to campers. The SRC does not want bears acclimated to human food on Federal lands.

Although currently non-natural bait is not allowed on NPS lands, it is anticipated that if non-natural bait is legal on Federal lands there will be future pressure to allow non-natural baiting on NPS lands. Other parks have severe problems with bears and Denali is lucky due to management and education efforts that we lack acclimation. It is difficult to reverse acclimation.

That's the comment from the Denali SRC.

```
Page 97
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
 2
     questions.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Just a quick
 7
     question. With the Federal regulations on National
     Parklands, this proposal wouldn't affect them, right?
 8
 9
                     MR. UMPHENOUR: Except for Wrangell-St.
10
     Elias.
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Except for
14
     Wrangell-St. Elias.
15
16
                     MS. CELLARIUS:
                                     Right. But the Denali
     SRC did take it up and that was their comment.
17
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Their
20
     concern.
               Okay.
21
                                     Yeah, that was their
22
                     MS. CELLARIUS:
23
     concern.
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you.
25
            Summary of written public comments.
26
     Next.
27
                     MS. WESSELS: Katya Wessels for the
28
     record. We received three written public comments on
29
30
     Wildlife Proposal WP18-51. The comments received were
31
     from Jim Kowalsky, who is with Alaskans for Wildlife,
32
     Sharon Alden of Fairbanks and Francis Mauer of
33
     Fairbanks as well. All the individuals who commented
     strongly oppose Proposal WP18-51.
34
35
36
                     All of them stated that allowing the
     use of human-produced foods and scents for bear baiting
37
     would first habituate bears to humans. Second,
38
     contribute and increase human/bear conflict resulting
39
     in serious human injuries and tragic deaths. Third,
40
     present a public safety and ethical issue. They also
41
42
     stated that State's baiting regulations are outdated
     and try to align Federal baiting regulations to State's
43
     would lower Federal standards.
44
45
                     That's the end.
46
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any public
     testimony in the room or on teleconference.
49
50
```

Page 98 1 (No comments) 2 3 MR. UMPHENOUR: Move to adopt Proposal 4 18-51.5 6 MR. BASSICH: As modified. 7 8 MR. UMPHENOUR: As modified. 9 MR. BASSICH: Saved us a lot of time. 10 11 I'll second that one also. 12 MR. GLANZ: 13 14 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 15 Discussion. 16 I'm really glad to see MR. BASSICH: 17 18 this. I think we've spent a lot of time in this RAC talking about this in the past. It's really nice to see 19 20 OSM come in with a clearly defined recommendation for a modification that will give clarity to some of the 21 terms used. In this case, what is scented bait. I 22 don't see that this is going to be any kind of a 2.3 24 conservation concern. 25 It's the practice that happens through 26 27 subsistence and traditional areas in the State for probably forever. It's a very important part of living 28 out in the Bush is to be able to take care of the bears 29 30 in your area and also to take care of other species in 31 your area through the taking of bears. So I think it's going to benefit the people who live out in the really 32 33 rural areas. 34 35 I'll refer to as far as the traditional ecological knowledge, everyone knows here that's lived 36 out in villages knows that this is a really key 37 component to living out there, especially in springtime 38 when bears come out of hibernation. It's a very 39 important food source after long winters. So it's 40 going to benefit the people. 41 42 As I stated, I think it's going to 43 benefit subsistence users and I don't see that this is 44 45 going to unnecessarily restrict other people's uses. I don't buy the argument that it's going to habituate 46 people to human food or human scents or whatever. 47 48 of the bear baiting that takes place happens well away from any communities. There are a lot of State 49

regulations out there that you have to register bait stations. There's a lot of regulation involved in doing this. I think it's done very carefully by most people.

I can understand why a National Park might be concerned because they have visitors, but the vast majority of places where this takes place is out in very rural areas and communities with people who are very keenly aware of what they're doing at the time. So it's done very responsibly.

I take a little bit affront to projecting what's going to happen by different entities. We don't know what's going to happen when they say that if we allow this, then people are going to be killed by it and all that. I think that's a really poor way to testify. If you have documentation that says that if you do this, this will happen, that's fine, but I really find it a little bit disturbing that a large entity like National Park Service would put that into their comments without strong support on it.

The point I'm really trying to make here is that it's a very big area. There are many different uses throughout our region in particular. It's very sparsely populated with human beings once you get outside the population centers and that's where the majority of this type of activity takes place and it's done responsibly.

So I think it's a very important thing that we support and I think it's going to benefit people who live out in rural areas tremendously in the future.

Thanks, Madame Chair.

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: More discussion. Bill.}$

MR. GLANZ: Question.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: This sounds like an advisory committee to me, let's get 'er done.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Does anyone

have anything else to add. Virgil.

MR. UMPHENOUR: I agree with everything that Andy said. Our Council has spent an unbelievable amount of time addressing this issue over the last several years. Being a person that bear baits and I know the person sitting next to me bear baits, it's not as easy as people think it is.

In many of our areas of the state bear baiting is one of the very few ways that you can really have a good opportunity to be successful because of the thick vegetation. When most of the bear baiting takes place is during moose calving and right after moose calving and it takes the pressure off the newborn moose calves a little bit and it disrupts the bears that are targeting the newborn moose calves.

I know in the one area I hunt they did a two-year study, Fish and Wildlife Service and the State, and determined that between 50 and 70 percent of the newborn moose calves were killed mostly by bears within the first 30 days of their life.

So disrupting the bears from doing that I think is a very good conservation issue and I fully support the proposal.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: This proposal is to really unify regulations of the definitions with the State and make it easier on the user and that's my main thing. We worked on that. This is our proposal. Lisa did a good job. Her and I and a group of us met to talk about how we can make this happen and thank you for all the work you did on that.

I want to say that's important for people in the field if you're next to Federal land and State land and you've got a bait station and you've got to have different regulations, it's kind of crazy, so this is really good for the user and helps the people out in the woods.

 I also want to elaborate just slightly on some of the things said on habituating bears. This has been going on, bear baiting in my region, and there has been no conflicts of humans and bears. The biggest thing for me, I just started doing it four years ago, is to realize you hike around the mountains 40-plus

```
Page 101
     years and you see bears in the hills and that and you
     don't realize how many there really are until you put
     up a bait station. There's a lot of bears out there.
 3
     Once the bait is pulled they disperse.
 4
 5
 6
                     The biggest thing I'm here for is
 7
     making regulations the same and simplify it for the
 8
     user.
9
                     I think I heard someone call for the
10
11
     question.
12
                     MR. GLANZ: Mr. Glanz did.
13
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes, Mr.
     Glanz did.
                 All in favor of WP18-51.
16
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: As modified.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: As modified.
21
     Thank you for that help. All in favor say hi. Not hi,
22
     aye.
23
24
                     (Laughter)
25
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
26
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anyone
29
     opposed.
30
31
                     (No opposing votes)
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Motion
34
     passes.
35
                     MR. BASSICH: Now we can break.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Now we want
38
     to break. A break has been called for. Give us 10
39
40
     minutes, maybe 15.
41
42
                     (Off record)
43
44
                     (On record)
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The next
46
47
     proposal is 54.
48
                                Thank you, Madame Chair.
49
                     MS. MAAS:
50
```

Proposal 54 begins on Page 133 of your meeting book. For the record, my name is Lisa Maas. I'll be presenting a summary of the analysis for Wildlife Proposal 18-54 which again is on Page 133 of your meeting books.

Proposal WP18-54 was submitted by the Upper Tanana/Fortymile Fish and Game Advisory Committee and requests that the harvest limit be increased from one caribou to up to three caribou and that the Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge Manager, in consultation with Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve Superintendent, Alaska Department of Fish and Game area biologists, and Chairs of the Eastern Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and Upper Tanana/Fortymile Fish and Game Advisory Committee be delegated authority to set the harvest limit for the to be announced winter caribou season in Unit 12 remainder.

The proponent states that delegating authority to set the caribou harvest limit will promote collaborative management of the hunt, provide for additional subsistence hunting opportunities when the Nelchina Caribou Herd is overabundant and reduce administrative workloads by precluding the need for special action requests. The proponent also states that Mentasta Caribou Herd will be monitored to minimize harvest from this small herd.

In 2016, the Board approved Special Action WSA16-06 to increase the caribou harvest limit for the 2016/17 winter season from one to two caribou in order to reduce the Nelchina Caribou population and to increase subsistence opportunity. A Special Action Request WSA17-05 was recently submitted requesting the same thing for the 2017-18 winter season. This request is currently in review and will be presented to the Council later in this meeting.

The Nelchina Caribou primarily occur in Unit 12 remainder during the winter. This herd has exceeded State management objectives since 2010. If herd numbers are not reduced, the range quality and long-term herd stability may be compromised.

The Mentasta Herd is a small caribou herd that intermingles with Nelchina Caribou in Unit 12 remainder during the winter. The current 2017

population estimate for the Mentasta Herd is 429 caribou.

The Nelchina Herd population is primarily controlled by harvest. Harvest quotas are adjusted annually to achieve management objectives. Ninety-five percent of the harvest from the Nelchina Herd occurs in Unit 13. All caribou harvest from Unit 12 remainder occurs in winter under Federal regulations and has ranged from 0 to 71 caribou per year since 1998.

While there is no targeted hunt for Mentasta Caribou because of conservation concerns, some incidental harvest may occur when hunting for Nelchina Caribou. One alternative considered was to defer this proposal until an adequate number of Mentasta Caribou are collared for monitoring and the Mentasta Caribou Management Plan is reviewed.

Another alternative considered was only authorizing a harvest limit of up to two caribou and limiting the designated hunter possession limit to no more than four caribou.

Adopting this proposal would increase management flexibility and the ability to quickly respond to changing conditions such as the overabundance of Nelchina Caribou, which is currently posing conservation concerns. It would also provide additional harvest opportunity for Federally qualified subsistence users when the Nelchina Herd exceeds State management objectives. While some incidental take of Mentasta Caribou may occur, the likelihood of this is small.

 The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support Proposal WP18-54 with modification to delegate authority to set hunt parameters via a delegation of authority letter only and to clarify that season dates and harvest limits will be announced prior to any season opening.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Questions,

board members.

(No comments)

```
MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               I have a
 2
     quick question. How does that change anything doing it
 3
     by letter?
 4
 5
                                Thanks, Madame Chair.
                     MS. MAAS:
 6
     That's mostly just an administrative housekeeping
     thing. Right now when you look at the regulation
 7
     booklet there's all that extra writing about what the
 8
 9
     delegated authority is and so it's removing that from
     the regulation booklet, putting in a delegation of
10
     authority letter. It doesn't change anything
11
     functionally. It's just kind of cleaning up the handy
12
13
     dandy.
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So it takes
16
     it out of the handy dandy.
17
18
                     MS. MAAS: Yeah, and then just puts it
     in a letter and then it's listed in the back of the
19
20
     book.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
22
                                               Okav.
                                                      And
23
     that's not to confuse the user, right?
24
                     MS. MAAS: Yeah, the goal is that it's
25
     a cleaner regulation booklet. It's kind of across the
26
27
     board thing. Every time we have the opportunity to do
     that OSM has been removing it from the handy dandy and
28
     putting it in delegation of authority letters.
29
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You said it's
     in the back of the book?
32
33
                                The back of the book
34
                     MS. MAAS:
35
     contains the list of all the delegated authorities.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I'm in the
     wrong book.
38
39
                     MS. MAAS:
40
                                It starts on Page 145 in the
41
     Federal regulation book.
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
43
                                                      Thank
                                               Okay.
     you. Any other questions.
44
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right.
     Moving on. A report from consultation with tribes and
49
```

1 corporations. 2 3 MR. LIND: Madame Chair. Council 4 Members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison, OSM. There was 5 no discussion on this topic on consultation. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Orville, I 8 have a quick question. Do you meet by teleconference 9 and no one comes on? 10 MR. LIND: My process to engage 11 consultation with tribes is to invite them to attend 12 the consultation session by telephone or in person. 13 you remember, I did leave a message and talked to some 14 of the Council Members, but also send out letters of 15 invite. 16 17 18 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Do you have 19 any reason why this isn't happening? 20 21 MR. LIND: For this term I believe, as many tribes stated, they were going to be out moose 22 hunting. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, you had 25 it during moose season? Not a good idea. 26 27 28 MR. LIND: September 14th. That is understood. 29 30 31 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Not a good idea. Yeah, okay. That explains it to me. 32 Thank you. 33 34 MR. LIND: Thank you. 35 36 MR. WOODRUFF: You have to change that. 37 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, you 38 need to change that. That's not good. You probably 39 have some silly thing that you've got to go by. 40 41 42 MR. LIND: Exactly, Madame Chair. timeframe for the actual Regional Advisory Council 43 meetings, as you know, were staged to where we had to 44 45 immediately set up tribal consultation before the Council meetings, which is per policy. 46 47 48 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The policies need to be a little flexible. You need to come up with 49

a different system because if they can't contribute, that's kind of a bummer. Unless they feel like they're contributing somewhere else and maybe this is extra.

MR. LIND: The other suggestion made by tribes is again they have another opportunity at the public hearing that's conducted and again at the Regional Advisory Council level.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So you have to wrestle your time. I'd rather be moose hunting also.

MR. LIND: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you. Next. Agency comments. ADF&G. Anyone going to speak to this.

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: Thank you, Madame Chair. My name is Doreen Parker McNeill and I was hoping that someone on the telephone was going to speak to this one.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Should we check again?

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: Please do.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Let's hope they're there. Is anyone on the telephone going to speak to this for ADF&G?

MR. ROBBINS: Madame Chair. This is Frank Robbins again. I can speak to it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okie-dokie.

Go ahead.

MR. ROBBINS: For the record, this is Frank Robbins. I'm the area biologist for the State in Glennallen. Even though there currently is an opportunity to harvest additional Nelchina Caribou, the timing of migration and distribution of the herd will be difficult to predict.

Additionally, the Mentasta Caribou Herd is small compared to the Nelchina Herd and more susceptible to unsustainable harvest if not properly

monitored. The Tetlin Refuge has been radio tracking to determine if unacceptable number of Mentasta Caribou are mixed with the Nelchina herd on the refuge to allow for the hunt to occur. However, there are currently very few radio collars that are active, which decreases the effectiveness of these monitoring flights.

If this hunt is to be implemented, additional radio collars should be deployed by Tetlin Refuge on Mentasta Caribou to allow for adequate herd distribution monitoring by the Tetlin Refuge during the hunting season.

The Department is opposed to this proposal. The Mentasta Herd would be susceptible to overharvest under this regulation and this regulation could adversely effect the Nelchina Herd as the herd size changes. Additionally, there is insufficient consultation with advisory committees in Unit 13 such as Paxson, Copper River, Cantwell and Tok Cutoff, to adequately assess potential public concerns of other communities that are affected by the status of the Nelchina Herd.

 If this proposal is passed, the Department recommends additional collars to be deployed on Mentasta Herd animals prior to allowing a bag limit of more than one caribou during this hunt to minimize the likelihood of unsustainable harvest of the Mentasta Herd.

Furthermore, the Department recommends that additional advisory committees, Paxson, Copper River, Cantwell, Tok Cutoff, be consulted when considering an opening.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Questions.

Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Yes. For the Department, do you have plans to add additional collars to the herd?

 MR. ROBBINS: We've been speaking with the Wrangell-St.Elias National Park biologist, Judy Putera, about augmenting the number of collars. We've assisted Judy in the past with captures and collaring

Page 108 Mentasta Caribou and it's actually one of our goals this coming -- or next fall to deploy as many collars 2 3 as possible on Mentasta Caribou, yes. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other 6 questions. 7 8 (No comments) 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Frank, I have 10 11 one. 12 13 MR. ROBBINS: Okay. 14 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Is that 15 16 coming from your office, what you just read? 17 18 MR. ROBBINS: Well, this is what I'll 19 say. We at this office oftentimes get fixated on 20 Nelchina Caribou. We are over objective. objective is 35,000-40,000 Nelchina Caribou. During 21 the last photo census it was two summers ago we counted 22 49,550 caribou in the photographs. We've been trying 23 24 to marshal the troops and issue as many permits as 25 possible and harvest as many caribou as possible. 26 27 Last fall, last hunting season, the caribou actually obliged us and were near points of 28 access, roads and highways, that allowed for a record 29 30 harvest. We harvested over 6,000 caribou last year. 31 This year they didn't cooperate as well. We're about a harvest of 4,300 right now. We were hoping for 6,000 32 33 again. 34 35 We would like to harvest more We're concerned about habitat. 36 Nelchinas. Having said that, I don't think that we currently have enough radio 37 collars to really assess the mixing between Nelchinas 38 and Mentastas. I would like more harvest of Nelchina 39 Caribou, but I do think that there's a chance we could 40 41 unintentionally harvest too many Mentastas. 42 43 To answer your question I wrote an analysis. This isn't my complete analysis. This was 44 45 sort of a group effort. 46 47 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.

48 49

50

Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Frank. Is there a history of these two herds mixing at any time for biological reasons?

MR. ROBBINS: It is during the winter. Actually different times of the year. In the past we tried to collar Mentastas in the summer when most of the Nelchinas are in the central portion of Unit 13 and most of the Mentastas are in Unit 11. However, it's the nature of caribou. It's impossible to predict their movements. There were a lot of Nelchina Caribou in Unit 11 during the summer this year, so it would have been difficult to collar Mentastas and be confident that we were collaring only Mentastas.

The periods when you would anticipate some mixing would be in the spring when the Nelchina migrate back to Unit 13 and in the fall when the Nelchinas are migrating through Unit 11 and towards Unit 12.

 MR. BASSICH: So I guess what I was asking, Franks, or trying to ask, I didn't word it very well, are these two herds genetically linked? Do they regularly share genetics back and forth?

MR. ROBBINS: There's some research to support that the Mentasta Herd is a distinct herd. One paper in particular suggests that the bulls can move around, but the Mentasta females specifically show some fidelity to the Wrangell Mountains in Unit 11. There are a couple of papers that suggest that they are a distinct herd.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other

questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I have a question. I attended a meeting with the Park Service and the Fish and Wildlife Service and Todd was online at that meeting. Todd -- say his name.

MR. ROBBINS: Rinaldi.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Rinaldi. Were you online, Frank, too?

MR. ROBBINS: I was actually in the field doing caribou comps so I missed that meeting.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I just wanted to say too to the committee I served on the Tok Cutoff Advisory Committee from '79 to '93 before I was on the Board of Game and I'd attend the meetings. The Mentasta Caribou has always been talked about and they had plenty of collars in the past. They had an agreement, just as it says in this proposal, that they meet and talk about if it's going to be open and there has been a couple years it wasn't open because of the mixing. So just FYI stuff that happens.

 $$\operatorname{Barbara}$ came up. She must have something to add.

MS. CELLARIUS: I figured you would be getting to Federal agencies soon.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, so you planned ahead. That's awesome.

(Laughter)

 $\,$ MS. CELLARIUS: $\,$ And I did want to respond to one of the things that came up.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. That's the next one on the agenda, Federal comments.

MS. CELLARIUS: This is Barbara Cellarius. I'm the subsistence coordinator and cultural anthropologist for Wrangell-St. Elias. Judy Putera, their wildlife biologist, went to the Southcentral meeting this week, so we had to split our time. As Sue mentioned, we have been trying to get folks together to talk about caribou herds.

 Currently we have very few collars out on the Mentasta Herd. It's not for lack of trying. We've not been able to do the captures the last couple of years because we haven't had the drugs that are used for the mobilization. So we have collars that we're trying to get put out and that is one of our priorities.

 $$\operatorname{But}$ we don't support the proposal as it is written. We are wanting to take another look at

this management plan that is 20 years old. We have concerns with the number of collars that are out about the ability to do the monitoring that's sort of described in the management of the hunt to make sure that there aren't Mentastas harvested.

I have some other notes if people have questions, but that's kind of the gist of it. Lisa talked about some kind of alternatives that we had suggested as part of the analysis process deferring or a lower number of harvest until we can get some of the issues sorted out with the collars. And looking at the plan again I think would be a good thing to do because it's been 20 years.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I have one. With the way the proposal is written it gives delegation authority and there could possibly be that people don't agree to open it. Doesn't that protect it? Wouldn't the Park Service see that as a protection?

MS. CELLARIUS: Well, there is consultation that is built into the delegation of authority. We still have concerns about a harvest limit of up to three.

 MS. MAAS: Through the Chair. This is Lisa Maas. If I could just kind of add and clarify that. You're exactly right. The point of delegating authority is to build in that in-season flexibility and collaboration across agencies. So just because up to three is on the books, I would assume if you're talking to area biologists and the Park Service and everyone agrees to just announce the season for one, then you're just going to announce it for one.

It doesn't have....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Or no season

 MS. MAAS: Right. Well, they already have authority to open or close a season. This is just authority to set the harvest limit. So just because they have the ability to set the harvest limit for three doesn't mean it will be three. It also precludes that need for special action request. For example, if they're able to collar a sufficient number of Mentasta

 at all.

Caribou, then this is already in place. That we don't have to do another special action request. It just builds in that flexibility.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy.

MR. BASSICH: When these collaborations take place between agencies, I see here St. Elias, Department of Fish and Game, Fortymile Chair, Eastern Chair, how does that work as far as a mechanism? Does it have to be full consensus by everyone or is it a weighted vote on whether to establish a certain quota or certain hunt?

MS. MAAS: Through the Chair. I think, Sue, you're listed as a person to consult with and I know Shawn might come up and have a better answer because they're actually the ones doing it.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We can have him come up now if you like, Shawn. I usually get a call from the Refuge. With the Nelchinas I usually get a call. Sometimes they say we're just doing it and then I agree. We would like to do this and then I agree.

MR. BASSICH: I guess the concern that I would have is that if just one or two entities that have maybe a little stronger voice than the coalition of groups being asked decide that they want to do something, is there a way for one or two other entities to veto that and stop a hunt that they might have concerns about? That's what I'm getting to.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We'll ask

MR. BAYLESS: Madame Chair. For the record, I'm Shawn Bayless, Refuge Manager for Tetlin Refuge. So I don't forget, Mr. Bassich, I'll answer that question. The way I see it as a collaborative approach, an adaptive approach to management, would be that all parties involved, including what was mentioned, Frank mentioned it, the other ACs who have a stake in the Nelchina Herd and that was a shortcoming that I don't think we thought of, but that was brought up and it's a good point.

So everybody would have a say in it and

 Shawn.

it would have to be a unanimous decision, the harvest limit. Every year would have to be unanimously accepted by all. That's my vision of what this would be. I can't speak for the others, but I'm speaking for myself as the manager for Tetlin. That's how I see it happening.

MR. BASSICH: That's your interpretation of how the procedure would take place.

MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir.

MR. BASSICH: But there's nothing written down that states how this mechanism is to be in operation.

MR. BAYLESS: Not right now. We're still kind of in the development stages of this thing. There could be.

 MR. BASSICH: I'm sure that it's probably working just fine, but I have seen committees where there's one or two entities that carry a big stick and everybody else pretty much has to cotton to whatever they say and I'd be concerned about that if there was a particular group in an area that wanted protection for the Mentasta Herd and weren't going to be heard.

MR. BAYLESS: Well, we all in my opinion have an equal stake in this the way I see it. The way I look at my job as really the only Federal manager in the Upper Tanana is I represent the Upper Tanana subsistence users in this regard. So I'm going to advocate on their behalf, but of course we all have our own constituents who we advocate for, but I think we're all big kids. I think we can come to an agreement every year.

Lisa is exactly right. Up to three does not mean three every year. It could be zero if there's a conservation concern for either or both herds.

That could very well be the case in the future.

If I may, I've got a couple other comments I'd like to make.

```
EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING
                                11/8/2017
                                        EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING
                                                           Page 114
                                                  This is your
                       MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
  2
      time.
  3
  4
                       MR. BAYLESS: This is my time.
  5
      mixing ratio that Frank mentioned right now the way it
  6
      stands when the caribou are moving through the Refuge,
      I fly once or twice a week and I listen for all the
  7
      caribou. I enumerate which ones I hear and how many I
  8
  9
      hear. If the mixing ratio gets down to 20 Nelchinas to
      one Mentasta, then I close the season until that mixing
 10
      ratio goes back up.
 11
 12
 13
                       That was predicated on many more
 14
      collars than are currently out there. There's only
      eight right now operating. As far as I know there's
 15
      only eight operating for the Mentastas. The Nelchinas
 16
      have -- Frank, how many do the Nelchinas have, 100?
 17
 18
 19
                       MR. ROBBINS:
                                     Right.
                                              Over 100
 20
      currently.
 21
                       MR. BAYLESS:
                                     Over 100 currently.
 22
      my idea was -- and I'm really speaking not only for
 23
 24
      this because I did not propose this. This was the Upper
      Tanana Forty, the AC, but the one you're going to talk
 25
      to me about tomorrow is the special action to allow up
 26
 27
      to two harvested.
 28
                       My thought was is we don't have enough
 29
      Mentasta collars. Why can't we increase that mixing
 30
 31
      ratio from 20 to 40. If we get to 40 to one we close
      the season. I don't know what the ideal number is.
 32
 33
      It's usually a certain percentage of the population.
      How many is that, Frank?
 34
 35
 36
                       MR. ROBBINS: You're going to make me
 37
      do math?
 38
 39
                       (Laughter)
 40
 41
                       MR. BAYLESS:
                                     Yeah, well, if you can.
 42
      Five percent, is that right?
 43
 44
                       MR. ROBBINS:
                                     Yeah, probably about
```

45 right.

46 47

MR. BAYLESS: So five percent of 400 is

48 what?

MR. ROBBINS: Twenty.

MR. BAYLESS: So we have eight collars now. If we even bump it up to 50 to one, that would provide some protection for the Mentasta Herd. I'm not a statistician, I'm just a stupid manager, but that would provide some level of protection to the Mentasta Herd, similar to what they had when they had 20 or 30 collars out there. Correct me if I'm wrong, Frank.

 MR. ROBBINS: Yeah, you're right. The current population estimates for Mentastas is about 400. So I mean we could come up with a number what would be a sufficient number of animals to collar to have at least a better idea of where the herd is and whether it's mixing with Nelchinas. It is a priority of our office and Wrangell-St. Elias National Park to deploy as many collars as we can to address the lack of information we're currently getting.

MR. BAYLESS: So that's one thought I had that you may want to consider. And unrelated to this but to the special action request that we submitted this year, before I even submitted that and wrote it up I talked to all the players, the Park Service, Frank, Jeff, the area biologist. I think I talked to Sue and the AC.

At that time the Park Service had some concerns obviously because of this issue and their way around it was to say, okay, we'll support this as long as the second caribou is a bull. So only bulls. We could even make it to where we could only shoot two bulls regardless. The problem is their antlers are dropped. So unless you're really close and you know what you're looking at, you could shoot a cow and there could be some accidental cow harvests there.

Biologically speaking, and I think my biologist would probably back me up. There's not an issue with that, but that was a concern from the Park Service and we agreed to make that caveat for the special action request. The same thing could be done here for this one.

 I'll repeat what the chairman of the AC last night -- we had a hearing in Tok about -- it was a public hearing process where the special action request. He made a good comment. He said they -- he's

been here for a long time. I've only been there for four years. He was born and raised. But they've had an issue with collars on the Mentasta Herd for a long time. He's been hearing about it for 10 years and this has affected the subsistence harvest allowance for the Upper Tanana people for a long time.

You were there, Madame Chair. He seemed a little bit tired of hearing about this and nothing being done to address that. So I think that's what's going on. I'm glad to hear that the State is on board now. We've been on board. We'll help them out as much as we can to get some more collars out there. Let's just do something. Even if it's wrong, let's get it done.

With that, that's about all I have.

I'm happy to answer any more questions.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: My quick question is that ratio of mixing is that part of the -- or is that just something else?

MR. BAYLESS: Yes, ma'am. That's part of the agreement we have with the Park Service now, protect the Mentasta Herd. That was establish probably 10 years ago. A long time ago.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So 20 to 1 is in relation....

 MR. BAYLESS: That was the agreed upon mixing ratio. So if the mixing ratio -- if we heard 20 to 1, 20 Nelchinas to 1 Mentasta, there's too many Mentastas in the bunch, we close the season.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But the ratio is in wording period, 20 to 1.

MR. BAYLESS: That's correct.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I see some shaking heads no behind you.

MR. BAYLESS: Are you shaking your

47 head?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No, not Lisa.

Page 117 (Laughter) 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: She's not 4 quilty. There's some whispering behind. Barbara was 5 the one shaking her head. That ratio 20 to 1 is not on 6 regulation? 7 8 MR. BAYLESS: No, it's not regulation. 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I mean 10 11 written down somewhere that you guys agree on. 12 13 MR. BAYLESS: Correct. 14 MS. CELLARIUS: This is Barbara 15 The mixing ratio is not in the management 16 Cellarius. plan. I'm not sure where that number came from. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That number 19 20 was a long time ago. Lief knows about it. I mean I 21 was there too when that happened. 22 MS. CELLARIUS: It might be written 23 24 down somewhere, but it's not written down in the management plan. Judy gave me some notes about that. 25 So the plan doesn't mention it. She doesn't know where 26 it came from. 27 28 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's 29 somewhere I know. 30 31 MR. BAYLESS: It's in our -- it's in 32 33 our management.... 34 35 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Because I 36 remember it from 30 years ago. 37 MS. MAAS: Through the Chair. 38 definitely seen that ratio in like past proposals and 39 the Federal Subsistence Board has heard that ratio. 40 All I'm saying is that I agree with you. It's somehow 41 42 been around for 10 years because I read it. When I was doing the regulatory history, I've seen it in past 43 analyses. 44 45 46 MR. BAYLESS: We have a hunt management plan. It's in our hunt management plan. I can share 47 48 that with Judy.

Page 118 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So do you know if there's anything about cow take in that plan? 2 3 4 MR. BAYLESS: Cow tags? 5 б MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Taking a cow. 7 8 MR. BAYLESS: Oh. It's usually been --9 well, up until just recently, three or four years ago, it's almost always been a bulls only. Since that 10 Nelchina Herd has really increased over the past five 11 or ten years, the emphasis has been to actually shoot 12 cows because they want to reduce the herd size. 13 14 15 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And that's 16 your delegation of authority. It is now I mean. 17 18 MR. BAYLESS: In collaboration with the 19 others, that's correct. 20 21 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Any other questions. Donald. 22 23 24 MR. WOODRUFF: The way I see this is it just gives you another tool in your toolbox to try to 25 keep the caribou from crashing and then you have 10 or 26 15 or 20 or 30 or 40 years to try to build them back. 27 28 MR. BAYLESS: We're just doing our 29 30 part, sir. I mean it's Frank's herd. If he says it's 31 over objective, we'll do what we can. But we're a small community. You know, we only shoot on average 25 32 33 to 28 caribou a year, that's it, under the current regulation. Could we shoot more? Sure. 34 35 36 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Agency 37 comments under Federal. Do you have a Federal agency 38 comment? 39 40 MR. BERG: Madame Chair and the Committee. I just wanted to add a couple of things. 41 42 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: This is Nathan 43 44 Berq. 45 MR. BERG: Oh, this is Nathan Berg. 46 I'm the wildlife biologist at Tetlin Wildlife Refuge. 47 48 There is a genetics paper. I've got this one here and I just wanted to read from it on the Mentasta and the 49

Nelchina Caribou Herd.

It says although the herds were considered discreet because females calves in separate regions, individual movements and breeding, range overlap in some years provided opportunity for male mediated gene flow. At the end of the abstract it says although the Mentasta/Nelchina Herds exhibit distinct population dynamics and physical characteristics, they demonstrate evidence of gene flow and thus function as a genetic metapopulation.

I just wanted to mention too that, you know, with approximately 30,000 Nelchina Caribou moving through the Refuge and even if all the Mentasta has moved through the Refuge, that would be an approximate mixing ratio of 60 to 1. Since I've been there in 2010 we've been doing flights to look at the mixing ratio and we've never been out of range. I've never experienced that since we've been there. So I just feel that's important that you guys know that as well.

2.4

And like Shawn mentioned before, our range of harvest is zero to 71 caribou with an average of 28 total harvest of caribou in the hunt.

Thank you.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Question.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You might have a question. What are you reading from? What's it called?

MR. BERG: This is an article from a Journal of Mammalogy 2012, Range Overlap and Individual Movements During Breeding Season Influence Genetic Relationships of Caribou Herds in Southcentral Alaska.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you.

Virgil.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. So that leads me to this question. Can you identify the two herds genetically?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BERG: The mitochondrial DNA, which is the female DNA, is different, but there is gene flow

coming from the males. The males -- the Nelchinas and the Mentastas overlap in their migration when they're moving to their wintering grounds and sometimes that's when they're breeding too, so there is male mediated gene flow. But, yes, there is difference, but, yes, they also function as a metapopulation.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So they can be half-breeds.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Thank you.

 MR. BASSICH: Thank you for that information. I mean it just seems common sense to me. I'm not biologist, I'm not statistician, but if you just live out there and you see the way animals mix and move, they've got to be able to get different gene pools eventually, otherwise they would become real strange creatures. Let's put it that way.

I think we're going to see the same thing with the Nelchina and the Fortymile Herd. I think the Porcupine and the Fortymile Herd mix from time to time. We're certainly going to see it with the Fortymile and what we call the White Mountain Herd, which has always been in recent times called separate herds, but probably not. We all have to remember that we've only really been looking at these animals for a very short period of time in history, human history.

So that's the reason why I wanted to pull that up because, yeah, there may be a separate herd, maybe they're identifiable specifically, but nature has a way of making sure it takes care of itself genetically and I'm sure it has in the past and I'm sure we're coming up at a point in time where the Nelchina Herd is growing so much that it needs to overlap and do its thing.

I'm in support of harvest.

 $$\operatorname{MADAME}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We need to go through the rest of these. (Indiscernible -- no microphone)

REPORTER: Microphone.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Boy, you let us know, don't you. All right.

```
(Laughter)
 1
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay.
 4
     tribal comments.
                       That would be your time.
                                                  I know it's
     confusing, Jamie, but you're here, yeah.
 5
 6
 7
                                   I think we got a little
                     MS. MARUNDE:
 8
     bit confused on the 18-54 and the special action.
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
10
                                               Yes
11
12
                     MS. MARUNDE: So that might be why we
13
     didn't call in to the different....
14
                     REPORTER:
                                Can you identify yourself,
15
16
     please.
17
18
                     MS. MARUNDE:
                                   Yeah, this is Jamie
19
     Marunde....
20
                     REPORTER: And back off the mic.
21
22
23
                     MS. MARUNDE: Okay. Jamie Marunde and
24
     I'm calling with North -- or I'm here with Northway
     Village Council. So we did call in on the special
25
     action, but we didn't call in on 18-54 for
26
27
     consultation, so we were a little confused there.
     We're okay with two. Three is to us a little bit
28
     excessive.
29
30
31
                     One of the things that was a concern to
     us is just finding overall information. So sitting
32
33
     back here and listening to all of you guys talk about
     it it makes me feel a little bit better because we were
34
35
     also confused. It seems like there's a lack of agreed
     upon information across the board and also that
36
     potentially there's an outdated plan, so that's always
37
     a concern. You know, we want to have things done right
38
     and we want to make sure things are going the way they
39
     should be.
40
41
42
                     We would also like to be added to the
     delegated authority list.
                                The reason for that is
43
     because we live inside the Refuge or at the front of
44
45
     the Refuge. Our Native lands are at the front of one
     of the main access points. So if this is going to be
46
     affecting our livelihood, our trapping, everything else
47
```

48

49 50 that we're doing, we think that we should be a party to

that or on the list of delegated authority.

```
Page 122
 1
                     So that's all that I have for you guys.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any questions
 4
     of Jamie.
 5
 6
                     Andy.
 7
 8
                     MR. BASSICH: How would that happen as
 9
     far as being a part of that coalition or that group of
     people that helps set these bag limits? What's the
10
     procedures for that?
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Are you
14
     asking Jamie or.....
15
16
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   In general.
                                                What would
     it take right now for their tribal.....
17
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Oh, for
20
     procedurally to add?
21
                                   To add them into the....
                     MR. BASSICH:
22
23
24
                     MS. MAAS: Through the Chair. This is
     Lisa Maas. You could support this with modification to
25
     add Northway Tribal.....
26
27
                     MS. MARUNDE: Village Council.
28
29
30
                     MS. MAAS: Village Council to the
31
     delegated authority. So I mean just that long list of
     in consultation with Northway Village Council would be
32
33
     added to that.
34
35
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Thank you.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That makes me
     want to question the Fish and Game now because they
38
     said -- you know, in the past, I never saw this as an
39
     issue with the other councils in Southcentral -- not
40
     councils but ACs. This is something new that I've
41
42
     heard that they want to have -- the Paxson AC, the Tok
     Cutoff AC and I think it's the Glennallen or Copper
43
     Basin AC I think it is, all included in the
44
     consultation also. So I would ask them.
45
46
                     But you guys are asking for Northway.
47
48
                     MS. MARUNDE: Yeah, we're asking for
49
50
```

Northway specifically because we live there.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Right. Do you feel like the Refuge comes into the village and talks to you guys enough that there is some type of dialogue going on?

MS. MARUNDE: You know, that's -- we've had a long rough history is really how that goes. There's a lot that we could do to improve. I don't think that we have good relations, so that's why we want to be a party to this.

Another issue is that our local advisory board because of different politics and different situation, we're a region that's 60 percent Native and there are no Native people on that board. Most of those village seats are empty. So there's some dynamics in the region that are a little bit difficult. So different things that happen regarding the Refuge sometimes we're told about things, sometimes we're told after the fact. It's not consistent and it's something we've all got to work on.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I will say this. Having been around for 40 years with that AC and watching that Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory Committee, it's hard to get participation from the villages. It's just recent that there's three seats vacant, but there were people -- and the people have to be appointed by their village. The AC can't go out in the village and have election. They have to provide that person to the AC and it's not happening for some reason. I don't know.....

MS. MARUNDE: In response to that, we do have, like I said, a lot of interesting issues. A good example of that would be we are having some fishing issues in our region, so we used to fish whitefish. I was born and raised in what they call fish camp. We're all backed up there so we aren't getting fish. We found a new place to fish and the Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory Board put in a proposal to limit and cut off our fishing there because they wanted it for other reasons that didn't relate to fishing.

 $$\operatorname{So}$ it's just like -- and then we were never talked to about it. They know we were all

fishing. Our whole village is there. I mean there's some ongoing things here. I don't know what the place is to talk about that, but....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It would be with the State because it's a State AC.

MS. MARUNDE: Yeah. I'm just responding to your question. If it was easy, we would all be there. If it was a good process and we were having good conversations and we're getting overtalked or put into hard situations, those seats would be filled. So it's a difficult situation.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other

Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: How does that....

REPORTER: Microphone, please.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Mics. We're

all guilty.

questions.

MR. WOODRUFF: I was at the Fortymile AC meeting and I didn't see very much village participation the last meeting, two weeks ago.

MS. MARUNDE: Yeah, again, we're having difficulties participating because when we do show up and we do talk to everybody, we're just kind of overtalked. You know, a great example is yesterday at the special action meeting somebody from the Fortymile Upper Tanana Advisory Board was talking about consulting in their proposal for the special action and they listed all our villages. Well, the villages weren't there. Yeah, the board represents the community, but we were never talked to.

So it's difficult. It really is. We're not making this up. It's hard to go there when a lot of the people have a sport hunting -- a great deal of those members have a sport hunting affiliation.

The other issue is that with our community we have a lower income. We're almost like 70 percent unemployment. What's our education level.

```
We're so rough on kids graduating it's not even funny.
     So when we go into those places, it's difficult for
 2
     everybody to talk because then we have people that are
 3
     sport hunters and this is what they do for a living and
 4
     then they're overtalk and overtalk and overtalk and
 5
 6
     they just blow us out of the water. So it's a
     disrespectful environment is a good place to start.
 7
 8
     It's something we've all got to work on.
 9
                     But we do all meet and talk.
10
                                                   We've
     been meeting for quite a while now on our own, so
11
     that's why we are participating.
12
13
14
                     We've called in to the last couple of
15
     RAC meetings.
16
                     MR. WOODRUFF: We have similar issues
17
18
     in Eagle. Thank you.
19
20
                     MS. MARUNDE:
                                   Yeah.
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
     questions.
23
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
     Thanks, Jamie. Advisory group comments.
28
                                               Other
     Regional Advisory Councils. Did anyone else take this
29
30
     one up?
31
                                Through the Chair. This is
32
                     MS. MAAS:
33
     Lisa Maas.
                 The Southcentral, this is a crossover with
     them and they voted to oppose this proposal due to
34
35
     conservation concerns for the Mentasta Herd.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
                                                      We did
     hear about the AC, the Upper Tanana Fortymile.
38
     other ACs take this up?
39
40
41
                     (No comments)
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And the SRC.
43
     It does take in part of the Park, this proposal, on
44
     Federal land.
45
46
47
                     MS. CELLARIUS:
                                     Thank you, Madame
48
     Chair. The Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource
     Commission supported WP18-54 as modified by OSM with
49
```

two additional modifications. The SRC's modifications are to establish a harvest limit of up to two caribou and also to include consultation with affected tribes and the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission in the process of exercising the delegation of authority.

Increasing the harvest limit to up to two caribou provides additional flexibility and is consistent with the harvest limit for Unit 13 caribou hunt, which also targets the Nelchina Caribou Herd. The commission felt that a harvest limit of up to three caribou was too high especially given concerns about the Mentasta Caribou Herd.

13 14 15

16

2

3

4

5 6 7

8 9

10

11

12

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Barbara, real quick, could you run by who they asked for added consultation. Was it AITRC.....

17 18 19

MS. CELLARIUS: Affected tribes and

20 21 22 AITRC.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okav. Thank Summary of written comments.

23 24 25

26

MS. WESSELS: Katya Wessels for the We did not receive any written public comments record. for WP18-54.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Katva. Is there any public testimony here or on the phone.

31 32 33

(No comments)

34

Move to adopt Proposal 35 MR. UMPHENOUR: 18-54. 36

37 38

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You might want to think that through. 39

40 41

MR. WOODRUFF: With modification.

42 43

MR. UMPHENOUR: With modification.

44

MR. BASSICH: Second.

45 46 47

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Clarify your

modification. 48

MR. UMPHENOUR: The modification would remove the language referring to dates and sex of the animal.

5 modification.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Correct.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So the OSM

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, there's hands waving. Calm down.

MS. MAAS: Excuse me, Madame Chair. Lisa Maas for the record. Yeah, apologies. A written comment was submitted by Ahtna and it was missed by OSM, so apologies for that. Ahtna's comment is that they don't support 18-54. It will increase the take of caribou beyond sustainable limits and stress the herd in its winter range. We have seen overharvest of caribou in the past with liberal bag limit and has taken decades to recover. This is not a wise proposal and we oppose it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did they meet again and change any of that like they did the other one? Does anyone know the answer to that? Karen, are you still on?

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. That was sent in with their other comments that you just read? Okay. All right.

MR. GLANZ: (Indiscernible - away from

MR. BASSICH: We don't have our motion

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: He does,

39 but.....

microphone)

clarified.

 MR. UMPHENOUR: I'll clarify the motion. I'm going to read exactly what it would be. Unit 12 remainder. After three caribou may be taken by a Federal winter season to be registration permit FC1202 during a winter season to be announced. Season dates and harvest limits to be announced prior to any

```
Page 128
     season opening.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. WOODRUFF: My second stands.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Where did you
 6
     read that from?
                     What page?
 7
 8
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
                                      Do you want me to read
 9
     it again?
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
11
12
13
                     MS. MAAS: You could just say as
14
     modified by OSM.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                That's what
     I'm trying to get him to say.
17
18
19
                     MR. UMPHENOUR:
                                      Okav.
                                             As modified by
20
     OSM.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Does the
22
2.3
     second concur?
24
25
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                     Yep.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
                                                       Now
     discussion.
28
29
30
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                    I'd like to make a
31
     friendly amendment to that if we can and that would be
     to include the recommendations by Wrangell-St. Elias to
32
33
     include consultation with tribes and communities
     affected. So that would include Northway into that
34
35
     consultation when setting bag limits and seasons.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Making sure
     that covers Northway? Just, yeah, affected tribes.
38
39
40
                     Okay.
41
42
                     MR. BASSICH: Madame Chair. That's a
     friendly amendment.
43
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: If they would
46
     concur.
47
                     (Council nodding)
48
49
50
```

MR. UMPHENOUR: Okay. I'll speak to the motion.

2 the

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Now Virgil would like to speak to the motion.

MR. UMPHENOUR: I don't see a conservation issue because the Tetlin manager, his biologist just gave us a report on these caribou populations. It says normally that the ratio of Mentasta Caribou to Nelchina Caribou, the larger herd, the Nelchina, is usually at least 60 to 1 ratio. So that's probably biologically insignificant that the Mentasta Caribou are going to get overharvested with that type of a minority of Mentasta Caribou mixed in when they're present.

So I don't really feel it's a conservation issue. There hasn't been that much of a harvest in the recent past anyway. So I don't really -- I think that whatever Mentasta Caribou are harvested would be inconsequential and not biologically significant.

Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Madame Chair. I concur with Virgil. I think one thing I would like to add to this, given the motion and the modification by OSM, I just wanted to be clear that the harvest limits will be announced and it would be my preference that that coalition would consider two as a limit, not three. I know that's something that they'll discuss, but I guess my concern is that I do agree that three is a little bit high and two would meet the needs of increased harvest, but not going overboard until we have more radio collars and maybe a little bit more clarity on some of the mixing issues.

That's just my two cents. It has nothing to do with the motion. It's just something that I think is really important to consider for those entities that are going to set those harvest limits. Otherwise, I think this is a really good proposal. I think it's going to benefit people who live in rural areas. It's going to do a lot to help to control what could possibly be herd expansion to the point of them

hurting themselves. So I think it's good long range management strategy.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: When we talked about this at the SRC and even the caribou meeting, the SRC felt -- local people around the Copper Basin felt like they don't have any three caribou limit, so why should they have a three caribou limit on the Upper Tanana. For me, I guess, I think that is important that you bring it up. I don't know that it's important for us to say that we would rather see it as two. That would be another amendment.

MR. BASSICH: Madame Chair, if I may. I think that's reflected in our discussion here and in the dialogue. It goes into the record and I think that's good enough. I wasn't trying to change this.....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The proposal.

MR. BASSICH:because the way I see the proposal, this coalition of people that are going to discuss what the seasons and the harvest limits are, they're the ones that will set those with the area manager on what those harvest limits are going to be. I just wanted to make the point that I think three is excessive and I hope that they would take that into consideration. It's up to them to make those decisions on that based upon this proposal as we are —if we support it as it's written.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other discussion. Lisa.

MS. MAAS: Thank you, Madame Chair. I would just like to clarify the friendly amendment. You said add affected tribes and AITRC. When you say affected tribes, I know that includes Northway. Are there any other tribes that would be affected that you would like to be added?

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, I mean -- I don't know. I think I'm getting tired. The people in the Upper Tanana -- it's true, Northway is in

-- that's their home place, right. There's other villages around. Tanacross, Dot Lake. Who am I missing? Tetlin and also Healy Lake is another one that's supposed to be on our AC.

MS. BRINKMAN: Tanacross.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, thank you. Tanacross. All I was saying was that -- we put tribal in there. Did you put AITRC in there too? You just said tribal.

MR. BASSICH: I said tribal and

14 communities.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.

So....

MS. MAAS: Yeah, the language is affected tribes and AITRC, but I'm guessing when we're trying to write -- I don't know. I don't think affected tribes really works for a delegated authority. I think you need to be a little more explicit.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, my gosh.

 MS. MAAS: I mean or if Shawn feels -you know, if they feel comfortable that what -- this is
something I guess we would need to discuss, but it
would be better to clarify now versus go back to the
office and like.....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I just wish there was a way for the tribe -- like the tribal consultation that -- this might be an opportunity to have him work with the tribes more about -- like here's a regulation that we need to work with the tribes, so he pulls it all together and helps the Refuge out. I see that as a working mechanism. If that helps to clarify.

Go ahead, Andy.

 MR. BASSICH: Northway for sure. They've shown up here. They've testified that they want to be involved in this, that it impacts their home region greatly and they don't feel like they're being able to participate at the level they'd like to. So Northway for sure on there.

 Page 132

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But it also sounds like we need to have a working relationship with ACs to make sure that people are on those ACs that don't feel like they're represented. I think it's important that that works also.

Nate.

IN a

MR. BERG: This is Nate Berg, Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge. I just wanted to make the comment that when we typically open the season we contact Tanacross, Tetlin, Northway and Dot Lake.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's already done. Yes. I have a question while you're sitting there if I can remember it now. Oh, that ratio that you spoke to, 60 to 1, did you base that on that many animals on the Refuge at the time or just the whole herd, the Nelchina Herd?

MR. BERG: I based that on the portion of the Nelchina Herd that typically moves through the Refuge, which obviously changes from year to year....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.

MR. BERG:but I based it on an estimate of 30,000 caribou, Nelchinas, moving through this year and even though we know that not all the Mentasta Caribou move through the Refuge, I just went and added all the Mentastas in. So that's where the 60 to 1 comes from.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right.

Thank you.

Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Just to clarify, you said when you open the season you contact those communities, but are they a part of the team that helps to set the bag limits or the seasons? That's the point we're trying to make because I feel like they're being left out of that ability to have input into when the season is open and what the harvest is.

And it's directly -- the reason I speak

135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

so strongly about this is because it leads to our very first point on the agenda and that's this education and exchange and the fact that a lot of people in rural Alaska are feeling like they're being overrun by people in their home communities and it's displacing them and it's making them work very hard for their food security.

That's the bottom line fundamental issue here that's taking place, so these people want to have a little bit more say on what happens on their lands, in their back yard that affects them directly because they don't have the economic means to have any other source of food.

 That's the fundamental question and the issue that we're trying to deal with here, that I'm trying to deal with here because that's really important and they're getting stomped down into the ground. They have no ability to keep people from stomping on them.

So I want to make sure that they have the ability to speak out and their voices as equal as those coming from places with large population groups or that big stick that I talked about because when you come to these kinds of decisions being made, every voice should be equal and shouldn't be based on population or the way you use it. It should be equal.

In my opinion, personally, the Federal program is set up to protect those entities more so. The State regulations don't protect these small communities that are being hammered like this and this is the one chance -- this entity right here is the one chance for them to have some sort of equal protection so that their food source is protected so that they can continue to live the lifestyle that this entire organization was developed to protect.

That's the last thing.

 I hate to go off on a ramp, but I really want to make that clear. That's why I talked so strongly about this because I see it happening. This woman here is telling us it's happening. It's happening. So what are we going to do about it. It's the Federal program's job to make sure that that doesn't happen to the people that live out in rural

Page 134 Alaska. 1 2 3 Thank you. That's my ramp. 4 5 Sorry, Sue. б 7 MR. BERG: To answer your question to the best of my knowledge, no, we have not done that in 8 9 the past, but we concur that that's important. 10 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 11 Thank you. Since you got that far forward, you must want to come 12 13 up and speak. 14 (Laughter) 15 16 17 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead, 18 Shawn. 19 20 MR. BAYLESS: Madame Chair. Shawn 21 Bayless again. I tried to get up here before my biologist got his butt chewed out, but I was a little 22 late. 2.3 24 25 (Laughter) 26 27 MR. BASSICH: That wasn't a chewing out. I want to clarify. I'm not angry or anything. 28 I'm just really passionate about that and I think we're 29 30 making progress. The whole reason why we're bringing 31 this topic up is so that we can continue to improve on the process. The process is good. It just constantly 32 33 needs to be modified as times change, populations change and the dynamics of the hunting that goes on in 34 35 the state changes. 36 37 We have to be very proactive because it takes so long in the Federal process for anything to 38 take place, but if we're not talking about it now, it's 39 not going to happen for at least two years from now and 40 that might be too late for some of these communities. 41 42 That's the point I'm trying to make. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So I have to 45 say that he has taken lessons from Virgil. 46 (Laughter) 47 48 49 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: They elevate 50

their voice to get their point across.

MR. BAYLESS: I concur with him wholeheartedly. There's a paradox called the tragedy of the commons and that's exactly what's going on in Alaska and Montana where you have a common such as wildlife and it shrinks, shrinks, shrinks and the population of humans expands. It's an old, old paper written way back in the 1800s and it still applies today.

But to address this specific subject, in the past, when we set the seasons for caribou, we have always just talked to the area biologist and the Park Service. That's how it's described in my hunt plan. When we propose a change to regulations like the special action and the moose one, I include all the villages.

I met with Northway in March, the village council, when I presented this. Maybe there's a disconnect there, but we were there. We proposed and we didn't hear any comment from them. So to say that we don't communicate with the village council of Northway is not correct. I try very hard to do so on a regular basis.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Shawn. I think I've had conversations with you on the phone. When people lived in Alaska before ANILCA or the Antiquities Act, they remember when there was no Federal government in their backyard and now they have to deal with this Federal government.

So sometimes you're still feeling the -- I'll call it pain, but what we felt like whenever we saw these new things, Refuges and Parks and enlarged parks and wild and scenic, we didn't have to deal with all that and now suddenly when we come to these meetings and those people that live in Northway they might still have their own pain about we have to deal with this.

REPORTER: Mic, please.

 $$\operatorname{MADAME}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did I turn that off? And all of that can't be recorded? And I was so passionate.

Page 136 1 MR. BAYLESS: I heard it. 2 3 (Laughter) 4 5 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did somebody 6 turn their mic on? 7 8 REPORTER: I got it. 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You did get 10 Whew. I'd have a hard time repeating that. 11 mean that -- there's my passion. It's really hard to 12 13 get these new entities that came into their land and say, gosh, I have to listen to all this now and I 14 didn't have to deal with that. So you have to be a 15 little bit soft to that. 16 17 18 Keep doing what you're doing. 19 we need to recognize the problems we have and see if we 20 can fix them. 21 MR. BAYLESS: I can do better and I 22 will. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 25 Great. Sounds good. 26 27 28 Andy. 29 30 MR. BASSICH: I'd just like to add it 31 goes both ways though. Like you say, it's important for you to address these communities but it's also --32 33 the onus then goes on these communities to give their input. If that isn't happening, then they can come and 34 35 complain, but I'm not going to have too much sympathy 36 if they've been consulted. 37 MR. BAYLESS: No, I'm hearing exactly 38 what she said and I'm going to look into it. If I'm at 39 40 fault, the buck stops with me, and we'll do better. 41 42 MR. BASSICH: Because the other thing that does happen that maybe goes along with that report 43 that was written in the 1800s is the fact that when you 44 45 have committees, the more people you have, the more one or two entities within that tend to have a lot more 46 power or say and that's just the way it works in our 47 48 society. It's unfortunate, but that's the way it works. So you have to be a very strong individual when 49

you're a minority to be heard.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right. I see Jamie standing.

MS. MARUNDE: This is Jamie with Northway Village again. I think it's important that we talk in facts here.....

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.

MS. MARUNDE:we are not going to stand around and complain about our emotions. This is a fact-based argument and a fact-based situation. For example, I think this past year the Refuge -- I think they might have come to our -- we invited them to our culture week, but they do all their events in the Tok community, in surrounding communities, and haven't done much in our community. There's no partnership on any type of research in our community.

 They recently built a new trail that's a huge impact to our community and we were never involved with that until after the fact. I mean there's -- and when Shawn talks about he came to our community, he said -- he told me on the phone and our village agreed with this, he said, oh, I mentioned it in passing. No. We have to see a paper. If you don't understand that we are -- I mean this is important. Communication is important. You guys all know that being on this board.

 I graduated college. I have a master's degree, so I can read anything you guys give to me. When you go to a village and you mention something in passing, that is very different. Did they understand the impacts of these different things? You know, did they see a paper? Was it explained? Did they talk about the impacts? No, that didn't happen. He mentioned it in passing.

You know, so a lot of these things I guess we could sit down if you want us to and go back and think of all these different things that have happened that we haven't had consultation on. We could do that. But let's make sure this is a fact-based conversation, please.

That's all I wanted to say.

Page 138

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The best thing is you guys just work together and have a willingness to figure out how you can solve all the problems. That's really important.

MS. MARUNDE: Yeah, that's both sides.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. Thank you. Okay. Did we get wrapped around the axle.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BASSICH: No. We just had our passionate half hour.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. Any other discussion here.

MS. MAAS: Madame Chair. I was just trying to clarify the motion, but if the Council wants to just leave it as affected tribes, I mean that's up to you. I just am trying to anticipate going back to the office and trying to figure out what tribes are actually affected by this.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, like I said earlier, I think Orville, in his position, can work with the tribes and determine what that is. You can reach out to them and ask them who wants to be involved and then you move forward. The Refuge already has said that they contact Tanacross, Tetlin, Dot Lake.

MR. BASSICH: And Northway.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And Northway. Okay. So they're all in there. The only other village in our area that serves on that Upper Tanana Fortymile Advisory Committee is Healy Lake. Let it be noted that if the people from the Nelchina

region want to be a part of it that you need to reach out to them and they need to be -- Southcentral Council is on this already, no? I don't want to go crazy on this, but I think it's important. The Chairs of both Councils. That's what I thought. It's in there.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. I thought Member Bassich said to include the Northway for sure when he was speaking earlier.

```
Page 139
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. WESSELS: So it wasn't just
 4
     affected tribes.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And he said
 7
     including Northway.
 8
9
                     MS. WESSELS: I don't know if Lisa is
     hearing that.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
12
                                                Hang on.
13
14
                     MS. WESSELS: Lisa, I thought Member
15
     Bassich said to include the Northway for sure, so we at
     least need to reflect that.
16
17
18
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                       I kind
                                                Okay.
19
     of thought we had.
20
21
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Tanacross was online and
     said them too, didn't they?
22
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Somebody said
                 Whoever said Tanacross online would you
25
     Tanacross.
     identify yourself.
26
27
                     MS. BRINKMAN: This is Charlotte
28
     Brinkman. I said Tanacross.
29
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Oh, hi
     Shawna.
32
33
34
                     MS. BRINKMAN: Charlotte.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Charlotte.
37
     And you're with the Tok Natives Association?
38
39
                     MS. BRINKMAN: Yes.
40
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes.
41
42
     Tanacross is included as far as the Refuge is
                 They've been contacting them, they tell me.
43
     concerned.
44
                                   So should we include it
45
                     MS. WESSELS:
46
     into your motion, Tanacross?
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Well, I
     already mentioned all the villages in our region.
49
50
```

```
Page 140
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah, I think we're good.
 2
     I think we can move on.
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
 5
 6
                     MS. MAAS: For the record, just to
 7
     clarify, currently in the handy dandy regulation
     booklet the Southcentral Council is not included, but
 8
 9
     in the delegation of authority letter the Southcentral
     Council is included. So that actually is a slight
10
     modification. Before I was saying that taking
11
     everything out from the handy dandy -- you know, the
12
13
     OSM modification is just an administrative change.
     actually is a slight modification because it's adding
14
15
     in the Southcentral Council.
16
                     I'm just trying to state that on the
17
18
     record to clarify.
19
20
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Sue, have we gone down
21
     these bullet points?
22
2.3
                     REPORTER:
                                Mic, please.
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: He just asked
25
     me a question, have we gone down the bullet points.
26
27
                     MR. GLANZ: It sounds pretty good to
28
29
     me.
30
31
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   I did.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy and
     Virgil thought they had.
34
35
36
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Yep.
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Does Staff
38
     feel that's adequate what's on the record?
39
40
                     MS. MAAS: Yeah, if you could just
41
42
     maybe restate the motion one more time for clarity and
     then I think the justification you guys have discussed
43
     it at length.
44
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The motion
47
     was to adopt as amended OSM modification.
48
                     MR. BASSICH: And the friendly
49
50
```

```
Page 141
     amendment was to include.....
 2
                     REPORTER: Mic, please.
 3
 4
 5
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Sorry. And the friendly
 6
     amendment was to include tribal entities affected in
 7
     the area, namely Northway, Tanacross, Tetlin.....
 8
9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Tetlin.
                                                         Ι
     forgot one. Dot Lake.
10
11
12
                     MR. BASSICH: Dot Lake.
                                               Okay.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Donald, did
15
     you want to add anything else for our justification?
16
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Well, Sue, will the
17
18
     recommendation be beneficial or detrimental to
     subsistence needs and users. I don't think so.
19
20
21
                     Will the recommendation unnecessarily
     restrict other users. I don't think so.
22
2.3
24
                     Is the recommendation supported by
     substantial evidence, biological and traditional
25
     ecological knowledge. I think so.
26
27
                     Is there a conservation issue. I don't
28
     think so.
29
30
31
                     So I'm going to ask the question.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
     question is called for. Do you all understand the
34
35
     motion?
36
                     (Council nodding)
37
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All right.
40
     All in favor say aye.
41
42
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Anyone
45
     opposed.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Motion
49
50
```

passes. Let's go to the next one, 55. Man, this is taking too long.

Go ahead, Megan.

MS. KLOSTERMAN: For the record, this is Megan Klosterman again, wildlife biologist with OSM. I'm going to review WP18-55, which can be found on Page 159 of your meeting materials.

 The proponent, Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge, requests that the fall and winter moose seasons be extended from August 24th through September 20th and November 1st through February 28th to August 20th through September 30th and November 1st through April 30th, in a portion of Unit 12 with Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge and Wrangell-St. Elias National Preserve north and east of the Pickerel Lake Winter Trail.

 The proponent states that adoption of this proposal would provide Federally qualified subsistence users with additional opportunity to harvest moose opportunistically during the caribou season and would reduce user confusion.

 Moose are an important subsistence resource for many communities in the unit with moose being the preferred red meat resource in many households as well as the most available source of red meat for many communities.

The most recent population estimate of 4,492 to 6,444 moose in Unit 12 is based on a compilation of data from 2010 to 2014. Moose populations in Unit 12 have been relatively stable since 2008 and moose densities have been and are expected to remain stable throughout most of the unit.

Most of the unit is difficult to access especially within the Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge. An average of 133 moose have been harvested annually over the past 10 years in Unit 12. 163 moose were harvested in 2015 alone.

Harvest falls within the State sustainable harvest rate for the unit. Approximately 30 percent of the 2015 harvest was taken by local Unit 12 users.

A Federal registration permit is currently in place for the Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge hunt area. On average, 55 permits are issues annually with 22 users actually hunting. An annual harvest under this permit only averaged two moose from 2006 to 2015.

If adopted, this proposal would increase harvest opportunity for Federally qualified subsistence users in an area where current harvest under Federal regulations is low. So as of right now the OSM preliminary conclusion is to support WP18-55.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any

questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Consultations with tribes and corporations.

MR. LIND: Madame Chair. On the Wildlife Proposal consultation period on September 14th we did have a member from Northway and I would like to, with your permission, invite her to come up and tell it in person and give you the information.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.

MR. LIND: Thank you.

MS. MARUNDE: This is Jamie from Northway Village Council and I'm just going to read the letter that we submitted. Thank you for the opportunity for the Northway Village Council to share our concerns about WP18-55. We do not support this proposal that would make changes to FM1203 and feel that there should have been tribal consultation with our community and other tribes potentially affected prior to WP18-55 being submitted for your consideration by the Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge Manager.

ADF&G subsistence recently completed a comprehensive subsistence project in our community covering the 2014 calendar year, which was funded by the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. While only making up 24.5 percent of our total

subsistence economy in edible weight, moose are vital to Northway residents for economic, cultural and spiritual purposes.

96.4 percent of our households reported using moose while only 23.6 percent of households successfully harvested a moose in 2014 out of the 66 percent of our households that attempted to get a moose. These figures do not include the approximated 10 moose harvested in 2014 for ceremonial purposes associated with funeral and memorial potlatches.

Our tribal members have difficulty finding moose because of the continuing low density of moose in our area and because we do not have the resources to effectively compete with non-local Alaska residents and nonresidents who also hunt moose in our area.

While the stated intent of WP18-55 is to, one, simplify and align regulations with nearby areas and, two, provide additional subsistence opportunity for local residents, Northway Village Council does not agree that their proposal will meet such stated intent and will, in fact, likely have negative consequences to our moose hunting opportunities.

 First, the proposed regulatory change would not align the moose season with any nearby seasons as there are no nearby seasons from August 20 to September 30 as published in the proposal. For example, the proposal states that the season end date of September 30 would be aligned with the end date of the Federal caribou season, but that hunt opportunity and specific season may be announced only.

Secondly, ADF&G wildlife reports that local residents account for an average of 50 percent of moose hunters in Unit 12 with non-local Alaska residents 36 percent of moose hunters continuing to increase in numbers and their proportions of total moose harvested from our area. There are not many resident moose in our area and we depend on moose coming from the south of us to continue to provide us with moose in our area which continues to have low moose densities.

We are concerned that the proposed

changes to the FM1203 moose hunt will actually decrease our subsistence moose hunting opportunities because it will result in more people intercepting migratory moose coming from the south. We are also concerned that even more people will come to this area and increase the problems you already have with trash being left on native lands and that the area will be overhunted and reduce the number of moose that move into the flatlands from the Refuge.

The lack of enforcement resources is also a concern of ours. We are concerned that more and more aircraft will also be used, which we do not support. Northway Village Council needs more moose available to our tribal members and we feel that WP18-55 will result in fewer moose being available for customary and traditional uses.

We would like to thank you for your consideration of our concerns regarding this proposal.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thank you, Jamie. Any questions of Jamie.

Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Jamie. Are you seeing right now a lot of competition from people that don't live in the area in your region right now?

MS. MARUNDE: That's what I was trying to bring out with the last proposal. That's why we want to be involved. It's hard to come up here and just explain it because you almost have to go on for a week about all the problems you have, you know.

I was just telling Bruce before I left a hilarious story about how we went to -- you know, all the people from their area, it's so packed in Glennallen people are coming down to us and we go and talk to them because they are on our Native lands. So they're on trails they shouldn't be on. There's trash all over the place. It's a very problematic situation. The troopers don't really deal with it.

 So when we go and talk to them they tell us that and then you guys are right on point because a lot of them are military. So you guys are heading in the right direction with this hunter

education. But a lot of people are coming from the Glennallen area. It's more and more. On the front of us in our State land. So with Northway, we're inside the Refuge. To the right of us is Canada. To the left of us is the Tetlin Reserve. In front of us is State land.

So this is what we got. We're lovers of the Refuge. I wanted to make that point too. We have the same goals as the Refuge, conservation and taking care of this land because I mean this is all we got. We're not near an economic center. This is the livelihood of this village.

2.3

To make another point, a lot of these people come into the front of us on our State land and cutting the moose off and coming into us. These are people from Fairbanks and Anchorage and it's increasing numbers that we've recorded in these studies. These are people who have full-time jobs. People who have 401-K plans. People who have the ability to go and get a loan, get a \$35,000 river boat, a brand-new snowmachine. Equipment that's so different from 20 years ago it's not even funny.

So our people are out there and I think we have three four-wheelers in the whole village. We don't have jobs there. This is our life. So we're competing. I mean I'm here because it's an urgent situation. Yeah, we're greatly impacted by this. So that's why we're saying this is important to us. We're sustaining ourselves on this land. We just want to protect it. We've got to take care of it.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Andy.

 MR. BASSICH: Maybe not so much a question, but a comment. This is the kind of thing that I've been hearing more and more and this is why we're getting proposals like the one we had last year in Unit 23. This is a real problem. There's a real inequity that's taking place because of the fact some people have the economic means to do these things. In our hunter education brainstorming session all the things that you just talked about were identified as key issues that need to be addressed.

I guess the point I'm trying to make is what you're talking about is real. It's identified. I

think we're understanding that. We're going to have to try and make inroads into that. That's why I'm asking the question about what you're seeing right now because we had the same problem in Eagle many years ago with moose hunting and what we ended up doing was shortening the season and so it made it less attractive for hunters to come in the area to hunt. That was actually the most effective tool even though it still hamstrung our community, it actually helped in the long run with people getting more moose with the shorter season.

These are all real issues. They're really tough issues to deal with.

Anyway, I feel for you. I know you're in a tough place.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other

 questions.

Donald then Bill.

 MR. WOODRUFF: I'd just like to state that you're very well spoken and I think that we hear exactly what you're saying.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Bill.

MR. GLANZ: We're kind of overlooking that the only ones that this is going to effect is Federal subsistence hunters.

MR. BASSICH: Actually, Bill, one thing I was hoping to share at this meeting at some point in time, maybe not on the record, but what I'm beginning to see is a tremendous -- what we're calling in this hunter education program -- the domino effect. People are going much greater distances from their homes, Anchorage, Juneau.

We had a tremendous amount of people from Juneau up on the Yukon River hunting moose this year because Federal and State trails were closed to them, so they're being displaced, so there's this domino effect. They displace the people in Glennallen, the people from Glennallen then move up into Tok, the people from Tok move up the highway a little more.

When you're at the end of the road, there's no place left to go. That's what's happening.

So to guess that maybe someone won't come from Juneau or Wrangell to come up and go moose hunting, what I'm seeing is they've got no problem with it because they've got the economic means to do it and that's the problem. They take the time off, they've got the car, they've got the boat, they've got the snowmachine, the four-wheelers and they're out for a good time.

So what may not have happened 10 years ago is happening now because of the economic differences that are taking place. And the values that people have when they're hunting. We have to recognize people that are urban hunters think differently about what a hunt is all about than people who are rural. That's a fundamental difference that we're going to have to deal with and their actions show.

 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Just for the record though what we are talking about is extending a season in the National Wildlife Refuge where only Federally qualified subsistence users can hunt. This dialogue is fine, but we're talking about a two month longer season in Unit 12 in the Refuge and a little part of the Park.

MR. BASSICH: Federally qualified people can be people from all around the state that are Federally qualified.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You have to have a C&T for moose in that region.

MR. BASSICH: Okay, you're right. Sorry. I forgot about that. Thank you. Yeah, that scared me for a second.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You scared me for a second.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Virgil has to leave. He's the chair of the State Fairbanks Fish and Game AC. His meeting is at 6:30. They're meeting at the Fairbanks Fish and Game Office in the

```
Page 149
     conference room, Virgil, in case you want to know.
 2
 3
                     We're almost ready to vote on this, I
     think. Maybe not.
 4
 5
 6
                     MR. BASSICH: Can I ask one more
 7
     question.
 8
9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes, you can.
     Ask the question.
10
11
12
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                  Thank you, Sue. Can I
13
     get a listing of who has C&T from Pat.
14
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's in the
15
16
     book. What page is it. It's on Unit 12.
17
18
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: It's Page 61 in
19
     the....
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: In the
     Federal regs.
22
23
24
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: ....reg book, yeah.
     So it's residents of Units 12, 13C, Dot Lake and Healy
25
     Lake, it looks like has C&T there.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yes, it's a
28
     small number of people.
29
30
31
                     MR. BASSICH: Thank you.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
     motion is on the floor, right?
34
35
36
                     MR. WOODRUFF: No.
37
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's not.
38
     Okay. Where am I. Public comments, we did that,
39
40
     right.
41
42
                     MS. WESSELS: Agency comments.
43
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Tribal. We did tribal.
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, my gosh.
46
     We really did get crazy here. Now we're down to the --
47
48
     did we do....
49
50
```

MS. WESSELS: ADF&G.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We did ADF&G

and Federal.

crar.

MR. WOODRUFF: No, not yet.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, that's right. You came under tribal corporation. Sorry. Okay. ADF&G. Is there any comments from ADF&G.

MR. WELLS: Thanks, Madame Chair and members of the Committee. This is Jeff Wells. I'm the assistant area biologist for the State and Tok. First of all, I just want to thank you for the opportunity to provide a few comments. I know it's getting late in the day and you guys have had a long day, so I'll keep my section short here.

To begin with, the Department has no biological concerns associated with the proposed season. Bull/cow ratios from the two most recent moose surveys, which was in 2008 and 2012, were both above the minimum post count bull/cow ratio of 40 per 100. Like I said, the most recent survey was in 2012. Efforts have been made both of the last two years to get a survey completed, but due to poor conditions it wasn't able to happen. It more likely will happen this year.

To clarify, that portion of the area is the area that the Fish and Wildlife Service surveyed, so they'll probably touch on that and we, as the State, survey a different portion of Unit 12, so we work together to complete moose surveys in this unit.

As has already been touched on, moose densities in this area are fairly low and appear to be stable at around .6 moose per square mile. The Department feels that the extension of the fall season would likely result in relatively little additional harvest even though it would result in 10 additional days during the late part of the season when bulls are increasing rutting activity, but it's fairly difficult to access much of the hunt area.

Similarly, the extension of the winter season will likely result in relatively little additional harvest due to the antlered bull bag limit

Page 151 and the fact that few bulls typically retain antlers late into the winter or into the spring. 2 3 4 In conclusion, the Department 5 recommendation at this point is neutral as we have no 6 biological concerns associated with this proposal. 7 8 Thank you. 9 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 10 Any 11 questions. 12 13 (No comments) 14 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: 15 Thank you, 16 Jeff Wells. Any Federal comments. 17 Madame Chair. 18 MR. BAYLESS: Bayless, Tetlin Refuge Manager. Jeff stole all my 19 20 thunder almost. The one clarification I would like to make and that I heard a comment that this does not 21 align the seasons north and south of the Refuge, in 22 fact I think the analysis says it misalign it, I'd like 23 24 to clarify that and I think there's a little confusion. 25 This season, if approved, especially 26 27 the fall season ending on the 30th, would align with the Federal lands in 20E and the Federal lands in Unit 28 12 remainder in the park south of the Federal trail. 29 30 So right now we're in the middle of a north and south 31 unit that all end on the 30th. We end on something other than the 30th. So there's a lot of confusion 32 33 there and I get a lot of questions from the public in the fall from locals. They assume they can hunt the 34 35 Refuge because north and south they can hunt until the 30th in the Park and also in the BLM lands in 20E. 36 37 So that was the whole impetus behind 38 this and it's also what I discussed with the village 39 council last spring, just to simplify things for 40 everybody involved. 41 42 43 That was my point of clarification for that. 44 45 46 Do you have any questions about that? 47

48

49 50 I do.

MR. WOODRUFF:

```
Page 152
                     MR. BAYLESS: Yes, sir.
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Don.
 4
 5
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Madame Chair.
 6
     Why then did you add August 20th instead of August
 7
     24th?
 8
9
                     MR. BAYLESS:
                                   Because the Federal
     season in RM291 in 11 and I believe in 20E starts on
10
     the 20th, is that correct? I don't know that.
11
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Unit 12
14
     remainder.
15
16
                     MR. BAYLESS:
                                   Again, my objective there
     was to simplify things. Make it all the same.
17
18
                     MR. WOODRUFF: We like that.
19
20
21
                     MR. GLANZ: Yeah.
22
                     MR. BAYLESS: Yeah, I get that
23
24
     complaint all the time. It's so confusing. Even
     amongst the Federal regulations that nobody can
25
     understand what's going on. They have to carry a
26
27
     surveyor and a lawyer with them when they want to go
     hunting and that's just not right.
28
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We've been
31
     saying that for 30 years.
32
33
                     MR. BAYLESS:
                                   That's true.
34
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Got to have
35
36
     that land surveyor too. Okay. Any other questions of
37
     Shawn.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Next.
42
     other tribal comments on this proposal. Push *6 to get
     back on.
43
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Hearing none.
48
     Other Regional Advisory Councils. Go ahead.
49
50
```

```
Page 153
                     MS. KLOSTERMAN: Madame Chair.
 2
     Megan Klosterman. The Southcentral RAC opposed this
 3
     proposal.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Okay. Fish
 6
     and Game Advisory Committees. Shawn, were you at that
 7
     meeting?
 8
 9
                     MR. BAYLESS:
                                   Which one, ma'am?
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Upper
     Fortymile.
12
13
14
                     MR. BAYLESS: No, I was not.
                                                   My deputy
     was though, but we didn't discuss it.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
17
                                                I have a
18
     feeling they didn't discuss it. Okay. Subsistence
     Resource Commission.
19
20
21
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Madame
     Chair. For the record it's Barbara Cellarius with
22
     Wrangell-St. Elias. The Wrangell-St. Elias National
23
24
     Park Subsistence Resource Commission opposes WP18-55.
     In terms of the fall hunt, the SRC did not support
25
     extending the season into the rut. With respect to the
26
27
     winter season, that season is already three months
     long. Five months is too long for the winter season.
28
     Extending the season later into the spring when days
29
     are longer and it's easier to get out on snowmachines
30
     could result in increased harvest.
31
32
33
                     The commission also heard public
     comments opposing the proposal.
34
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Any
     questions.
37
38
39
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    I've got a question.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead,
42
     Don.
43
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    So inadvertently you're
44
45
     saying that there could be conservation concerns?
     didn't speak to that directly.
46
47
48
                     MS. CELLARIUS: This is for the
     commission and there were members who felt that five
```

```
Page 154
     months was too long for a season and they do mention
     that extending the season to when days are longer and
 2
     it's easier to get out on snowmachines could result in
 3
     increased harvest.
 4
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                               Any other
 7
     questions.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Summary of
     written public comments.
12
13
14
                     MS. WESSELS: For the record, Katya
15
     Wessels. OSM received two written public comments on
     WP18-55. The comments came from Esther Brinkman and
16
     Charlotte Brinkman. The individuals who commented
17
18
     neither opposed nor supported proposal WP18-55, but
     rather encouraged the board to consider, quote, more
19
     important factors in your decision that just matching
20
     seasons such as actual annual moose count trends,
21
     ability of the Refuge to patrol, increasing of hunting
22
     by local hunters in the future due to Alaska's
23
24
     difficult economic conditions, close quote.
25
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any public
26
27
     testimony.
28
29
                     MS. WESSELS: I guess there is one more
30
     written public testimony that came to us from Ahtna. It
     says that they are neutral on WP18-55 to extend Unit 12
31
     moose season to allow longer hunting opportunity.
32
33
                     Thank you.
34
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I wonder if
     Lester is leaving for the night. We still have a
37
     quorum though, right?
38
39
40
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   Yes.
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
     public testimony.
43
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Next.
48
     Action.
```

MR. WOODRUFF: Move to adopt WP18-55.

MR. BASSICH: I'll second.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's been moved and seconded. Now we need discussion. Andy.

MR. BASSICH: Thank you, Madame Chair. I'm actually going to vote to oppose this and my reasons are probably very much aligned with what I was saying earlier. Is there a conservation concern. I'm hearing that maybe there isn't a direct conservation concern at this point but I'm also hearing that the moose populations are low but stable.

How will this address that concern. Well, it's probably going to create a little bit more harvest if it was to go into the long winter season. So I'm very concerned about the conservation aspect of this.

Is the recommendation supported by substantial evidence such as biological or traditional ecological knowledge. I believe we heard testimony from an individual living directly in this area that is dependent on this resource in a very strong way and I think this proposal could potentially affect their community very dramatically. I've seen it happen in my own community when you have long seasons for hunting.

I think she very adeptly addressed one of the biggest issues and that is that the equipment available now to get into an area and hunt is so far above where we were 10 years ago that there is no such thing as an inaccessible area in our state anymore. I think we need to recognize that. I'm very concerned, especially in the winter hunt, people could come in who weren't successful in the fall hunt and hunt this area.

I understand that the C&T is relatively small, but if the moose numbers are fairly low in population and these people need this moose for their community, I'm really concerned about their ability to get this in the long term.

And will the recommendation be beneficial or detrimental to subsistence needs and users. Well, I think it might be beneficial to people living outside the community, but I think it has a much

Page 156 greater potential for being detrimental to the people living directly in that area that rely on that 2 3 subsistence resource. 4 5 Obviously it doesn't restrict any other 6 people. It's actually opening it up more and that's what part of the problem is. 7 8 9 Madame Chair, I'm going to vote in opposition to this. Thank you. 10 11 12 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Bill, did you 13 have something to add? 14 MR. GLANZ: I'm just saying I'm in 15 favor of half of this. 16 17 18 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. 19 20 (Laughter) 21 MR. GLANZ: Not the bottom half, but 22 the top half. August 20 to September 30 in line with 2.3 24 all the other Yukon River communities. 25 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead, 26 27 Nate, then we can talk about that more. 28 29 MR. BERG: I apologize for coming up late. This is Nate Berg, Tetlin National Wildlife 30 Refuge. I just wanted to directly address what you 31 just brought up and your concern. There was a little 32 33 bit of information that I'm not sure if you guys are aware of. Prior to 2011, I don't have the exact dates, 34 35 but the season was so short that there was never a moose harvested during this hunt ever. 36 Then, at that point, the season was increased from November 1st to 37 the end of February 28th. Since 2012 through 2016 the 38 total harvest has been a range of two to five moose 39 total with an average of three taken. 40 Just to reiterate that, most of the antlered bull moose have 41 42 lost their antlers. 43 That's all I have. 44 45 46 MR. WOODRUFF: I've got a question. 47 48 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead,

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Donald.

49 50

> Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. I have a real issue when you say that ever, they've never been harvested. As far back as you've looked, right? I have to say that in most small Native communities they are basically or have been a paperless society. So if they document a hunt harvest, that's great, but they don't document their hunt and not harvest very often.

I see that over and over and it comes up in these analyses. Oh, there's no harvest or there's no one even trying to harvest and that really bothers me because a lot of people don't document when they don't harvest and sometimes they do document when they do harvest. So when you say never, that really kind of sends up some real red flags for me.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I think he was referring to that one hunt.

MR. GLANZ: I just have one. Didn't that young lady say they took 10 moose for ceremonials last year?

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR <code>ENTSMINGER:</code> Ceremonial and potlatches, yes.

MR. GLANZ: You got those 10 counted in there too or not?

MR. WELLS: This was a long time ago.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ GLANZ}\colon$ Oh, \ I \ thought you were talking about last year. I'm sorry.$

MR. WELLS: Yeah, I don't have the numbers before 2012, but the potlatch numbers averages about 10 per year.

MR. GLANZ: Okay.

MR. WELLS: So that would be in addition to this hunt. But just as the hunt is all I'm referring to as far as we know two to five.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That hunt just started. I remember when the Refuge came for that

winter hunt. It was how long ago? Do you have that?

MR. WELLS: I don't know when it first started. I just know when they changed and expanded the season to February 29th and that was 2012.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I just know people would like to get out and hunt in December when it first started and it's so bloody cold sometimes in Tok, 40 below or 60 below and they're not interested and there's no daylight.

MR. GLANZ: No daylight.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah. I think we voted -- that came up at -- well, the SRC did and then we ended up voting for it. The one that extends the season to February, that's relatively new.

MR. BASSICH: 2012.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Any more discussion before I give my two cents.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You mentioned, and I'm kind of waffling in that direction, half you agree and half you don't.

MR. GLANZ: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: I agree. I don't want to extend the winter season. I just feel like -- you know, it's more important for the people in the village because probably they're not going to get out and go during that time anyway and I don't feel like we should make a season to where people are going, oops, that's a cow, you know. I just feel like you've really got to know what you're doing to decide that that's a bull or not. So I don't particularly like to see that happen.

I'm looking at this map, guys. Help me out quickly. So I see the Refuge here and I see the winter trail. Below the winter trail is 30, the ending season.

MR. BAYLESS: Yes, ma'am.

```
Page 159
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: And above the
     winter trail to the Refuge is the same as the Refuge.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. BAYLESS: Is 24, if that's correct.
 5
     Is that right?
 6
 7
                     IN UNISON: 20th.
 8
9
                     MR. BAYLESS: 20th of December.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The ending
12
     day is the 20th.
13
14
                     MR. BAYLESS:
                                   Currently.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: But the stuff
     in this Fortymile just north is a little more, to me,
17
18
     okay, as a user, is a little more insignificant because
     there's very little Federal land until you get way up
19
20
     there.
21
                     So I'm a little bit puzzled. Part of
22
     me says, yeah, maybe it should be to the 30th, but the
23
24
     other part of me goes there's a lot of road access
     there and I think that's what we've stayed away from is
25
     a really long season along the road.
                                           So I'm not
26
27
     convinced I want to change anything.
28
                     How about the rest of you?
29
30
31
                     MR. BASSICH: I'm probably going to
     vote it down.
32
33
                     MR. GLANZ: Four of us can vote?
34
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: We are a
     quorum and Lester just came back, so now we have five.
37
     Because there's only seven of us and we have to have
38
     four for a quorum.
39
40
41
                     MR. GLANZ: Oh, okay.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Did you want
     to contribute?
44
45
                     MR. BAYLESS: Yes, ma'am.
46
                                                Shawn
     Bayless, Fish and Wildlife Service, Tetlin Refuge
47
48
     Manager. You asked about Federal lands of 20E.
     think it's about 15 miles to the upper end of the
49
50
```

Benison Fork, which is part of the Wild and Scenic. So that's that long corridor that goes from about 15 miles north all the way up to and through Chicken in the Fortymile.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You better have that attorney and that land surveyor with you.....

(Laughter)

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR ENTSMINGER:to know where that boundary is.

MR. WOODRUFF: Actually there's signs.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah, I know they put up the signs, but it scares me though when it's on the road system.

Any more discussion.

MR. GLANZ: Call for the question.

MR. BASSICH: I just wanted to add I recognize the intent behind this to kind of streamline and align seasons, but I have to agree with some of the other testimony that I think in this particular case I think weighing making the alignment and making it a little bit more simplistic for the general public to hunt is outweighed by the needs of a community that doesn't have other opportunities to feed themselves. They can't count on caribou every single year.

It sounds like this local moose in their area is pretty critical to their diet and I would hate to see -- if the moose were really strong in densities and it was actually growing, I might be a little more willing to support this, but because it's a relatively low density that's stable I think food security outweighs pretty much any other reason that I've heard in any of this analysis.

 I just wanted to put that out there because I think this is a growing concern out in the rural communities as more and more hunters come in and compete with them with high-quality equipment or time on their hands. We're going to see more and more of these proposals as time goes on. So I'm just going to start supporting them right now because I really want

```
Page 161
     to see local people's needs given greater weight and
     more importance than the general public because they
 2
     have no other opportunities to feed themselves. That's
 3
     the economics of living out in the Bush.
 4
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any other
 7
     discussion.
 8
9
                     MR. GLANZ: I called for question.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. I'm
     calling for the question.
12
13
14
                     MR. BASSICH: Let's have a raise of
15
     hands.
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You want a
17
18
     raise of hands?
19
20
                     MR. BASSICH: Yeah.
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
22
     is to -- the motion was to adopt 55 requesting a fall
23
24
     and winter moose season extended and there was no
25
     amendments. Does everybody understand what they're
     voting on?
26
27
                     MR. WOODRUFF: Yes.
28
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Everyone in
31
     favor of the longer moose season say aye. Oh, you said
     a raise of hands. Everybody in favor raise their hand.
32
33
                     (No hands raised)
34
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Everybody
37
     opposed raise their hand.
38
39
                     IN UNISON: (Raised hands)
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: So it passes
42
     unanimously.
43
44
                     MS. WESSELS: Failed.
45
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Or fails.
46
     have to apologize. I have kind of a headache, so I
47
48
     think it's not helping me out today.
49
50
```

```
Page 162
                     Council, do you want to take up one
 2
     more?
 3
 4
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    Sure.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: You said
 7
     sure, so we're taking up the next one.
 8
9
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Are we taking up 56?
10
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: No.
11
                                                     We're
12
     going to take that up tomorrow.
13
14
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Okay, good.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                 With the
     rest of them when Andrew is here.
17
18
19
                     MR. GLANZ: Oh, okay.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The next one
     is 32.
22
2.3
24
                     MS. MAAS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
     Proposal WP18-32 begins on Page 353. Thank you, Madame
25
     Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Lisa Maas.
26
27
     Again I'm a wildlife biologist in the Office of
     Subsistence Management. I'll be presenting a summary
28
     of the analysis for WP18-32, which again is on Page 353
29
30
     of the meeting book.
31
                     Wildlife Proposal 18-32 was submitted
32
33
     by the Western Interior Council and requests changes to
     the caribou season dates in Units 21D, 22, 23, 24, 25A
34
35
     (West), 26A, and 26B.
36
37
                     The proponent requested these season
     changes to protect cows during the migration, avoid
38
     migration deflections due to hunting and prohibit bull
39
     harvest during the rut when bulls are unpalatable. The
40
     proponent also intends to submit an proposal to the
41
42
     Alaska Board of Game to align State caribou seasons
     with these proposed Federal seasons.
43
44
45
                     I'll let the Council decide whether
     they'd like to address all units covered by the
46
     proposal or only Unit 25A West, which is the only unit
47
48
     that's in your region and the only unit you have
     customary and traditional use for.
49
50
```

It's up to the Council.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Council, would you agree, let's just deal with the one that's in our region.

MR. BASSICH: Agreed.

 MS. MAAS: Okay. Thank you. In 2015, the Alaska Board of Game and the Federal Subsistence Board spent a lot of time and effort crafting both Federal and State regulation restrictions by herd and unit in response to local needs and seasonal caribou movements.

The entire proposal affects units within the ranges of the Western Arctic, Teshekpuk and Central Arctic Caribou Herds, but the only caribou herd that really affects the Eastern Interior Region is the Central Arctic Herd. Caribou populations naturally fluctuate over time and the Central Arctic Herd is currently declining.

The Central Arctic Herd peaked at 70,000 caribou in 2010 and has since declined almost 70 percent to 22,400 caribou in 2016. The 2016 bull/cow ratio of 39 bulls per 100 cows is just below State management objectives.

 Caribou migration routes, timing and the number of caribou migrating on a particular route vary each year. Different caribou herds also have different migration patterns and timing. Caribou have been a vital nutritional and cultural resource for the Inupiaq for thousands of years and continue to dominate the subsistence harvest across most of the region.

 A long-held cultural practice is to allow lead cow caribou to establish migratory pathways undisturbed. Local hunters have expressed concerns over aircraft and non-local hunters disrupting caribou migration, which has caused user conflicts in the area.

The harvestable surplus from the Central Arctic herd decreased dramatically with the recent population decline and has possibly been exceeded. However the 2017 restrictions to State regulations were geared at reducing harvest to sustainable levels. The vast majority of Central

Arctic Caribou are harvested in Unit 26B by non-Federally qualified users. Most of the local harvest is by Nuiqsut residents. Most of the caribou harvest in Unit 25A West is from the Porcupine Caribou Herd with only 10 percent of the estimated harvest coming from the Central Arctic Herd in Unit 25A West.

Adopting this proposal would increase regulatory complexity by misaligning State and Federal caribou seasons across several units. The effect of these regulation changes would be limited as all users could hunt under State regulations. One size fits all regulations are not appropriate. Two years ago a lot of time and effort were spent in crafting caribou regulations specific to caribou herd seasonal movements and local needs.

 More time is needed to assess the effectiveness of these regulation changes before making additional changes. While the proposed season changes may avoid migration deflections and aid in population recovery by reducing cow harvest, migrating cow caribou could still be disturbed by users hunting bulls and it would put a substantial burden on Federally qualified subsistence users who must now distinguish between bull and cow caribou.

Federal regulations would also become more restrictive than State regulations eliminating the subsistence priority.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$ OSM preliminary conclusion is to oppose Proposal WP18-32.

Thank you.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Lisa. In Sue's absence, I would like a report on board consultation with tribes and ANCSA corporations.

 MR. LIND: Council. Orville Lind, Native Liaison. There were no discussions on this proposal during consultation on September 14th.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Orville. Now we will proceed and go to agency comments. ADF&G, please.

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: I'm Doreen Parker McNeill with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

This proposal seeks to change the seasons and bag limits across areas that have very different caribou herds with unique management strategies. The Alaska Board of Game changed the seasons and bag limits for the Porcupine, Central Arctic, Teshekpuk Lake and Western Arctic Herds in February of 2017.

These changes reflect the harvestable surplus for each herd as well as an effort to align State and Federal regulations within the range of each herd. The impact of these changes will not be known for several years. Any conservation concerns about these individual herds should already be addressed by these new regulations.

If you'd like information about the Central Arctic Herd harvest in the northwestern portion of Unit 26B that's where the Federally qualified subsistence hunters hunt and that harvest is almost entirely by Federally qualified subsistence users who do not hunt in the Dalton Highway Corridor.

 The Department is opposed to this proposal. The intent to reduce cow harvest while herds are migrating it's unclear that this proposal would do that. If the Council is concerned about conservation of these herds due to possible herd deflection, the Board of Game actions address seasons and bag limits with conservation as the goal.

State seasons for the Central Arctic Herd were addressed and modified by the Board of Game in 2007 to reduce harvest so that it does not exceed the harvestable surplus. These changes primarily decreased non-local and nonresident seasons and bag limits, whereas areas hunted mostly by local residents were minimally impacted.

While this proposal would align Federal regulations in Units 21D, 24, 25A West and 26B with the Western Arctic Herd regulations, it's primarily the Central Arctic and Porcupine Herds that occupy these areas during the hunting season.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any

questions.

(No comments) 1 2 3 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thanks, 4 Doreen. Any Federal comments. 5 6 Go ahead, Vince. 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Not to make a big deal 9 out of this, but I encourage you to look at the current customary and traditional use determinations for Unit 10 24, which I'll read into the record is residents of 11 Unit 24, Galena, Kobuk, Koyukuk, Stevens Village and 12 13 Tanana. Those are two communities that are within your region. So you have the option of looking at the 14 15 analysis for Unit 24. 16 You also have the option of deferring 17 18 that to the home region, which would be Western Interior, but I really encourage you guys to look at 19 20 the positive C&T so you make sure that you are 21 considering communities that are in your area that are affected by any of the proposals. 22 23 24 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Thanks, Vince. So we are affected by 24, 25 and isn't 26 also 25 we have C&T? I'd have to look. 26 27 MS. MAAS: Through the Chair. 28 customary and traditional use for caribou in Unit 26A 29 30 is residents of Unit 26, Anaktuvuk Pass and Point Hope. 31 For 26B is residents of Unit 26, Anaktuvuk Pass, Point Hope and residents of Unit 24 within the Dalton Highway 32 33 Corridor Management Area. 34 35 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. I have 36 a brain that went dead. 37 Question. MR. BASSICH: 38 39 40 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead, 41 Andy. 42 MR. BASSICH: Lester, I have a question 43 for you. Can you tell me are there many people from 44 45 the Tanana Region that go up and hunt the caribou up on the Haul Road? 46 47 48 MR. ERHART: No. Nobody goes up there.

Page 167 MS. MAAS: What about Unit 24? 1 2 3 MR. ERHART: 24 is where? 4 5 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It's right 6 behind you there, Virgil -- oh, that's right, your name is Lester. Sorry. I am so tired. They have a C&T. 7 That's why he brought that up. 8 9 MR. ERHART: A little caribou herd that 10 stays up behind the -- it got mixed with the reindeer, 11 so they kind of stationed behind the rapids there. 12 13 It's a small herd, but they just stay in this one area and every now and then one comes down. 14 15 Then there's another herd, same thing 16 that happened. It's down about 30 miles below Tanana 17 18 there's a herd there too, but they're bigger caribou and they don't move. They just stay in that area. A 19 20 lot of people don't know that though. 21 Now they do. 22 MR. BASSICH: 23 24 (Laughter) 25 MR. ERHART: It's real hard to get to. 26 27 28 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anymore 29 questions. 30 31 MS. MAAS: My apologies. Is the Council interested in Unit 24? If that's the case, I 32 33 should probably present information on the Teshekpuk Herd. 34 35 36 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Oh, boy, this is a big proposal. I think Jack's behind this. What's 37 the answer, guys? Do you want to hear about 24 then? 38 39 40 MR. BASSICH: Yep. 41 42 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay, go for 43 it. 44 45 MS. MAAS: Okay. The Teshekpuk Herd peaked at 69,000 caribou in 2008 and has since declined 46 40 percent to 41,500 caribou in 2015. The 2016 47 48 bull/cow ratio of 28 bulls per 100 cows was the lowest

49 50 ratio since 1991 and is below management objectives.

The Western Arctic Herd also travels through Unit 24, so I'll give you some information on them as well. The Western Arctic population peaked at 490,000 caribou in 2003 and has since declined over 50 percent to approximately 201,000 caribou in 2016. Bull/cow ratios are within management objectives.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

2

3

4

5

Harvest from the Western Arctic Herd approximates the estimated harvestable surplus for this herd. In 2016 the harvestable surplus was about 12,000 caribou. The long-term estimated annual harvest also averages about 12,000 caribou. On average, local hunters within the range of the Western Arctic Herd account for 95 percent of the total Western Arctic Herd harvest while non-local hunters account for 5 percent. Most Western Arctic Caribou are harvested in Unit 23.

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Harvest from the Teshekpuk Herd may already exceed the estimated harvestable surplus for this herd. Currently the harvestable surplus estimate is 2,500 caribou. However, the estimated total harvest is about 3,000 caribou. On average, local hunters account for 99.7 percent of the Teshekpuk harvest while non-local hunters only account for .3 percent of the harvest. The vast majority of Teshekpuk Caribou are harvested in Unit 26A.

26 27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

Also, just in response to the regulation changes for Unit 24 a couple years ago, again a lot of time and effort were spent in crafting these regulations specific to those units and the herd. So in Unit 24C and 24D cow season does not open until September because caribou are not present in those units during the summer. That's just an example of how these -- you know, so much time was spent two years ago trying to tailor these regulations to specific areas.

36 37 38

Thank you.

39 40

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anymore

questions.

41 42 43

(No comments)

44 45

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. have heard about a lot of herds now.

46 47 48

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: Madame Chair.

49

1 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Go ahead.
2

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: This is Doreen Parker McNeill with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. In an attempt to be brief, I left out a little bit of information about the small resident caribou herds in these areas and Member Erhart mentioned the herd in the Ray Mountains. It's a local herd. It was identified by the Department many decades ago as a resident local herd.

One of the reasons the Department is opposed to this proposal is because the hunting regulations in that area accommodate hunting practices for that and other small caribou herds in Units 24 and 21 and also the Hodzana Hills Caribou Herd in Unit 24A. This proposal would align all those caribou seasons with the Western Arctic Herd seasons and bag limits, which the Western Arctic Herd does not move into these areas every year.

 MS. MAAS: Madame Chair. The Ray Mountain Herd that she's referring to in Unit 24A and B, those hunt areas are not affected by this proposal. There's no date change. I know 21D is beyond the scope of this region, but the area north of the Yukon River that hunt area there's no changes proposed to that hunt area either.

MR. WOODRUFF: I've got a question.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: Just listening to this proposal it seems to me they're shortening the season.

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ WOODRUFF: And for conservation reasons. So why would the State be opposed to that?

MS. PARKER MCNEILL: Through the Chair. The reason is because the State did shorten the State seasons, which is where most of the harvest comes from. The State seasons were shortened and the sex was modified in the bag limit significantly to bring the harvest within harvestable surplus.

We also have indications that the

```
Page 170
Central Arctic Herd decline has stabilized. We have
```

not completed the count on the photo census yet, but we did get a new photo census this summer. Preliminary indications are that the herd did not further decline and preliminary indications for the Porcupine Herd are that it definitely did not decline. We also have not finished counting the photos for the Porcupine Herd either.

8 9 10

2

3

4

5 6

7

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Anymore

11 questions.

12 13

(No comments)

14 15

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Thank I'm lost, guys. Did we do the Federal?

16 17 18

MS. WESSELS: Uh-huh (affirmative).

19

20 MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are we on 21 tribal? Yeah. Is there any tribal comments.

22 2.3

(No comments)

24 25

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Other Regional Advisory Councils. None?

26 27

MS. MAAS: No.

28 29 30

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Western met,

didn't they?

31 32 33

34 35

36 37

38

MS. MAAS: Oh, yeah. Through the Chair. Western Interior opposed this proposal. I mean they submitted the proposal and they ended up opposing their own proposal. The Northwest Arctic Council only considered Unit 23, but they opposed the proposal for Unit 23. The Seward Peninsula Council -- does anyone have the Seward Peninsula Council?

39 40 41

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: She said it would take her a minute.

42 43 44

MS. MAAS: And the North Slope Council hasn't met yet.

45 46 47

48

MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any Fish and Game Advisory Committees take it up that you're aware of, anyone?

```
Page 171
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Is there any
 4
     SRCs in this region? Did they take it up, any SRCs?
 5
 6
                     MS. MAAS: I know SRCs met for other
     regions because this is such a.....
 7
 8
9
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
10
                     MS. MAAS: .....big proposal.
11
     Gates of the Arctic and the ones in Unit 23 discussed
12
13
     it, but I'm not prepared to speak to their comments.
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Are you still
16
     looking, Peppa?
17
18
                     MS. KENNER: My computer has to.....
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Wake up.
21
                     MS. KENNER: .....boot. Yeah.
22
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Any public
25
     written comments.
26
27
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   This is Katya Wessels.
     We received no written public comments.
28
29
30
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay.
31
     public testimony.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: When you find
     that, Peppa, you can let us know. Okay.
36
                                               How do you
     want to proceed.
37
38
                     MR. BASSICH: Make a motion....
39
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: For all of
42
     it?
43
44
                     MR. BASSICH: ....(indiscernible).
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Okay. Okay.
     Go ahead. Who has the motion?
47
48
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay, I found out the
49
50
```

```
Page 172
     Seward Peninsula how they voted. They opposed the
 2
     proposal.
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Okay.
                                                       Do I
 5
     hear a motion.
 6
 7
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Motion to support
 8
     Proposal WP18-32.
9
                     MR. WOODRUFF:
                                    Second.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Discussion.
12
13
14
                     MR. BASSICH: Speaking to the motion,
     I'm not going to support it. The region which it's for
15
     is not supporting it. If the OSM analysis is not in
16
     agreement with it, I see no point in trying to support
17
18
     this. It looks incredibly complicated and it's based
     upon the fact that the State would have to change many
19
20
     of their seasons to come into alignment with it as
     well.
21
22
23
                     If it was already the State's position
24
     to have these and we were bringing the Federal into
     alignment, I might consider it, but as stated before,
25
     the local RAC isn't even supporting this, so I see no
26
27
     point to support it.
28
                     Madame Chair, thank you.
29
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Lisa.
32
33
                     MS. MAAS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
     Just to clarify whether you're adopting the proposal
34
35
     for all units or just Unit 25A West and 24 and then you
     can take no action on other units or just clarify.
36
37
38
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   The motion was for all
39
     units in this proposal.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: That's what I
42
     heard, yeah.
43
44
                     MS. MAAS:
                                Okay.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER:
                                                Any other
     discussion.
47
48
                     MR. GLANZ: Question.
49
50
```

```
Page 173
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: The question
     has been called for. All in favor of Proposal 32 say
 2
 3
     aye.
 4
 5
                      (No aye votes)
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: All opposed
 8
     same sign.
 9
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Motion fails.
12
13
     I got that right that time.
14
15
                     I'm looking at time, guys. Oh, it's
     7:00 o'clock.
16
17
18
                     MR. BASSICH: Let's quit. I think
19
     everybody is getting tired.
20
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: Yeah.
21
     hoping that we could get a little further. Just a
22
     heads up. I may have to leave at 3:00 tomorrow to help
23
24
     my husband with some work. Virgil will take over if
25
     that happens.
26
27
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   God help us.
28
                     (Laughter)
29
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR ENTSMINGER: It starts at
     9:00 in the morning, so we'll see everybody at 9:00.
32
33
     Thanks, guys.
34
35
                     MR. BASSICH:
                                   Good job, Sue.
36
37
                      (Off record)
38
39
                  (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

```
1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                      )
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 8
 9
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
11
          contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
     EASTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
13
     COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the
14
     8th day of November at Anchorage, Alaska;
15
16
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
17
18
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
20
21
     ability;
22
2.3
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24
     party interested in any way in this action.
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 25th
26
27
     day of November 2017.
28
29
30
                     Salena A. Hile
31
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
32
33
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/18
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```